Intrinsic properties of hadron showers and absorber materials

V. L. Morgunov DESY and ITEP



CALICE analysis meeting, 26 November, 2012.

The copy of this talk one can find at the http://www.desy.de/~morgunov

1



- 1. Long–long introduction
- 2. Binding discrepancies v.s. GEANT4 Models
- 3. EM fraction v.s. GEANT4 Models
- 4. Binding discrepancies v.s. absorber materials
- 5. EM fraction v.s. absorber materials
- 6. Cross-correlations and connections with a visible energy

Used GEANT4 Physics Lists

QGSP+BERT 3.4

PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LEPionMinusInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	9.9
QGSP+BERT+CHIPS 2.0						
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LEPionMinusInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	9.9
QGSP+FTFP+BERT 3.6						
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		FTFP:	Emin(GeV)=	6	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	8
FTFP+BERT 1.3						
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	FTFP:	Emin(GeV)=	4	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	5
QGSP+INCL+ABLA 0.2						
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LEPionMinusInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	2.9	Emax(GeV) =	9.9
		INCL/ABLA Cascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	3

CHIPS

FOR ALL PARTICLES AT ANY ENERGY

Another Physics Lists do not conserve energy – it is impossible to calculate a correct binding discrepancy.

Anyway – GHEISHA for Λ and another hyperons

This information text is presented at all Physics Lists of GEANT4 except CHIPS.

hadElastic	Models:	hElasticLHEP:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) = 100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) = 100000
LambdaInelastic	Models:	G4LELambdaInelastic: G4HELambdaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)= Emin(GeV)=	0 20	Emax(GeV)= 25 Emax(GeV)= 100000
LambdaInelastic	Crs sctns:	GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)= 100000

Hadronic Processes for <lambda>

This means that the GHEISHA hadron inelastic code will destroy an energy conservation law in any calculations.

We are happy that the probability to create hyperons is rather small.

So, not all events will consist of such a distortion.

Energy deposited at the calorimeter volume and aside



Simple sum of the deposited energies taken for every GEANT tracking step

independently on volume where it is occurred:

$$E_{dep} + = aStep - > GetTotalEnergyDeposit();$$

Leakage is accounted as a sum of kinetic energies for particles that leave a calorimeter volume.

By the way, the sum of the deposited energies for electromagnetic cascade is a delta function at beam energy.

5

Nuclear Binding Energy

Binding energy of nuclide is defined as: $_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{BE}^{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Z} * (\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}}) + \mathbf{N} * \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{n}} -_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{A}}$ (Eq. 1)

where Z denotes the number of protons of the nuclides and N its number of neutrons.

A denotes the sum of Z and N (number of nucleons in the nuclide) and $_z M^A$ is a measured mass of isotope.

The binding discrepancy for every interaction in the hadron shower can be represented as:

 $\mathbf{dBE} = \ _{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{BE}_{\mathbf{traget}}^{\mathbf{A}} - \sum_{\mathbf{sec.}}^{\mathbf{nucl.}} \ _{\mathbf{Z}^{*}}\mathbf{BE}^{\mathbf{A}^{*}}$ (Eq. 2)

We will use a sum of individual discrepancies of all nuclear interactions in hadron shower: $\sum_{interac}^{hadr.} dBE_i$

This sum is equal to a growth of the calorimeter mass

after each hadron shower occurred during beam data taking.

Such a sum is the fundamental intrinsic property of the material, like ϵ , μ , X_0 or Λ_0 It characterizes an ability of hadron cascade energy to be converted into mass of absorber material.

This property was commonly and hardly used for a long period of time to calculate nuclear power stations and others. But it was used at low energies of projectiles (less then 20 MeV) and for small number of particles (like neutrons and gammas). Now, we will try to use it at the high energies and for all kind of known particles.

At GEANT4 one can get a binding energy for any isotope with

static G4NucleiProperties :: GetBindingEnergy(A, Z);

Binding Energy Discrepancies



Binding Energy of Hadron Shower



This is that we lost in sum of deposited energies.

Balance of energy in one interaction and in the hadron shower

Energy conservation equation for any individual nuclear interaction inside a calorimeter at lab. system looks like:

$$\mathbf{E_{proj}} + \mathbf{z}\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{sec.}^{part.} \mathbf{E_{part.}} + \sum_{sec.}^{nucl.} \mathbf{z}^{*}\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{A}^{*}} + \sum_{sec.}^{nucl.} \mathbf{E_{kin}}$$
 (Eq. 3)

where $\mathbf{E_{proj}}$ is a total energy of reaction projectile; $_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{A}}$ is a target mass; $\mathbf{E_{part.}}$ total energy of "usual" particles produced at a nuclear reaction (leptons, gammas, mesons and baryons); $_{\mathbf{Z}^*}\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{A}^*}$ is a mass of produced nuclides; $\mathbf{E_{kin}}$ is a kinetic energy of produced nuclides. Sum are along all secondaries of the reaction.

