

Frank Tecker - BE/OP for the CTF3 Team

- Introduction
- Beam phase
- Improved operation mode
- Feasibility items
- Conclusion





Conclusion



Impressive progress in short time!

- Important progress despite the fire
- operation with full Drive Beam generation consolidated
- stability issues addressed and stability improved
 - CLIC current stability needs reached
 - CLIC klystron stability demonstrated
- Beam Driven RF power generation as expected
 - up to 200 MW generated in PETS structure (CLIC 135 MW nom.)
 - bunch phase crucial
 - still optimizing the combined beam
- First two-beam acceleration with 55 MV/m
- Many other points well covered
- many more detailed presentations on Wednesday and Thursday in WG6 (Drive beam complex and CTF3)

• Many Thanks to everyone who made this possible!!!

Phase variation along the pulse

• Combined pulse generated by 'chopping' and 'superimposing' the long bunch train => bunch phase of individual bunches kept (for ideal combination)

• phase variation leads to power reduction in combined beam



Frank Tecker

Critical Review of CTF3 performance



- Combination can be worsened by DL + CR path length error
- Example DL length error:



• we know the effects and we know how to correct



Form factor variation





- we observe a form factor variation along the pulse – here factor 4 beam (TBL – from R.Lillestøl)
- bunch phase / bunch length variation
- in addition: factor 8 combination gives lower form factor than factor 4
 => additional complication of DL length (we know how to change)





- flat phase MKS02/phase sag MKS03 due to pulse compression
- => energy, bunch length and beam phase variation after chicane



Critical Review of CTF3 performance



- flat phase along pulse for SHBs and pre-/buncher
- alternating phase sag for accelerating structures
- gives sufficiently low $\Delta E/E$ if well optimized
- still: bunch length variation + bunch phase variation





- Bunch length chicane has $R_{56}=0.45$ m at the moment
- 1% $\Delta E/E \Rightarrow$ 16.2 deg @ 3GHz phase \Rightarrow 65 deg @ 12 GHz
- => reduce R_{56} (but strong quads, more difficult)







- Phase dominated by energy variation in stretcher chicane
- afterwards isochronous optics => phase stays constant



Critical Review of CTF3 performance



- used RF pulse compression to minimize $\Delta E/E$ after the linac
- $D_{0608}=0.61m => 13mm = \sim 2\%$ to $\sim 3mm = \sim 0.5\% \Delta E/E$



Critical Review of CTF3 performance



Phase optimization



• this energy optimisation results in a phase optimisation



Frank Tecker

Critical Review of CTF3 performance



- same sag for SHBs and pre-/buncher + accelerating structures
- $\Delta E/E = 0$ along the pulse, no bunch length variation
- still: bunch phase variation could be compensated in Frascati or TL2 chicane (slight energy shaping along the pulse)
- tuning all along the pulse identical
- much less sensitive to phase errors [cos(~few deg)]

DB generation – mid 2011



Bunch train recombination

- Consolidate results, routine operation, stability of fully combined beam
- Transverse rms emittance
 - Complete TL2, TL2', TBTS commissioning full transport to CLEX
 - < 100 π mm mrad after ring, combined beam
 - < 150 π mm mrad in CLEX, combined beam
- Bunch length control to < 1 mm rms (combined beam)
 - Measurement campaign with different meas. systems (RF defl.& screen, fast streak-camera, RF monitors)
 - R₅₆ tuning experiments in Frascati chicane and TL2
- Beam current stability: improve slow variations, obtain ~0.2 % for combined beam
 - Full measurement campaign (find correlations, jitter sources)
 - Gun pulse flatness, "slow" feedback
 - Improve overall klystron stability (at least up to best performing klystrons)
 - Slow RF feedback (temp. in pulse compressors)





• PETS TBTS

- Initial configuration with variable power splitter & phase shifter
- Fast fall-back solution: recirculation with no active elements (maximum power to accelerating structure)
- Goal: nominal power / pulse length inside PETS with recirculation (135 MW, 250 ns total pulse length, 170 ns flat-top)
- Breakdown rate measurements (at high BD rate - extrapolation to lower rates)
- Operation w/out recirculation may have different breakdown rate...
- Test of new PETS on-off scheme (components and concept)
- Acc. structure in TBTS
 - TD24, initial conditioning in the shadow of PETS operation
 - Goal: nominal power / pulse length delivered to structure (65 MW, 250 ns total pulse length, 170 ns flat-top)

