

#### Engineering in High Availability

**Tom Himel** 

1

Controls & LLRF EDR Kick-off Meeting, Aug 20-22, 2007



Contents

- Why High Availability is important
- General HA issues
- Controls specific HA issues

#### Why High Availability is important

- The ILC will be an order of magnitude more complex than most present accelerators.
- If it is built like present HEP accelerators, it will be down an order of magnitude more.
- That is, it will always be down.
- The integrated luminosity will be zero.
- Not good.

## Availability Design Philosophy

- Design it in up front.
- Budget 15% downtime total. Keep an extra 10% as contingency.
- Try to get the high availability for the minimum cost.
- First stab was done for the RDR.
- Will need to iterate during the ED phase.
  - Quantities are not final
  - Engineering studies may show that the cost minimum would be attained by moving some of the unavailability budget from one item to another.
  - This means some MTBFs may be allowed to go down, but others will have to go up.

## Will Need Improvement Program

- Must design to meet the budget on the first pass.
- Assume we are only partly successful and unavailability will be too high when we turn on.
- Will need operations budget and engineering to make the necessary improvements.



- Use Availsim a Monte Carlo simulation developed over several years.
- Given a component list and MTBFs and MTTRs and degradations it simulates the running and repairing of an accelerator.
- It can be used as a tool to compare designs and set requirements on redundancies and MTBFs.



#### MTBF goals

		Downtime		
	Needed	(%) due to	Nominal	Nominal
	Improvement	these	MTBF	MTTR
Device	factor	devices	(hours)	(hours)
power supplies	20	0.2	50,000	2
power supply controllers	10	0.6	100,000	1
flow switches	10	0.5	250,000	1
water instrumention near pump	10	0.2	30,000	2
magnets - water cooled	6	0.4	3,000,000	8
kicker pulser	5	0.3	100,000	2
coupler interlock sensors	5	0.2	1,000,000	1
collimators and beam stoppers	5	0.3	100,000	8
all electronics modules	3	1.0	100,000	1
AC breakers < 500 kW		0.8	360,000	2
vacuum valve controllers		1.1	190,000	2
regional MPS system		1.1	5,000	1
power supply - corrector		0.9	400,000	1
vacuum valves		0.8	1,000,000	4
water pumps	• • • • • • •	••••0:4	120,000	••••4•
Controls & LLRF EDR Kick-off Meeting, Aug 20- modulator	22, 2007	0.4	50,000	7 4



- Will need to improve unavailability budget.
  - Follow design changes as they occur (e.g. device counts and located in tunnel or not)
  - Re-apportion the allowed unavailability as we find cheaper ways to attain the budgeted 15% downtime.
    The present HA budget is just a guess at the cost optimum.
- Provide a framework (FMEA package) for groups to use to evaluate their system's availability.
- Push groups to do HA work that is being ignored (e.g. water instrumentation, collimators, and coupler interlocks).

#### Criteria for doing HA design during ED phase rather than waiting

- Decisions which couple multiple systems
- Designs which need a large availability enhancement compared to present designs (e.g. power supplies and water cooled magnets)
  - These need to be prototyped and tested
- Devices which will be produced in very large quantities (e.g. LLRF electronics, controls crates) or are difficult to retrofit (e.g. cryomodules, klystrons).

# Example trade studies during ED Phase

- Redundancy of cavity sensors and interlock electronics vs. extremely high availability or extra energy overhead
- Design of vacuum manifolds, valves, pumps, pump supplies for couplers to be slightly redundant vs. extra energy overhead
- Use of waveguide switches and hot spare klystrons and modulators in large fractional energy gain regions vs. some other way of saving that ~1% downtime
- Locating extremely HA magnet power supplies in the beam tunnel vs. HA supplies in alcoves with long cables and the consequent heat load
- Do we have to do 1 vs. 2 tunnel yet again?
- Large redundant water pumps vs. small segmented pumps that don't kill the accelerator when one fails (e.g. for a single klystron).