Energy balance for one event (one beam particles and hadron shower):

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{beam}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{dep.}} + \sum_{\mathbf{leak}}^{\mathbf{part.}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{kin}} + \sum \mathbf{dBE_i}$$
 (Eq. 4)

where: $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{beam}}$ is a total beam energy in the case of π – beam and/or a kinetic energy for proton beam; $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{dep}}$ is energy deposited at the whole calorimeter volume (mainly by ionization); $\sum_{\mathbf{leak}}^{\mathbf{part}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{kin}}$ is an energy leak from a calorimeter volume.

 $\sum dBE_i$ is a sum of binding discrepancies in all nuclear interactions (see Eq. 2). This energy is converted into increasing of the calorimeter mass. This is a totally invisible value, except, maybe, one would be able to measure the weight of our calorimeter (\approx 8 tons) with an accuracy better than 10^{-27} gram after each beam particles passed through the calorimeter.

Total balance of calorimeter energy (prove of Eq 4.)

Simple sum of deposited energies and binding discrepancies. (This is not a delta function yet, but its near by)



Remark 1: Some of the GEANT4 routines does not conserve energy

Remark 2: Nuclear interaction made by GHEISHA could be presented independently of the physics list if the nuclear interaction will initiated with a hyperon as a projectile.

Remark 3: GHEISHA does not conserve energy and it does not produce a secondaries nuclei.

Total balance of calorimeter energy



QGSP+INCL+ABLA keeps an energy conservation much worse the than others.

That is mainly a result of GHEISHA presence. But we will use it anyway.

Target mass distribution



We will show four different calorimeters with Fe, Cu, W and Lead absorbers.

Natural Cooper consist of two isotopes; Iron and Lead consist of four isotopes each; natural Tungsten consist of five isotopes. (see table in Appendix)





Energy of a primary track at the point of the first interaction one can see at the right hand side of the distributions.

The secondaries have 2–3 times less energy than the initial beam energy.

We will show seven beam energies; E_{kin} = 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15 GeV.

Number of hadron interactions per event

Registered interaction has a meaning: Target nuclide was found, number of secondaries larger than two and at least one nuclide or nucleon was found in the secondaries.



Average number of interaction is of about 20 / GeV at Fe absorber.



Introduction is finished

Questions?

Now we will go to GEANT4 hadron interaction models in details.

Binding energy discrepancy by different Models, 2 GeV



The difference in the predictions is of about factor 3 ! (but, we have that we have)

We should emphasis here that the Bertini model was used successfully during of about 40 years; but is was written for low energies, and now it is extended to higher energy voluntary.

INCL+ABLA model is the modern model, and it is used by atomic agencies of all over the world.

CHIPS is also very modern model with attempt to build it from the first principles.

Binding energy discrepancy by different Models, 15 GeV



The difference in the predictions is of about factor 2 !

Binding energy discrepancy by different Models



The mean value of a binding energy discrepancy has a deal with the main part of so called e/pi ratio. That is not due to a different response of the calorimeter detectors to electron and hadron but it is the intrinsic properties of the hadron shower (Recall: a part of the cascade energy goes into mass of the calorimeter).

The width of binding energy discrepancy goes into hadron energy resolution straightforward.

So, the predicted e/pi ratio as well as a hadron energy resolution will be rather differ for different Physics Lists of GEANT4, as we can see at these plots.

EM fraction of shower energy

Definition: Ratio of the energy sum of gammas created by different processes (excluding EM processes) to whole deposited energy in a hadron cascade.

- 1. Gammas from decay of heavy baryons, like $\Lambda^0 o n + \gamma$
- 2. Gammas produced at nuclear interaction
 - a) Gammas produced by π^0 and η^0
 - b) Individual gammas; basically come from ($n \ \gamma$) reactions and residual nuclei deexcitation



EM fraction of shower energy, 2 GeV



INCL+ABLA produces much less of deexcitation gammas than any other physics models.

EM fraction of shower energy, 15 GeV













EM fraction of shower energy, 15 GeV



The difference in the predictions is of about factor 2 !



CHIPS looks like incorrect in EM fraction dependency on beam energy. It shows too small slope.

FTFP+BERT and INCL+ABLA looks better, but INCL+ABLA predicts too small values; this looks like incorrect also.

Maybe above statements follow from the fact that the Bertini model was unique for very long time and we have got an accustom to its prediction. EM fraction was never measured by experiment – predictions only exist.

Intermediate Conclusion

An accuracy of the calculations of the intrinsic properties like binding energy

discrepancies and the electromagnetic fraction of energy in hadron cascades is not as good as we expected for modern Physics Lists of GEANT4.

But for the first time it is enough to make a simple estimation of the absorber qualities/quantities

for the next hadron calorimeter design.

