# Branching Ratios for Higgs to Di-jet Sates and WW-fusion Fraction @ 250 GeV

by Christian Drews 2014.06.21



#### Analysis of e+e- --> vvH

- cross section
  - left-handed: 129 fb @ 250 GeV
  - right-handed: 65 fb
  - P(-80, 30): 77.5 fb
- Missing mass Z-mass (91 GeV)
- Visible mass Higgs-mass (125 GeV)
- Main Background: ZZ --> vvqq, WW --> qvqv, Z --> qq
- Accuracies of Higgs branching fraction
  - B(H -> bb), B(H -> gg), B(H -> cc)
  - fitting b/c-tag 2D-Historam
- Measurement of T-channel,
   S-channel and Interverence





#### event selection

- finding cut range
- maximize significance program structure
  - while no change in siginificance
    - for cut in cut\_list
      - looking for cut limit with highest siginificance
      - -save cut limit

### optimisation on each final state

- the final states are different in
  - detector resulution
  - number of events
  - nature of jets



### optimisation on each final state

- the final states are different in
  - detector resulution
  - number of events
  - nature of jets
- maximize on  $N(\nu\nu H \rightarrow \nu\nu xx)$

 $\sqrt{N(\nu\nu H \to \nu\nu xx) + N(not[\nu\nu H \to dijet])}$ 

### Significance after optimising

• with WW-fusion

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg
Significance	50.6	38.3	32	50.7
Effi	0.347	0.137	0.111	0.367
Purity	0.551	0.803	0.689	0.523
Sig_bb	43.8	33.8	21.3	43.8
Sig_cc	1.95	1.81	0.655	1.97
Sig_gg	4.79	2.7	9.97	4.89

### cut limits

- gloun-events
  - sharper cuts on z and higgs r
     mass
  - no mouns
  - maximal PFO Momenten smaller
  - different event shape



optim on	bb	СС	gg	bb, cc, gg
npfos1>	20	14	30	20
npfos2>	11	9	23	11
maxPFOMomentum<	40.5	42.5	26.5	40.5
mass_z<	131.5	107.5	123.5	131.5
mass_z>	81.5	82	83	79.5
mass_higgs>	104.5	117	117.5	104.5
mass_higgs<	132	129	130	132
mom_t<	66.5	66.5	68	67.5
mom_t>	25.5	34	21	21.5
Abs(mom_z)<	55	49	57	55.5
majthrust<	0.5	0.48	0.56	0.5
pthrust>	0.8	0.83	0.64	0.77
minthrust<	0.35	0.3	0.47	0.35
minthrust>	0	0	0.09	0.03
nmuon<	4	3	1	4
y12>	0.29	0.285	0	0.29
y12<	0.955	0.885	0.96	0.91
yplus<	0.015	0.005	0.055	0.015
majthrust>	0.08	0.15	0	0.08

### Fitting uncertainty

#### • with WW-fusion

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg
BG in %	3.26	15.2	10.5	3.08
bb in %	1.78	2.62	3.45	1.8
cc in %	26.07	25.18	40.49	26.69
gg in %	12.99	37	9.08	14.41

#### scaled to old cross section

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg	
BG	3.34	16.29	9.63	3.18	Ono's study
bb	1.56	2.35	3.18	1.57	1.7
СС	21.3	20.42	39.85	26.25	11.2
gg	16.48	34.31	11.35	15.92	13.9

### Fitting uncertainty

#### • with WW-fusion

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg
BG in %	3.26	15.2	10.5	3.08
bb in %	1.78	2.62	3.45	1.8
cc in %	26.07	25.18	40.49	26.69
gg in %	12.99	37	9.08	14.41

#### • TMVA with BDTG

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg
bb	1,75			1,73
СС		18.6		22.8
gg			6,3	(BDT) 9.7

#### Fitting templates



Interverance depandent on CME



#### Interverance of ZH/WW in Z-mass



#### Detector resulution WW-fusion + interference



convolution is generated curve convoluted with gauss (width = 13 GeV)
gg and cc have better resolution as bb curve because neutrinos in jet

convolution shifted by 15 GeV - shape is not perfect but not bad ether

convoltion

#### Detector resulution only interference

convoltion



#### reconstructed interference looks to similar to Higgs-Strahlung

#### Fitting templates



### Plan

- Now writing bachelor thesis
- Look at overlay
  - Now overlay 0.2
    - What happens for overlay 0, 0.4
- other systematic errors
  - which and how?
- Deadline: 9<sup>th</sup> July
- Maybe figure something out for WW-Fusion

