# Arguments and Requirements for an ILC "IPBPM"

Glen White, SLAC March 30, 2015

## Q: Provide 1 or 2 BPMs inside of QD0's?



- Require IPFB BPM on outgoing beamline
- Another BPM on ingoing beamline after QD0?
- Provides IP position info by reconstructing IP trajectory
  - "Trivial" for SiD, ILD has tighter space constraints-> need to justify.



### **IPBPM Requirements Summary**

- Recovery of IP collisions after push-pull or significant down-time
  - Recover collisions within beam-beam feedback capture range (10um)
  - Requires BPM resolution of similar scale to capture range requirement
  - BPM pair needs to be aligned with detector
  - Requires high quality gain tracking in electronics (cal tone)
- Tracking of IP position motion <1σ
  - Requires O(nm) resolution cavity BPM pair
    - Compatible with IPFB?
    - Dynamic range sufficient given IP angle jitter?
    - Gain sensitivity?
  - Beam-beam kick far more sensitive to relative IP offset, better to use that.
  - Need absolute tracking of IP position at this scale?
    - Probably only detector cares about this?
  - Provide information about FD jitter by comparison with u/s BPM systems
    - Again, beam-beam kick more sensitive for this purpose anyway
- Reconstruction of IP waist position?
  - Required resolution set by  $\beta_{y}^{*} \approx 500$ um
  - Best possible reconstruction accuracy by IPBPM pair ~ 2mm

## Beam-Beam Kick Feedback Capture Range $\Delta y \sim 10 \text{ um } \sigma_v \sim 10 \text{ um}$



### **IP** Position Reconstruction



#### **IP Waist Z Location Reconstruction?**



 $(\beta_v^* = 0.48 \text{mm})$