Properties of the Calorimeter Absorber Materials

Today we will try to explore a new way to the hadron calorimeter design.

We will discuss 4 absorber materials Iron, Cooper, Tungsten and Lead.

Deposited energy and Binding discrepancy, FTFP+BERT



Bertini predicts unexpectedly small deviations for Tungsten binding discrepancy sum,

in compare with Iron, Cooper or Lead absorbers.

Deposited energy and Binding discrepancy, CHIPS



CHIPS predicts no so big difference between Tungsten and Iron in compare with FTFP+Bertini.

Deposited energy and Binding discrepancy, INCL+ABLA



INCL+ABLA prediction is near by Bertini one.

Sum of binding discrepancies



RMS of the binding discrepancy sum is shown with open markers.

Predicted Intrinsic "pi/e" Ratio



One of the interesting plots. Maybe CALICE is able to distinguish between GEANT4 models ?

Number of interactions





Tungsten shows almost three times more nuclear interactions per GeV in compare with other materials.

Number of nuclear interactions in a hadron cascade calculated using an inelastic cross-sections.

The inelastic cross-section is the most well known values. It should be the same for all nuclides and projectiles

independently on the intranuclear cascade model. (Is this correct for GEANT4 ? I do not know.)

Cross–Correlations

It will be a short show just to feel a taste.

It needs much more time for detailed investigation.

Cross–Correlations



This anti-correlation shows an accuracy of the energy conservation law by GEANT4.

Sum of binding discrepancies vs N interactions



The picture of the biggest visible difference between absorber materials.

But, Tungsten is the material that does not "want" to convert a shower energy into mass of the absorber, even having much higher number of hadron interactions!

Reason for such a big difference is the values of cross-sections of so called (n, γ) reactions at low energy range.

Cross-sections Fe,Pb,W. thanks to nds.iaea.

Iron





Cross–Correlations



This anti-correlation shows: if a cascade energy converted/goes into electro-magnetic form than the binding discrepancies goes to zero.

Sampling fraction for Fe calorimeter (20 mm Fe + 5 mm Scint)



All GEANT4 models are in a tender agreement about intrinsic sampling fraction of Iron calorimeter.

Sampling fraction for W and Pb calorimeters

(20 mm W + 5 mm Scint)





But a tiny agreement between models is finished just if we change a calorimeter material.



Well known face is presented here.

How do we see this face through the sampling calorimeter?

This is of about 10% of visibility. We should recall that the CALICE calorimeter sampling fraction is of about 3% only.

By the way this example is also concerned of any extremely high granularity detectors for sampling calorimeter.



The correlations are bad as it was expected for 3% of samling fraction.



The correlations become to be invisible if we use CHIPS model for Tungsten calorimeter. We used 20mm W as an

absorber and 5mm scintillator thickness. Sampling fraction of such a calorimeter is of about 60 (1.5%).



INCL+ABNLA also do not see any correlations at Tungsten calorimeter.



As well as QGSP+BERT.



Appendix

Table of Isotopes presented at the detector Geometry

Baryon :	proton[1:1]	Mass	=	0.938272	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	0	[MeV]
Nucleus:	GenericIon[1:1]	Mass	=	0.938272	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	0	[MeV]
Nucleus:	<pre>deuteron[1:2]</pre>	Mass	=	1.87561	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	2.22457	[MeV]
Nucleus:	<pre>triton[1:3]</pre>	Mass	=	2.80892	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	8.48180	[MeV]
Nucleus:	He3[2:3]	Mass	=	2.80839	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	7.71804	[MeV]
Nucleus:	alpha[2:4]	Mass	=	3.72738	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	28.2957	[MeV]
Nucleus:	C12[0.0][6:12]	Mass	=	11.1749	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	92.1617	[MeV]
Nucleus:	C13[0.0][6:13]	Mass	=	12.1095	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	97.1080	[MeV]
Nucleus:	N14[0.0][7:14]	Mass	=	13.0402	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	104.659	[MeV]
Nucleus:	N15[0.0][7:15]	Mass	=	13.9689	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	115.492	[MeV]
Nucleus:	016[0.0][8:16]	Mass	=	14.8951	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	127.619	[MeV]
Nucleus:	017[0.0][8:17]	Mass	=	15.8305	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	131.762	[MeV]
Nucleus:	018[0.0][8:18]	Mass	=	16.762	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	139.806	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Ar36[0.0][18:36]	Mass	=	33.4944	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	306.717	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Ar38[0.0][18:38]	Mass	=	35.3529	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	327.342	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Ar40[0.0][18:40]	Mass	=	37.2155	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	343.810	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Fe54[0.0][26:54]	Mass	=	50.2312	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	471.763	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Fe56[0.0][26:56]	Mass	=	52.0898	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	492.258	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Fe57[0.0][26:57]	Mass	=	53.0217	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	499.904	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Fe58[0.0][26:58]	Mass	=	53.9512	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	509.949	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Cu63[0.0][29:63]	Mass	=	58.6038	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	551.384	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Cu65[0.0][29:65]	Mass	=	60.4651	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	569.211	[MeV]
Nucleus:	W180[0.0][74:180]	Mass	=	167.582	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1444.59	[MeV]
Nucleus:	W182[0.0][74:182]	Mass	=	169.446	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1459.33	[MeV]
Nucleus:	W183[0.0][74:183]	Mass	=	170.38	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1465.52	[MeV]
Nucleus:	W184[0.0][74:184]	Mass	=	171.312	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1472.94	[MeV]
Nucleus:	W186[0.0][74:186]	Mass	=	173.178	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1485.88	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Pb204[0.0][82:204]	Mass	=	189.958	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1607.51	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Pb206[0.0][82:206]	Mass	=	191.823	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1622.32	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Pb207[0.0][82:207]	Mass	=	192.755	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1629.06	[MeV]
Nucleus:	Pb208[0.0][82:208]	Mass	=	193.688	[GeV]	Bind.	enrg.	=	1636.43	[MeV]