### Backup

### Interverance of ZH/WW in Z-mass

Recon.
 Z-mass
 cut
 Sig ~ 43











### Fitting uncertainty

#### • with WW-fusion

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg	bb with WW
BG	3.14	12.84	9.69	3.07	3.12
BG in %	3.14	12.84	9.69	3.07	3.12
bb in %	1.82	2.65	3.53	1.79	1.78
cc in %	26.43	25.14	210.32	19.35	30.44

#### • WW-fusion turned of

optim on	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg	bb with WW
BG	3.26	15.12	10.38	3.27	3.13
bb	1.8	2.6	3.64	1.77	1.8
сс	20.03	30.81	143.4	19.95	21.81
gg	15.43	18.44	11.57	16.74	13.73

# Fitting WW-fraction electron neutrinos mu/tau neutrinos



no cuts

no mpt cut

all cuts





Z-mass



no mpt cut

all cuts













#### Compare to Ono/Miyamoto's paper

r	r				vvH	BG
CM energy (GeV)	250	)		Expected	19383	5.11E+08
Cut names	condition	Sig.	Bkg.	isoLepCuts	17644	3.62E+08
Generated		19360	44827100	npfo	14677	1.92E+07
Missing mass (GeV)	$80 < M_{\rm mins} < 140$	15466	6214050	E_vis	13338	6.55E+06
$\frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}$	$00 < M_{miss} < 110$	19707	540240	Z-Mass	12013	1.54E+06
Transverse $P(\text{GeV})$	$20 < P_T < 70$	13727	549340	Higgs-mass	10977	321243
Longitudinal $P$ (GeV)	$ P_L  < 60$	13342	392401	missMo t	9807	54591
# of charged tracks	$N_{chd} > 10$	12936	374877	missMo <sup>_</sup> z	9451	38490
Maximum $P$ (GeV)	$P_{max} < 30$	11743	205038	majthrust	8369	24327
$Y_{23}$ value	$Y_{23} < 0.02$	7775	74439	pthrust	7598	20220
$Y_{12}$ value	$0.2 < Y_{12} < 0.8$	7438	62584	minthrust	7590	20171
Di-jet mass (GeV)	$100 < M_{jj} < 130$	6691	19061	maxPFOMo		
Likelihood ratio	LR > 0.165	6293	10940	m	7450	18586
	$a/\sqrt{a+p}$	47.0	(00 507)	y-Cuts	4994	4407
Significance (Efficiency)	$S/\sqrt{S+B}$	47.9	(32.5%)		51,5	(25,7 %)

#### evis



#### optimizing cuts for each mode

	bb	сс	gg	bb, cc, gg	bb with WW	WW-Reconst
npfos1>	14	12	27	12	12	6
npfos2>	12	9	24	12	13	20
evis<	147	144.5	145	146.5	147	144
evis>	0	0	127	0	0	115
maxPFOMoment						
um<	37.5	42.5	39	38	36	59.5
mz<	131.5	107.5	113.5	131.5	131.5	0.99
mz>	82	84	83	82	79.5	0.96
mh>	104.5	117	117.5	104.5	106	117
mh<	132	129	130	132	132	129.5
mpt<	66	66.5	66	66	67.5	
mpt>	25.5	34	27	25.5	25.5	
TMath::Abs(mpz						
)<	53.5	49	55.5	53.5	53	
TMath::Abs(cosh						
)<	1	1	1	1	1	
majthrust<	0.49	0.48	0.56	0.49	0.5	0.49
pthrust>	0.8	0.83	0.63	0.8	0.8	0.76
pthrust<	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.995	0.99	0.955
minthrust<	0.3	0.3	0.47	0.3	0.35	0.33
minthrust>	0	0	0.07	0	0.03	0.03
nmuon<	4	3	1	4	4	2
y12>	0.29	0.295	0	0.29	0.29	0.28
y12<	0.925	0.885	0.86	0.925	0.935	0.91
yplus<	0.015	0.005	0.05	0.015	0.015	0.03
majthrust>	0.08	0.15	0	0.08	0.08	0.15

h -> bb only (direct cut on b-tag)

	Claude Düring	my Analysis
Signifficans	51.6	68.2
Efficency	31.2 %	62.7 %
Purity	87.7 %	65.2 %

