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## Current Results of 250 GeV Analysis

| 250 GeV <br> 250 fb <br> -1 | $q \bar{q} h$ | $e^{+} e^{-} h$ | $\mu^{+} \mu^{-} h$ | $v \bar{v} h$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times \mathrm{BR})}{(\sigma \times \mathrm{BR})}$ | $3.4 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ |

performed Cut-based and TMVA both with using samples with proper tau polarization
TMVA: optimized input variables/training parameters
Next: 500 GeV analysis with proper tau pol. samples, starts from qqh mode

## Signal \& Background at 500 GeV qqh

Signal

$$
e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z h \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tau^{+} \tau^{-}
$$

Main background

$$
e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z Z \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tau^{+} \tau^{-}
$$



## Event Reconstruction

- Previous analysis

1. kT clustering 4 -jet $\longleftarrow$ - this will erase some of
2. tau finder
3. Durham 2-jet physics signal object

- how to optimize?
- This time l'm trying

1. tau finder
need optimization:
not reconstructing overlay
2. kT clustering 2 -jet $\longleftarrow$ easy optimization with
3. Durham 2-jet using $Z$ mass

## An example of <br> Tau Finder Optimization



I set $E_{\text {PFo }}>2 \mathrm{GeV}$ for seed of tau clustering. Low energy particles are almost from overlay.

## Optimizing kT clustering



Plot of the visible mass after tau selection. $\left(M_{Z}\right)=\left(M_{\text {vis }}\right.$ after tau selection) for ideal, but contaminated by overlay objects. I checked R = 0.5-1.4 (every 0.1), R = 0.9 was best.

## Cut-based Analysis

Cut 0 (pre-cuts): \# of $q=2$, \# of $\tau^{+(-)}=1$
Cut 0.5 (basic cuts):
$8<=$ \# of tracks $<=70,140<E_{\text {vis }}<580,110<M_{\text {vis }}<575$,
$P_{t}>60$, thrust $<0.99, E_{\tau \tau}<320, M_{\tau \tau}<300, \cos \theta_{\tau \tau}<0.65$,
$50<E_{Z}<395,10<M_{Z}<375,30<E_{\text {col }}<450,5<M_{\text {col }}<360$
Cut 1: \# of tracks <= 67
Cut 2: $P_{t}$ (all) > 5
Cut 3: thrust $<0.94$
Cut 4: $\left|\cos \theta_{\text {thrustaxis }}\right|<0.86$
Cut 5: $\left|\cos \theta_{\text {miss }}\right|<0.99$
Cut 6: $\cos \theta_{\tau \tau}<0.56$
Cut 7: $\log _{10}\left|d_{0} \operatorname{sig}\left(\tau^{+}\right)\right|+\log _{10}\left|d_{0} \operatorname{sig}\left(\tau^{-}\right)\right|>-0.3$
Cut 8: $\log _{10}\left|z_{0} \operatorname{sig}\left(\tau^{+}\right)\right|+\log _{10}\left|z_{0} \operatorname{sig}\left(\tau^{-}\right)\right|>0.3$
Cut 9: $E_{Z}>190$
Cut 10: $70<M_{Z}<110$
Cut 11: $110<M_{\text {col }}<140$
cut for collinear approximation: most important in this analysis

## Cut Table and Results

表1 $500 \mathrm{GeV} q \bar{q} h$ Cut－based 解析の cut table。eX は $\times 10^{X}$ を表す。