QGSP+FTFP+BERT 3.6

Hadronic Processes for <neutron>

hadElastic	Models:	hElasticCHIPS:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	CHIPSElasticXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
NeutronInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
		FTFP:	Emin(GeV)=	6 Ema	ax(GeV)= 25	
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV) = 8	
NeutronInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
	G4CrossSect	ionPairGG: Wellisch-Lai	dlaw cross sec	tions		
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo	ve			
		G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
	G4CrossSect	ionPairGG: Wellisch-Lai	dlaw cross sec	tions		
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
nCapture	Models:	G4LCapture:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 20	000
nCapture	Crs sctns:	GheishaCaptureXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
nFission	Models:	G4LFission:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 20	000
nFission	Crs sctns:	GheishaFissionXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0 Ema	ax(GeV)= 10	0000
		Hadronic Processes for	<pi-></pi->			
hadElast	ic Models:	hElasticLH	EP: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	1
		hElasticGlaub	er: Emin(GeV)=	1	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElast	ic Crs sctns:	Barashenkov-Glaub	er: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		GheishaElast	ic: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
PionMinusInelast	ic Models:	QG	SP: Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		FT	FP: Emin(GeV)=	6	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCasca	de: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	8
PionMinusInelast	ic Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPair	GG: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossS	ectionPairGG: G4PiNucle	arCrossSection	cross	sections	
	below	91 GeV, Glauber-Gribov	above			
		G4CrossSectionPair	GG: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossS	ectionPairGG: G4PiNucle	arCrossSection	cross	sections	
	below	91 GeV, Glauber-Gribov	above			
		GheishaInelast	ic: Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000

QGSP+BERT 3.4

Hadronic Processes for <neutron>

	-					
hadElastic	Models:	hElasticCHIPS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	CHIPSElasticXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
NeutronInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LENeutronInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	9.9
NeutronInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossSec	tionPairGG: Wellisch-Laid	dlaw cross s	section	ıs	
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
nCapture	Models:	G4LCapture:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	20000
nCapture	Crs sctns:	GheishaCaptureXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
nFission	Models:	G4LFission:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	20000
nFission	Crs sctns:	GheishaFissionXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
	Н	adronic Processes for <p< td=""><td>i-></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></p<>	i->			
	-					
hadElastic	Models:	hElasticLHEP:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	1
		hElasticGlauber:	Emin(GeV) =	1	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	Barashenkov-Glauber:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV) =	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LEPionMinusInelastic:	Emin(GeV) =	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	9.9
PionMinusInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossSec	tionPairGG: G4PiNuclearC	rossSection	cross	sections	
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000

FTFP+BERT 1.3

Hadronic Processes for <neutron>

hadElastic	Models:	hElasticCHIPS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	CHIPSElasticXS:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
NeutronInelastic	Models:	FTFP:	Emin(GeV) =	4	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	5
NeutronInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossSe	ectionPairGG: Wellisch-Laid	dlaw cross s	section	ns	
	below 9	91 GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
nCapture	Models:	G4LCapture:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	20000
nCapture	Crs sctns:	GheishaCaptureXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
nFission	Models:	G4LFission:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	20000
nFission	Crs sctns:	GheishaFissionXS:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
		Hadronic Processes for <p< td=""><td>i-></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></p<>	i->			
hadElastic	Models:	hElasticLHEP:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	1
		hElasticGlauber:	Emin(GeV)=	1	Emax(GeV) =	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	Barashenkov-Glauber:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	FTFP:	Emin(GeV)=	4	Emax(GeV) =	100000
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	5
PionMinusInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
	G4CrossSe	ectionPairGG: G4PiNuclearC	rossSection	cross	sections	
	below 9	91 GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo [.]	ve			

