Summary of Simulation / Detector Performance / Reconstruction

Tomohiko Tanabe (ICEPP, U. of Tokyo) September 30, 2011 LCWS11 Granada, Spain



- core software
- event generation / simulation
- backgrounds
- detector models
- tracking
- PFA
- flavor tagging
- particle reconstruction

core software

F. Gaede

iLCSoft release v01-12

26-30, Sep Frank Gaede, LCWS11, Granada,

2011

		1 r		
CED	v01-03		Overlay	v00-11
CEDViewer	v01-03		PandoraPFANew	v00-07
CLHEP	2.0.4.5		OT	4.2.2
CondDBMvSQL	ILC-0-9-5		RAIDA	v01-06-01
Druid	1.8		StandardConfig	v03-00
Eutelescope	v00-06-03		cernlib	2006
KalTest	v01-02		dcap	1.9.5-5
KalDet	v01-02		gear	<u>v01-00</u>
LCFIVertex	v00-06		gsl	1.14
LCFI_MokkaBasedNets v00-01			lccd	v01-02
<u>Marlin</u>	<u>v01-01</u>		lcio	<u>v02-00</u>
MarlinPandora	v00-06		mysql	5.0.45
MarlinReco	v00-30		root	5.28.00f
MarlinTPC	v00-06		<u>ilcutil</u>	<u>v00-02</u>
<u>MarlinUtil</u>	<u>v01-04</u>		<u>MarlinTrk</u>	<u>v01-00</u>
<u>Mokka</u>	<u>mokka-07-07</u>		MarlinKinFit	v00-01
MokkaDBConfig	v03-02		MarlinFastJet	v00-02
] ,		
updated	development release targeted at			
new	aetting the software into shape			
this talk	for the DDD			
	for the DBD			

recent developments in Mokka

• major rewrite of some sub detector drivers :

- SIT, SET, ETD FTD Muon
- 2011 • increased level of detail and realism (incl. services)
- 26-30, made existing drivers more realistic:
 - TPC, AHCal, Ecal
- Sep new drivers (technology options):
 - SDHCal, SciEcal
- Granada, added overall services and cables

Gaede, LCWS11, new models under development:

ILD_01_pre02 - AHCal and Si-Ecal ILD_01_SDH_pre00 - SDHCal and Si-Ecal ILD_01_SciW_pre00 - AHCal and Scintillator-Ecal

Frank next steps:

- finalize and debug these models
- adopt new Gear materials



Simulation & Reconstruction

N. Graf

- SLIC provides full detector simulation in Geant4
 - runtime detector description in XML
 - stdhep input
 - standard LCIO output
- org.lcsim reconstruction/analysis suite
 - Java-based reconstruction & analysis framework
 - full, *ab initio* signal digitization, track finding & fitting, calorimeter cluster finding and association (PFA)
 - LCIO provides access to global LC code base
 - flavor-tagging via LCFI
 - PFA via Pandora
 - AIDA histogramming and fitting
 - WIRED 3-D event display



More realistic detector models.

16

event generation

S. Poss

A. Miyamoto





Over 50 million events generated & reconstructed for CLIC CDR.

Akiya Miyamoto, LCWS2011

Test samples for DBD available.

27 September 2011

fast event simulation

M. Berggren

The need for fast simulation

The need for fast simulation

- We have very good full simulation now.
- So why bother about fast simulation ?
- Answer:
 - R. Heuer yesterday: We need to update the physics case continuously.
 - Light-weight: run anywhere, no need to read tons of manuals and doxygen pages.
 - Anyhow, the LOI exercise showed that for physics, the fastSim studies were good enough.

But most of all:

Fast simulation is Fast !



Mikael Berggren (DESY-HH)

SGV 3.0 - a fast detector simulation

Fast simulation

SGV: How it works

SGV is a machine to calculate covariance matrices

Tracking: Follow track-helix through the detector, to find what layers are hit by the particle.



- From this, calculate cov. mat. at perigee, including effects of material, measurement errors and extrapolation. NB: this is exactly what Your track fit does!
- Smear perigee parameters accordingly, with Choleski decomposition (takes all correlations into account)
- Information on hit-pattern accessible to analysis. Co-ordinates of hits accessible: ICWS Granada 2011 7/24

SGV: new fast event simulator based on covariance matrix calculation.

