



ECFA Workshop

8-12 June 2008, Warsaw

Main Topics:

- **Physics**
- **Detector**
- **Machine Detector Interface**

<http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/conferenceTimetable.py?ConfId=2643>



ECFA Meeting in Warsaw

- Turn on of LHC → entering an exciting phase of particle physics at the highest collision energies ever
- Expect
 - **revolutionary advances in understanding the microcosm**
 - **Results from LHC will guide the way ⇔ ILC physics potential (although there is a clear physics case without LHC)**
 - **period for decision taking on next steps in 2010 to 2012**
 - **Physics: will minimal machine fulfill requirements?**
- Need
 - **R&D and technical design work to enable these decisions and ongoing for several projects**
 - **global collaboration and stability on long timescales**
(remember: first workshop on LHC was 1984)
 - **intensified efforts**
- How?
 - **Collaboration in network of all HEP laboratories/institutes**
 - **Mandatory to have accelerator laboratories in all regions as partners in development / construction / commissioning / exploitation**



Polarization session (1)

Advantage ILC: clean initial states with known helicity

Positron source

- e⁺ source status report (talk by S. Riemann)
 - **See conclusions of PS Meeting in April 2008**
- critical issue: positron production target (talk by S. Hesselbach)
 - **thermal, mech., radiation stress**
 - **shock wave studies to model target damage**

Modeling of polarization transport (electrons and positrons)

- Important to understand e⁺, e⁻ spin tracking at the ILC (talk by A. Hartin)
 - **all depolarization effects have to be understood and evaluated, precise spin tracking required to meet envisaged accuracy @ IP**
 - Theoretical studies to describe spin precession in strong fields.
 - Inclusion of second order depolarization processes



Polarization session (2)

Polarimetry at the IP (talk by D. Kaefer)

- polarimetry is essential, may be THE precision limiting part in some measurements
- big challenge to reach $\Delta P/P \sim 0.25\%$ but no fundamental show stoppers
- **only** the combination of upstream and downstream and using annihilation data will allow to reach the precision goal:
 - **Upstream polarimeter**
 - cleanest measurement with best time granularity;
 - gives main input for correlations and differences in left-right polarizations
 - **Downstream polarimeter:**
 - measures depolarization effects from collisions, providing access to the luminosity weighted polarization
 - **Annihilation data:**
 - provide absolute calibration (Z-peak) when correlations are known from polarimeter measurements

Executive Summary of Workshop on Polarisation and Energy
Measurement available on ILC doc server: [ILC-NOTE-2008-047](#)



Polarization session (3)

Calibration with Z-pole data – use them for physics

(talks by K. Moenig, R. Settles, C. Damerell and G. Moortgat-Pick + discussion)

- detectors need calibration on the Z pole ($L \sim 7 \cdot 10^{32}/\text{cm}^2\text{s}$)
- corresponds to $1.8 \cdot 10^6$ hadronic Z decays per day (~3 times SLD physics)!!
 - would offer the world's best measurement of $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$
- Need:
 - **Polarimetry at the Z-pole**
 - **Polarized positrons, fast helicity reversal**
 - **Frequency of push-pull**
 - Fast → required for discoveries
 - Slow → ok for precision measurements
 - Z calibration needed for each push/pull cycle?
 - **Concern: Energy spread of positron beam**
 - Need a 5MeV precision on energy measurement
 - Check the scheme of e^+ production at the Z –pole

- Large interest for positron source issues
- Support to explore the polarized positron source from beginning
- Definition of minimal requirements are essential for successful development of the project
 - Need good communication between working groups
- Calibration data on the Z pole for physics
 - to be discussed also with MDI, detector and machine people