GheishaInelastic: Emin(GeV)= 0 Emax(GeV)= 100000

QGSP+BERT+CHIPS 2.0

Hadronic Processes for <neutron>

	-					
hadElastic	Models:	hElasticCHIPS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	CHIPSElasticXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
NeutronInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LENeutronInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	9.9
NeutronInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossSec	tionPairGG: Wellisch-Laid	dlaw cross s	section	ns	
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abo	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
nCapture	Models:	G4LCapture:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	20000
nCapture	Crs sctns:	GheishaCaptureXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
nFission	Models:	G4LFission:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	20000
nFission	Crs sctns:	GheishaFissionXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	Н	adronic Processes for <p< td=""><td>i-></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></p<>	i->			
	-					
hadElastic	Models:	hElasticLHEP:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	1
		hElasticGlauber:	Emin(GeV) =	1	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	Barashenkov-Glauber:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV) =	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LEPionMinusInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV) =	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	9.9
PionMinusInelastic	Crs sctns:	G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossSec	tionPairGG: G4PiNuclearC	rossSection	cross	sections	
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abov	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000

QGSP+INCL+ABLA 0.2

Hadronic Processes for <neutron>

hadElastic	Models:	hElasticCHIPS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	CHIPSElasticXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
NeutronInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV)=	12	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4LENeutronInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV)=	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV)=	2.9	Emax(GeV)=	9.9
		INCL/ABLA Cascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	3
NeutronInelastic	Crs sctns:	Wellisch-Laidlaw:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
		G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	G4CrossSect	ionPairGG: Wellisch-Laid	dlaw cross s	section	ıs	
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abov	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
nCapture	Models:	G4LCapture:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	20000
nCapture	Crs sctns:	GheishaCaptureXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
nFission	Models:	G4LFission:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	20000
nFission	Crs sctns:	GheishaFissionXS:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV)=	100000
	На	dronic Processes for <p:< td=""><td>i-></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></p:<>	i->			
hadElastic	Models:	hElasticLHEP:	Emin(GeV) =	0	Emax(GeV) =	1
		hElasticGlauber:	Emin(GeV)=	1	Emax(GeV) =	100000
hadElastic	Crs sctns:	Barashenkov-Glauber:	Emin(GeV) =	0	$\operatorname{Emax}(\operatorname{GeV}) =$	100000
		GheishaElastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000
PionMinusInelastic	Models:	QGSP:	Emin(GeV) =	12	$\operatorname{Emax}(\operatorname{GeV}) =$	100000
		G4LEPionMinusInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	9.5	Emax(GeV) =	25
		BertiniCascade:	Emin(GeV) =	2.9	$\operatorname{Emax}(\operatorname{GeV}) =$	9.9
		INCL/ABLA Cascade:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	3
PionMinusInelastic	Crs sctns: G	4PiNuclearCrossSection:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	99900
		G4CrossSectionPairGG:	Emin(GeV) =	0	$\operatorname{Emax}(\operatorname{GeV}) =$	100000
	G4CrossSect	ionPairGG: G4PiNuclearC	rossSection	cross	sections	
	below 91	GeV, Glauber-Gribov abov	ve			
		GheishaInelastic:	Emin(GeV)=	0	Emax(GeV) =	100000



CHIPS does not print such a table

Description of GEANT4 Process types

```
===> Process: Type = 1 Transportation
===> Process: Type = 1 : SubType = 91;
===> Process: Type = 2 : SubType = 1;
CoulombScat: for mu+
CoulombScat: for mu-
===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 10;
msc:
           for e-
           for proton
msc:
           for mu+
muMsc:
msc:
           for pi-
           for GenericIon
msc:
===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 2; dE/dX
eIoni:
           for e-
eIoni:
           for e+
muIoni:
           for mu+
muIoni:
          for mu-
hIoni:
           for kaon+
hIoni:
           for kaon-
hIoni:
          for pi+
hIoni:
          for pi-
hIoni:
           for proton
hIoni:
           for anti_proton
          for GenericIon
ionIoni:
```

Description of GEANT4 Process types

===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 3; eBrem: for eeBrem: for e+ muBrems: for mu+ muBrems: for muhBrems: for proton hBrems: for kaon+ hBrems: for kaonhBrems: for pi+ for pihBrems: ===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 4; hPairProd: for proton hPairProd: for kaonmuPairProd: for mu+ muPairProd: for muhPairProd: for pi+ PairProd: for pi-===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 5; annihil: for e+ ===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 12; for gammas phot: ===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 13; compt: ===> Process = Type = 2 : SubType = 14; conv:

Description of GEANT4 Process types

ElectroNuclear Hadronic Processes for <e-> PhotonInelastic Hadronic Processes for <gamma>