3/24

LCWS, Granada, 2011

Beam halo muon background at CLIC

M.Thomson



Software Mitigation Implement algorithms in Particle Flow Reconstruction to remove "clusters" consistent with being from beam halo muons Only uses shape information Algorithm is run deep down in reconstruction chain Quite sophisticated – approximation to realistic pattern recognition



Beam halo background of I/BX is manageable. 5/BX degrades physics performance.

Impact on W Reconstruction



- ★ Compare impact of 5/BX to 1/BX
 - Beam halo background at level of 1 muon/BX is acceptable
 - "Safety-margin" of 5/BX is not safe from point of view of physics
 - PatRec could be improved but already quite sophisticated



vertex detectors

N. Sinev

D. Kamai

Si tracking based on mini-vectors.



New PixSim drivers for CLIC. Improvements in reconstruction.

tracking

F. Gaede

de, LCWS11, Granada, Sep 26-30, 2011

track finding efficiency I IMarlinTrack and IMarlinTrkSystem KalTest TPC track finding efficiency - ttbar @ 500 GeV prompt tracks PCA(IP)<10cm Kalman Filter fitting library • > 5 TPC Hits (Keisuke Fuji et al) IMarlinTrkSystem • (pt >100 MeV) onfigure Geometry egister and Overse • (|cos(th) |>.99) Based on Root Structured in sub-libraries comparison to LEPTracking aeomlib -- geometry IMarlinTrack pattern recognition kallib -- Kalman filter User needs to define their detector c Lib B kaltracklib -- Kalman tracker (KalDet) propagat TVMeasLayer: meas. layer, coord p /GeV • NB: Clupatra has no fully utils -- utilities state transformation Built into one libKalTest.so reconstructed tracks yet TVDetector: position of measureme and no quality cuts are and material properties applied Since ALCPG treatment or Track Parameter Pull Distributions rotated planes have been by Daisuke Kamai • high efficiency demonstrates that algorithm works and ILD Tracking LCWS 2011 could replace old f77 code Steve Aplin soon 0.8 0.9 11 $lcos(\theta)$ null TanLambda null TanLambd Improved patrec for ILD tracking (clupatra). Use Kalman filter library (KalTest). ILD tracking without F77! null TanLambd null TanLambdi Steve Aplin ILD Tracking LCWS 2011 28 September 201



R. Glattauer

Forward tracking based on cellular automaton, Kalman filter, neural network. Standalone tracking to deal with beam-related background. S.Aplin

PFA

. Marshall

Đ 0.45

٥.4 ٥.4

Laction 0.35

0.25

0.15

Jet Energy Performance CLIC ILD CDR $Z \rightarrow uds$ events, CLIC ILD CDR $E_z = 1, 2, 3 \text{TeV}, |\cos \theta| < 0.7$ (E) 0.1 CLIC ILD CDR, E. No Photon Cluster Photon Clustering **CLIC ILD: Particle Id in Jets** Efficiency Efficien 6.0 Muons 0.9Ē 0.85 0.8E 0.8E 0.75E tt events without background 0.75 tt events without background 0.7E 0.7E tt events with background tt events with background 0.65E 0.65 0.6, Energy⁵⁰⁰[GeV] θ [°] For the CLIC ILD detector model, the events considered are $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV. • The simulated samples included both fully-hadronic and semi-leptonic final states: $tt \rightarrow b(qq)b(qq)$ (six jets) and $tt \rightarrow b(qq)b(l\nu)$ (four jets, lepton and missing energy). Mean efficiency without background: 94% ± 1% Mean efficiency with background: 94% ± 1% John Marshall, 18





A. Muennich

PFA Performance at CLIC

Improvements in PFA algorithms; lepton ID. Performance studies for CLIC.

flavor tagging

J. Strube

T. Tanabe

10

Light Higgs decays to bottom and charm

- Mean energy of Jets 130 GeV
- Using FastNN for training
- Additional track-based variables used in additional step
- b and c(!) tagging



LCFIVertex framework

- improvements in vertex finding, jet clustering, flavor tagging in a unified way
 - creation of a new framework suited to this task
 - data types: event, track, neutral, mcparticle, jet, vertex
 - algorithms: vertex finding, jet clustering, flavor tagging



On-going effort to improve flavor tagging.

T. Tanabe

particle reconstruction

G.Wilson

B. van Doren



T. Barklow



Shower fitting improves calorimeter measurements. Constrained fits improve pi0,W/Z resolution. Tremendous amount of progress made (mostly) driven by

• CLIC CDR

DBD for ILD & SiD

• Keep up the good work!