|  | $\begin{gathered} q q h \\ h \xrightarrow{q} \tau \tau \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q q h \\ h \nrightarrow \tau \tau \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \nu \nu h \\ & \ell \ell h \end{aligned}$ | 2 f | 4 f | 57 | 67 | aa＿2f | aa＿4f | sig． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 2131 | 3.260 e 4 | 9.397 e 4 | 1.320 e 7 | 1.598 e 7 | 6.895 e 4 | 5.888 e 5 | 9.829 e 8 | 1.041 e 5 | 0.0669 |
| pre | 1014 | 691.4 | 5223 | 8.181 e 5 | 6.224 e 5 | 6440 | 2.886 e 4 | 1.583 e 6 | 9619 | 0.578 |
| basic | 998.9 | 357.7 | 2631 | 5.919 e 4 | 1.781 e 5 | 3956 | 2.042 e 4 | 2.567 e 4 | 2273 | 1.84 |
| \＃tracks | 998.6 | 353.8 | 2628 | 5.916 e 4 | 1.780 e 5 | 3947 | 2.005 e 4 | 2.567 e 4 | 2270 | 1.84 |
| $P_{t}($ all $)$ | 991.5 | 299.4 | 1972 | 3.636 e 4 | 1.375 e 5 | 3059 | 1.886 e 4 | 2.219 e 4 | 1695 | 2.10 |
| thrust | 978.8 | 297.3 | 1955 | 2.138 e 4 | 7.974 e 4 | 2999 | 1.881 e 4 | 1.220 e 4 | 1653 | 2.62 |
| $\theta_{\text {thrustaxis }}$ | 883.2 | 273.8 | 1458 | 1.082 e 4 | 3.628 e 4 | 1388 | 1.476 e 4 | 4056 | 668.4 | 3.32 |
| $\theta_{\text {miss }}$ | 875.6 | 259.9 | 1330 | 9066 | 3.273 e 4 | 1245 | 1.444 e 4 | 3863 | 543.0 | 3.45 |
| $\theta_{\tau \tau}$ | 872.5 | 232.9 | 874.9 | 8425 | 3.038 e 4 | 1216 | 1.404 e 4 | 3818 | 521.6 | 3.55 |
| $d_{0}$ sig | 849.4 | 173.8 | 584.7 | 5861 | 2.028 e 4 | 726.0 | 9900 | 1586 | 334.0 | 4.23 |
| $z_{0}$ sig | 784.9 | 109.1 | 230.2 | 3533 | 9256 | 165.6 | 5241 | 159.7 | 80.55 | 5.61 |
| $E_{Z}$ | 697.8 | 86.72 | 155.6 | 2073 | 4542 | 36.28 | 2461 | 14.83 | 15.93 | 6.95 |
| $M_{Z}$ | 610.5 | 19.13 | 34.03 | 176.3 | 1836 | 11.20 | 181.7 | 5.207 | 7.968 | 11.4 |
| $M_{\text {colapp }}$ | 515.2 | 3.047 | 4.187 | 2.634 | 116.9 | 1.718 | 15.21 | 0 | 0 | 20.1 |

remained $\mathrm{N}_{\text {sig }}=515.2, \mathrm{~N}_{\text {bkg }}=143.7$ $\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}=20.1 \sigma \leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta(\sigma \times \mathrm{BR})}{(\sigma \times \mathrm{BR})}=5.0 \%$
not so changed than previous（4．9\％）

## TMVA (BDTG) Analysis

- 14 variables
$-E_{\text {vis }}, P_{t}, P_{t}($ all $)$
$-M_{Z}, E_{Z}, \cos \theta_{q \bar{q}}, \cos \theta_{Z}$
$-M_{\tau \tau}, \cos \theta_{\tau \tau}, \cos \theta_{\mathrm{acop}}, \mathrm{d}_{0} \operatorname{sig}, ~ \mathrm{z}_{0} \operatorname{sig}$
$-M_{\mathrm{col}}, ~ E_{\mathrm{col}}$
- Training parameters
- nCuts $=45$, Shrinkage $=0.20$, MaxDepth $=3$, NTrees $=300$, nEventsMin $=250$


## BDTG Results

## Cut efficiencies and optimal cut value



TMVA overtraining check for classifier: BDTG

- TMVA

$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{sig}}=695.1, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{bkg}}=335.8$
$\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}=21.6 \sigma \leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta(\sigma \times \mathrm{BR})}{(\sigma \times \mathrm{BR})}=4.6 \%$


## Summary and Plans

## $500 \mathrm{GeV}, 500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ $q \bar{q} h$ mode <br> Cut-based

Next:

- tau finder study
--- current eff. = 49.8\% for tau+ and tau- reco.
--- tau+(tau-) reco. eff. = 70.6\%(70.6\%)
- Analysis of other signal process
$---v \bar{v} h, e^{+} e^{-} h, \mu^{+} \mu^{-} h(v \bar{v} h$ just started) --- need to get final results before JPS (Mar./21-24)