===> Process = Type = 1 : SubType = 91; Transportation Secondaries are protons after elasic scattering

===> Process = Type = 4 : SubType = 111; hadElastic;

===> Process = Type = 4 : SubType = 121;

PionMinusInelastic

PionPlusInelastic

KaonPlusInelastic

KaonMinusInelastic LambdaInelastic

ProtonInelastic

AntiProtonInelastic

NeutronInelastic

AntiNeutronInelastic

ionInelastic Hadronic for <GenericIon>

===> Process = Type = 4 : SubType = 131; nCapture;

===> Process = Type = 4 : SubType = -1; CHIPSNuclearCaptureAtRest; Particle: pi- (meson)

===> Process = 6 : 201 Decay; Particle: mu+ (lepton) Particle: pi+ (meson) Particle: pi0 (meson) Particle: kaon0S (meson) Particle: triton (nucleus) ?????

Deposited energy and Binding discrepancy, 2 GeV



Deposited energy and Binding discrepancy, 6 GeV













Deposited energy and Binding discrepancy, 15 GeV

QGSP+INCL+ABLA

n











V.L. Morgunov

Example of error log, CHIPS

```
NONE CONSERGVATION at Post Step dE = 1.88983 [MeV] E dep = 1.88983 [MeV]
==== Particle: mu- (lepton) at process 4 : 151 CHIPSNuclearCaptureAtRest
 E_{tot} is not E_{sqrt}(P^{2}+M^{2}) E_{tot} = 103.769 E_{calc} = 105.658 [MeV]
Traget is not found Nseco = 6 at 4 : -1 CHIPSNuclearCaptureAtRest
=> Target is not found:
                            Proj: anti_proton (baryon) at 4 : 151 CHIPSNuclearCaptureAtRest
    Nsec = 6 N found nucleus = 0 Nbar = 0 Charg = -1
   Estimated mass = 0.932197 E_pre = 938.272 E_post = 1870.47 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 6 E kin sum = 1046.83 [MeV]
       Secondaries:
     ====> pi+ (meson) E tot = 291.76 E kin = 152.19 [MeV]
     ====> pi- (meson) E_tot = 326.523 E_kin = 186.953 [MeV]
     ====> pi0 (meson) E_tot = 208.63 E_kin = 73.653 [MeV]
     ====> pi0 (meson) E_tot = 289.859 E_kin = 154.883 [MeV]
     ====> pi- (meson) E_tot = 261.241 E_kin = 121.671 [MeV]
     ====> pi0 (meson) E tot = 492.456 E kin = 357.48 [MeV]
Traget is not found Nseco = 4 at 4 : 151 CHIPSNuclearCaptureAtRest
                            Proj: pi- (meson) at 4 : 151 CHIPSNuclearCaptureAtRest
=> Target is not found:
    Nsec = 4 N found nucleus = 2 Nbar = 10 Charg = 4
   Estimated mass = 9.32159 E pre = 139.57 E post = 9461.16 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 4 E_kin sum = 127.269 [MeV] ballance = 1.85328e+06[keV]
       Secondaries:
     ====> neutron (baryon) E_tot = 1007.1 E_kin = 67.5315 [MeV]
     ====> alpha (nucleus) E_tot = 3757.54 E_kin = 30.1567 [MeV]
     ====> alpha (nucleus) E_tot = 3754.81 E_kin = 27.4336 [MeV]
     ====> neutron (baryon) E_tot = 941.713 E_kin = 2.14743 [MeV]
```

Example of error log, FTFP+BERT

```
Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
Traget is not found
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 12 at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
                           Proj: mu- (lepton) at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
=> Target is not found:
    Nsec = 12 N found nucleus = 0 Nbar = 0 Charg = -7
   Estimated mass = 0.00306599 E pre = 105.658 E post = 108.724 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 12 E_kin sum = 105.147 [MeV]
      Secondaries:
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511511 E_kin = 0.000511661 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511081 E_kin = 8.17447e-05 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 0.511102 E kin = 0.000103022 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511131 E_kin = 0.000132379 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511173 E_kin = 0.000174049 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 0.511234 E kin = 0.000235238 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.000328869 E_kin = 0.000328869 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.000479682 E_kin = 0.000479682 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.0959534 E_kin = 0.0959534 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 41.6755 E kin = 41.1645 [MeV]
     ====> anti_nu_e (lepton) E_tot = 23.9796 E_kin = 23.9796 [MeV]
     ====> nu_mu (lepton) E_tot = 39.9054 E_kin = 39.9054 [MeV]
```