#### • H -> bb, cc, gg

	Ono/Miyamoto	my Analysis
Signifficans	47.9	51.8
Efficency	32.5	24,4 %
Purity	36.5	56,7 %

### Fitting uncertainty

#### • with WW-fusion

optim on	bb	СС	gg	bb, cc, gg	bb with WW
BG in %	3.14	12.84	9.69	3.07	3.12
bb in %	1.82	2.65	3.53	1.79	1.78
cc in %	26.43	25.14	210.32	19.35	30.44
gg in %	17.91	37.08	11.77	19.67	18.7
Significance	50.2	37.9	34.9	50.2	50.4
Efficiency	0.347	0.134	0.116	0.348	0.339
Purity	0.543	0.802	0.784	0.54	0.561
Sig_b	45.3	35.1	27.9	45.2	45.4
Sig_c	2.92	3.68	1.58	2.92	2.87
Sig_g	6.42	5.25	14.8	6.38	6.83

#### Cut table (cuts taken at last)

0	vvh(Si g)	vvh(ot her)	znunu _sl	sw_sl	zz_sl	ww_sl	szee_sl	z_h	ww_h	zz_h	zzw w_h	lepton ic	higgs	aa_bg	Signifi	Purity	Eff
allcuts	4660	67.9	490	80.5	736	1810	0	443	0	0	0	0.206	39.4	364	50	0.536	0.348
npfo	4880	84.9	591	110	896	2340	0	530	0	0	0	688	44.1	458	47.3	0.459	0.365
E_vis	4660	67.9	490	80.5	736	1810	0	443	0	0	0	0.206	39.4	364	. 50	0.536	0.348
Z- Mass Higgs-	4770	69.4	518	90	757	2090	0	476	0	0	0	0.206	40.5	397	49.7	0.518	0.357
mass	4970	77.8	1300	125	1390	2770	0	897	0	0	0	3.68	57.9	996	44.3	0.395	0.372
missM o_t	5190	75	618	96.2	1010	2500	1.2	11000	2.02	1.89	1.69	42	46.2	10500	14.6	0.041 2	0.388
missM 0_z	4810	70.3	547	87.4	858	2180	0	573	0	0	0	0.206	40.3	388	49.2	0.503	0.36
cosTHi ggs	4660	67.9	490	80.5	736	1810	0	443	0	0	0	0.206	39.4	364	. 50	0.536	0.348
nmou n	4660	67.9	490	80.5	736	1810	0	443	0	0	0	0.206	39.4	364	. 50	0.536	0.348
majthr ust	4720	70	543	86.5	811	1890	0	476	0	0	0	0.206	44.7	385	49.7	0.523	0.353
pthrus t	4690	75.8	503	82.1	753	1840	0	443	0	0	0	0.206	41.1	. 371	. 50	0.533	0.35
maxPF OMo m	4810	71	542	96.7	832	2200	0	476	0	0	0	12 1	41 5	410	0 <u>4</u> 9 <u>4</u>	0 507	0 36
minthr ust	4660	67.9	490	80.5	736	1810	0	443	0	0		0.206	39.4	364	50	0.536	0.348
y-Cuts	6070	357	912	336	1390	8840	0	601	0	0	0	4.39	99.9	880	43.5	0.311	0.454

#### **Comparing fitting result**

		$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$		$X = x_1^* x_2 / (x_1^* x_2 + (1 - x_1)(1 - x_2))$					
	Reconst. N	abso. Error	rel. Error	Reconst. N	abso. Error	rel. Error			
numBack	6290.00	103.00	2 %	6523.30	141.00	2 %			
bb	4080.70	70.20	2 %	4089.20	74.30	2 %			
сс	162.38	24.10	15 %	193.78	50.70	26 %			
gg	582.03	58.20	10 %	410.78	77.10	19 %			

TABLE IV: Summary of template fitting results  $r_s$  and accuracies of  $(\sigma \cdot Br)$  and Br after correcting  $\sigma$  for

an accuracy of 2.5% at $$	$\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$	assuming $\mathcal{L} = 250 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	with $(e$	$e^{-}, e^{+}) =$	(-0.8, +0.3).
---------------------------	------------------------------	--	-----------	-------------------	---------------