Two beam issues – mid 2011 CLIC -

TBTS

- Two-Beam test -100 MV/m, consistency between power & beam energy gain
- Drive beam, deceleration, power produced
- Probe beam, power delivered to accelerating structure, energy gain
- Beam Loading compensation experiment by varying fast phase switches check control of RF pulse shape with probe beam acceleration
- Measurement of breakdown kicks
- Measurement of effect of beam loading on breakdown rate

• TBL

- Measurement of deceleration / produced power
- Goal: deceleration by 30% (need 8 PETS installed) Measurement of energy spectrum
- Optics, steering algorithm studies





• CALIFES

- Fully reach nominal parameters (total charge)
- Bunch length measurements (RF defl. & screen)

• PHIN

- 2010: complete measurement program
- 2011: test of phase coding with beam

• Other

- First measurements of phase stability (PETS output, RF pickups...)
- Operation at 5 Hz (or more)
- Control of beam losses
- Coherent Diffraction Radiation (RHUL collaboration)

D . . .





- we understand how to improve power production
- primary goal: two-beam acceleration 100 MV/m (including RF power signals consistency and deceleration)
- complete the list of feasibility issues as much as possible
- new setup with increased current when MKS13 is available (2011)
- still a lot of work ahead...











CTF3 2010 outlook

Drive Beam Generation

- Bunch train recombination 2 x 4 in DL and CR (from 3.5 to 28 A)
- Transverse rms emittance < 150 π mm mrad (combined beam)
- Bunch length control to < 1 mm rms (combined beam)
- Beam current stability ~ 0.1 % for combined beam

Drive Beam Power Production & Two Beam Acceleration

- 20.8 A beam-powered test of a single PETS (without recirculation) in the TBTS
 - 135 MW (with 28 A potentially available in CLEX, the peak power can reach 240 MW)
 - 140 ns total pulse length
 - A measured breakdown rate in the range of 10-4 or lower
 - Operation of a few hundred hours at 1 Hz
- 7.4(10) A beam-powered test of a single PETS with ext. recirculation in TBTS
 - 135 (81) MW circulating power or 65 (65) MW available for accelerating testing
 - 250 ns total pulse length, 100 (170) ns flattish-top
 - A measured breakdown rate in the range of 10-4 or lower
 - Operation of a few hundred hours at 5 Hz
 - On/off/adjust will be demonstrated using the external reflection/recirculation system mounted on one of the PETS in TBL.

TBTS

- Improved measurements of power and energy loss.
- Breakdown transverse kick measurements.
- Probe Beam energy gain and beam loading tests.

TBTS studies and especially TBL results can happen only quite late in 2010...

• TBL

 The current schedule is to have 8 PETS installed as well as a spectrometer dump for energy spectrum studies, toward the summer 2010. This will allow to verify transport of a beam with up to 30% of the energy extracted.

Will be OK, possibly somewhat reduced performance...

Roberto's comments Feb 2010:

Overall reasonable goals, but difficult to have a few hundred hours, and 5 Hz





- current stability combined beam
- TBL deceleration
- <100 MV/m acceleration</p>
- emittance combined beam
- isochronicity DL + CR
- laser stability (PHIN)
- loss management, higher rep rate
- phase: linac, R56, path length DL + CR
- bunch compression TL2
- RF power calibrations
- gun current along pulse





• quite close to all requirements already at the end of 2009

Parameter	Unit	CLIC nominal	Present state	Objective mid 2011	Objective 2013
I initial	Α	4.2	5		
I final	Α	100	28	30	
Q _b	nC	8.4	4 (2.3 nom.)		
Emittance, norm rms	π mm mrad	≤ 150	≤ 100 (end of linac) ≤ 150 (y, comb. beam)	≤ 150 (comb. beam)	
Bunch length	mm	≤1	≤1 (comb. beam)		
Е	MeV	2400	120		150
T _{pulse} initial	μs	140	1.4		
T _{pulse} final	ns	240	140 (240)	140 (240)	140 (240)
Beam Load. Eff.	%	97	95		
Deceleration	%	90	-	30	50 or more
Phase stability @ 12 GHz	degrees	0.2	-	0.5 ?	0.2
Intensity stability		7.510 ⁻⁴ to few 10 ⁻	2 10 ⁻³ (comb.4)	2 10 ⁻³ (comb.8)	$\leq 1 \ 10^{-3} \ (\text{comb.8})$
Frank Tecker		Critical Review of CTF3 performance		IWLC 2010, 21.10.2010	