Example of error log, QGSP+INCL+ABLA

```
Nseco = 8 at 4 : 121 PionMinusInelastic
Traget is not found
Traget is not found GHEISHA or INCL/ABLA case (4:121)
   Nseco = 8 N found nucleus = 1 Nbar = 3 Charg = 3
   Estimated mass = 2.59888 E pre = 14833 E post = 17431.9 [GeV]
 pi- input E = 14.8324 sum sec. E = 17.4319[GeV] diff = -2599.49[MeV]
          Particle: pi0 (meson st pi) E tot = 9994.64 E kin = 9859.67 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E tot = 1239.33 E kin = 301.061 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi0 (meson st pi) E_tot = 1383.23 E_kin = 1248.25 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi- (meson st pi) E_tot = 582.685 E_kin = 443.115 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi0 (meson st pi) E_tot = 164.039 E_kin = 29.0623 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E_tot = 1213.68 E_kin = 275.412 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E_tot = 1556.35 E_kin = 618.078 [MeV]
     ====
          Particle: pi+ (meson st pi) E_tot = 1297.9 E_kin = 1158.32 [MeV]
     ====
                     Nseco = 12 at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
Traget is not found
=> Target is not found:
                            Proj: mu- (lepton) at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
    Nsec = 12 N found nucleus = 0 Nbar = 0 Charg = -7
   Estimated mass = 0.00306599 E pre = 105.658 E post = 108.724 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 12 E kin sum = 105.147 [MeV] ballance = 1e+10[keV]
       Secondaries:
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511511 E_kin = 0.000511661 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511081 E_kin = 8.17447e-05 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511102 E_kin = 0.000103022 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511131 E_kin = 0.000132379 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511173 E_kin = 0.000174049 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511234 E_kin = 0.000235238 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 0.000328869 E kin = 0.000328869 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 0.000479682 E kin = 0.000479682 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 0.0959534 E kin = 0.0959534 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 30.166 E_kin = 29.655 [MeV]
     ====> anti_nu_e (lepton) E_tot = 34.7683 E_kin = 34.7683 [MeV]
     ====> nu_mu (lepton) E_tot = 40.626 E_kin = 40.626 [MeV]
```

Example of error log, QGSP+INCL+ABLA

Traget is not found Nseco = 1 at 4 : 121 NeutronInelastic
Traget is not found Nseco = 1 at 4 : 121 PionMinusInelastic
Traget is not found Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
Traget is not found Nseco = 4 at 4 : 121 NeutronInelastic
Traget is not found GHEISHA or INCL/ABLA case (4:121)
Nseco = 4 N found nucleus = 1 Nbar = 12 Charg = 5
Estimated mass = 10.2496 E_pre = 959.204 E_post = 11208.8 [GeV]
neutron input E = 0.959204 sum sec. E = 11.2088[GeV] diff = -10249.6[MeV]
==== Particle: gamma (gamma st photon) E_tot = 15.5669 E_kin = 15.5669 [MeV]
==== Particle: gamma (gamma st photon) E_tot = 1.65476 E_kin = 1.65476 [MeV]
==== Particle: gamma (gamma st photon) E_tot = 0.964334 E_kin = 0.964334 [MeV]
==== Particle: B12[0.0] (nucleus st generic) E_tot = 11190.6 E_kin = 1.83066 [MeV]

Example of error log, QGSP+BERT

```
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
Traget is not found
                       Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
 Traget is not found
                       Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 9 at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
=> Target is not found:
                            Proj: mu- (lepton) at 4 : -1 muMinusCaptureAtRest
    Nsec = 9 N found nucleus = 0 Nbar = 0 Charg = -2
   Estimated mass = 0.000510999 E_pre = 105.658 E_post = 106.169 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 9 E_kin sum = 105.147 [MeV] ballance = 1e+10[keV]
      Secondaries:
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511012 E_kin = 1.28951e-05 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 2.06016e-06 E_kin = 2.06016e-06 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 3.66251e-05 E kin = 3.66251e-05 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 1.86262e-05 E kin = 1.86262e-05 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.000561652 E_kin = 0.000561652 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.00211814 E_kin = 0.00211814 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 40.5916 E kin = 40.0806 [MeV]
     ====> anti_nu_e (lepton) E_tot = 20.272 E_kin = 20.272 [MeV]
     ====> nu_mu (lepton) E_tot = 44.7921 E_kin = 44.7921 [MeV]
```