## Example trade studies during ED Phase

- Placing some LLRF (maybe just down mixers) in tunnel to reduce the cable plant while making them extremely HA or increasing the energy overhead
- Fast e+ target replacement vs. extra e+ target region beamline.
- What temperature should the electronics be cooled to?
- Is the 0.5% downtime allocated to AC power source and distribution enough? Is there some way we can prevent 0.25 second power dips from causing 8 hours of downtime? Should we get power from two places on the grid?
- Use of Uninterruptible Power Supplies vs. more extra redundancy in the AC power distribution.
- Is the allocation of 1% downtime for cryo problems enough (10 times better than CERN does)? How will it be achieved?

# Example trade studies during ED Phase

- What are the right operating margins for cryo, magnet supplies, RF, utilities to optimize cost and availability?
- Is it better to add RF units or make each more reliable?
- Should there be redundant beam instrumentation for critical feedback loops?
- How much electronics should be put in the tunnel?



Example Trade-off studies that can probably wait

- Should individual channels of electronics (e.g. timing generator, RF amplitude and phase detector) be hot swappable or is OK to put many of them on a single board?
- Detailed designs of items that do not need major availability improvements e.g. beam loss monitor readouts, laser wire electronics, ADC boards, magnet supports



- Controls itself should not go down often. (Covered in talk by Krause)
- Controls needs to provide tools to help discover what is wrong in other systems.

### Tools to help other systems

- Network access for laptops and diagnostic equipment near all hardware
- Readout and recording of diagnostic information built into other systems (e.g. a power supply may record its voltage and current at a megahertz)
- Either record everything very often or allow flexibly triggered readout of everything or both.
- Provide analysis tools of the data that is recorded.

# Example of need for sync readout Based on SLC "flyer pulses"

- Infrequently a single bunch causes very high backgrounds. Need to figure out why.
- Only know few seconds after the fact that a bunch was bad.
- Could be caused by bad kicker pulse. Need to know kicker strength on each bunch.
- Could be caused by DR phase instability (saw tooth). Need to know orbit and phase of that bunch on many turns prior to extraction

#### Help Solve Subtle Problems

- Phase drifts compare redundant readouts
- Lying BPMs chisquared? Redundancy?
- Drifting BPMs (both mechanical and electrical)
- Difficult to localize problems (normal module swaps don't fix it). E.g. noise coupling in on a long cable or a flakey connector.
- Vacuum bursts in DRs (present PEP problem) – read 1/sec, provide good analysis package

ilr



Conclusions

- Designing for High Availability is vital for all systems.
- A good fraction of it must be done during the ED phase.
- Controls has the added responsibility of providing the tools to help other systems diagnose their problems quickly.





Controls & LLRF EDR Kick-off Meeting, Aug 20-22, 2007

. .



- 1. Effects of redundancy such as 21 DR kickers where only 20 are needed or the 3% energy overhead in the main linac
- 2. Some repairs require accelerator tunnel access, others can't be made without killing the beam and others can be done hot.
- 3. Time for radiation to cool down before accessing the tunnel
- 4. Time to lock up the tunnel and turn on and standardize power supplies
- 5. Recovery time after a down time is proportional to the length of time a part of the accelerator has had no beam. Recovery starts at the injectors and proceeds downstream.
- 6. Manpower to make repairs can be limited.

# The Simulation includes:

- 7. Opportunistic Machine Development (MD) is done when part of the LC is down but beam is available elsewhere for more than 2 hours.
- 8. MD is scheduled to reach a goal of 1 2% in each region of the LC.
- 9. All regions are modeled in detail down to the level of magnets, power supplies, power supply controllers, vacuum valves, BPMs ...
- 10. The cryoplants and AC power distribution are not modelled in detail.
- 11. Non-hot maintenance is only done when the LC is broken. Extra non-essential repairs are done at that time though. Repairs that give the most bang for the buck are done first.

## The Simulation includes:

- 12. PPS zones are handled properly e.g. can access linac when beam is in the DR. It assumes there is a tuneup dump at the end of each region.
- 13. Kludge repairs can be done to ameliorate a problem that otherwise would take too long to repair. Examples: Tune around a bad quad in the cold linac or a bad quad trim in either damping ring or disconnect the input to a cold power coupler that is breaking down.
- 14. During the long (3 month) shutdown, all devices with long MTTR's get repaired.