	$ u \bar{ u} H$	$q\bar{q}H$	$e^+e^-H$	$\mu^+\mu^-H$	comb.
$r_{bar{b}}$	$1.00 {\pm} 0.02$	$1.00{\pm}0.01$	$1.00 {\pm} 0.04$	$1.00 {\pm} 0.03$	$1.00 {\pm} 0.01$
$r_{c\bar{c}}$	$1.02 {\pm} 0.11$	$1.01 {\pm} 0.10$	$1.02{\pm}0.27$	$1.01 {\pm} 0.23$	$1.02 {\pm} 0.07$
$r_{gg}$	$1.02 \pm 0.14$	$1.02 {\pm} 0.13$	$1.05 {\pm} 0.33$	$1.02 \pm 0.24$	$1.02 {\pm} 0.09$
$\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot Br)}{\sigma \cdot Br} (H \to b\bar{b}) \ (\%)$	1.7	1.5	3.8	3.3	1.0
$\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot Br)}{\sigma \cdot Br} (H \to c\bar{c}) \ (\%)$	11.2	10.2	26.8	22.6	6.9
$\overline{\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot Br)}{\sigma \cdot Br}(H \to gg) \ (\%)}$	13.9	13.1	31.3	33.0	8.5
$\frac{\Delta Br}{Br}(H \to b\bar{b}) \ (\%)$	3.0	2.9	5.7	4.5	2.7
$\frac{\Delta Br}{Br}(H \to c\bar{c}) \ (\%)$	11.4	10.5	31.3	22.8	7.3
$\frac{\Delta Br}{Br}(H \to gg) \ (\%)$	14.2	13.3	33.1	24.0	8.9

#### Compare to Claude Düring's study

Process	expected	pre-selection	Cut1	Cut2	Cut3	Cut4	Cut5	Cut6	Cut7	Cut8
$ u \bar{ u} H( ext{fusion})$	3426	2663	2070	2023	1577	1053	965	547	519	507
$ u ar{ u} H(ZH)$	$1.4 \times 10^{4}$	10918	8356	8356	7448	4860	4594	2574	2546	2546
$\nu_l \bar{\nu}_l b \bar{b}$	$3.05 \times 10^{4}$	23012	1040	1040	878	421	390	224	193	187
$ u_l \overline{\nu}_l q \overline{q}$	$1.19 \times 10^{5}$	88998	5548	5545	4714	2408	2271	15	9	9
$qar{q}l^+l^-$	$2.99  imes 10^5$	153540	6196	5922	1760	588	508	65	38	36
$qar{q}l u$	$1.73 \times 10^{6}$	$1.15 \times 10^{6}$	181973	177193	134047	22654	20533	111	73	65
$q \bar{q} q \bar{q}$	$3.91 \times 10^{6}$	$1.15 \times 10^{6}$	782	728	3	1	0	0	0	0
$qar{q}$	$26.02 \times 10^{6}$	$17.27 \times 10^{6}$	852321	794892	1507	1199	683	289	152	152
BG	$32.104 \times 10^6$	$19.846 \times 10^{6}$	$1.047 \times 10^6$	985320	142909	27271	24385	1404	465	449

		isoLepCut				Higgs-	missMo_	missMo_	cosTHigg		
	Expected	S	npfo	E_vis	Z-Mass	mass	t	z	S	B-Tag	all cuts
vvH(fusion)	3960	3610	3280	2890	2570	2410	1970	1830	1830	1240	1170
vvH(ZH)	1.54E+04	1.54E+04	1.54E+04	1.54E+04	1.54E+04	1.54E+04	9970	9890	9880	6530	6250
vvbb	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	2630	2160	2150	2020	1570
vvqq	1.26E+05	1.26E+05	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	3.31E+04	9420	9420	104	54.8
qqll	2.18E+05	2.18E+05	2.18E+05	18700	7630	3900	1380	1140	1140	394	251
qqlv	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	4.22E+06	1190	677
qqqq	4.20E+06	4.20E+06	4.20E+06	486	331	217	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.717	0.132
qq	1.95E+07	1.95E+07	1.95E+07	1.95E+07	1.95E+07	1.95E+07	3550	2470	2450	1710	1510

- qqll before zz\_sl + zee\_sl (now only to l+l-)
- qqlv before only sw\_sl (now + ww\_sl)

#### How I decided on cuts





c-tag

4 -0.2 0 0.2

c-tag

# Fitting WW-fraction electron neutrinos mu/tau neutrinos



no cuts

no mpt cut

all cuts





Z-mass



no mpt cut

all cuts