Example of error log, QGSP+BERT+CHIPS

Traget is not found Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic

```
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 17 at 4 : 121 PionMinusInelastic
Traget is not found GHEISHA or INCL/ABLA case (4:121)
   Nseco = 17 N found nucleus = 1 Nbar = 7 Charg = 5
   Estimated mass = 5.5568 E pre = 14794.7 E post = 20351.5 [GeV]
 pi- input E = 14.7893 sum sec. E = 20.3515[GeV] diff = -5562.18[MeV]
          Particle: pi0 (meson st pi) E_tot = 724.61 E_kin = 589.633 [MeV]
     ____
          Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E tot = 1924.4 E kin = 986.13 [MeV]
     ====
          Particle: pi- (meson st pi) E tot = 681.215 E kin = 541.645 [MeV]
     ====
          Particle: pi+ (meson st pi) E_tot = 2817.39 E_kin = 2677.82 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi0 (meson st pi) E tot = 980.781 E kin = 845.804 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi- (meson st pi) E tot = 4069.09 E kin = 3929.52 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi- (meson st pi) E_tot = 872.638 E_kin = 733.068 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: neutron (baryon st nucleon) E_tot = 997.912 E_kin = 58.3462 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi0 (meson st pi) E tot = 837.978 E kin = 703.001 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi+ (meson st pi) E_tot = 368.327 E_kin = 228.756 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi+ (meson st pi) E_tot = 478.39 E_kin = 338.82 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E_tot = 951.416 E_kin = 13.1443 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: pi+ (meson st pi) E tot = 582.917 E kin = 443.347 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: neutron (baryon st nucleon) E_tot = 1072.77 E_kin = 133.208 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E_tot = 948.691 E_kin = 10.4191 [MeV]
     ====
           Particle: neutron (baryon st nucleon) E tot = 962.121 E kin = 22.5553 [MeV]
     ====
     ==== Particle: proton (baryon st nucleon) E tot = 1080.83 E kin = 142.561 [MeV]
Traget is not found Nseco = 6 at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
=> Target is not found:
                           Proj: mu- (lepton) at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
    Nsec = 6 N found nucleus = 0 Nbar = 0 Charg = -2
   Estimated mass = 0.000510999 E pre = 105.658 E post = 106.169 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 6 E kin sum = 105.147 [MeV] ballance = 1e+10[keV]
       Secondaries:
     ===> e^{-} (lepton) E tot = 0.520678 E kin = 0.00967907 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.464595 E_kin = 0.464595 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 1.23373 E kin = 1.23373 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 53.14 E kin = 52.629 [MeV]
     ====> anti_nu_e (lepton) E_tot = 15.2723 E_kin = 15.2723 [MeV]
     ====> nu_mu (lepton) E_tot = 35.5381 E_kin = 35.5381 [MeV]
```

Example of error log, QGSP+FTFP+BERT

Traget is not found Nseco = 1 at 4 : 111 hadElastic

```
Traget is not found
                      Nseco = 16 at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
=> Target is not found:
                            Proj: mu- (lepton) at 4 : 151 muMinusCaptureAtRest
    Nsec = 16 N found nucleus = 0 Nbar = 0 Charg = -7
   Estimated mass = 0.00306599 E pre = 105.658 E post = 108.724 [GeV]
   Mom 0 [GeV] sec = 16 E kin sum = 105.147 [MeV] ballance = 1e+10[keV]
       Secondaries:
     ===> e^{-} (lepton) E tot = 0.511511 E kin = 0.000511661 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511081 E_kin = 8.17447e-05 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 0.511102 E kin = 0.000103022 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511131 E_kin = 0.000132379 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E_tot = 0.511173 E_kin = 0.000174049 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 0.511234 E kin = 0.000235238 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E tot = 0.000328869 E kin = 0.000328869 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.000479682 E_kin = 0.000479682 [MeV]
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.000739066 E_kin = 0.000739066 [MeV]
          gamma (gamma) E tot = 0.00122571 E kin = 0.00122571 [MeV]
     ====>
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.00225642 E_kin = 0.00225642 [MeV]
           gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.0188035 E_kin = 0.0188035 [MeV]
     ====>
     ====> gamma (gamma) E_tot = 0.0729286 E_kin = 0.0729286 [MeV]
     ====> e- (lepton) E tot = 40.4195 E kin = 39.9085 [MeV]
     ====> anti_nu_e (lepton) E_tot = 46.5078 E_kin = 46.5078 [MeV]
     ====> nu_mu (lepton) E_tot = 18.6331 E_kin = 18.6331 [MeV]
```

Example of error log, strange process

===> Process = 6 : 201 Decay; Particle: triton (nucleus) dE = 2.9831426218152e-10 = 2808.921 [MeV] Mass = 0 [MeV] before step Mom Mom post = 0 [MeV] after step E_kin = 0 [MeV] before step E_kin projectile = 0 [MeV] after step E_tot = 2808.921 [MeV] before step sqrt(P^2 + M^2) = 2808.921 [MeV] before step E calc = E kin+M = 2808.921 [MeV] before step E_projectile = 2808.921 [MeV] after step $sqrt(E^2 - P^2 - M^2) = 1.7271776462817e-05$ [MeV] E dep = 0 [MeV] at the lastests step d E_kin = 0 [MeV] at the lastests step Number of secondarties = 0++++++> 6 : 201 Decay; Particle: triton (nucleus) Kinetic energy secondaries = 0 [MeV] Targ. Mass = -2.808921 [GeV] True secondaries = 0