Status report of ttH analysis at 500 GeV

11th Apr. 2015

The 41st general meeting of the ILC physics working group Yuji Sudo Kyushu University

Introduction

- We are working on ttH study
 - M_H=125 GeV.
 - Polarization : (Pe⁻,Pe⁺)=(-0.8,+0.3)
 - Integrated luminosity 500 fb⁻¹
 - ILD full simulation
- ttbar cross section is increased around ttbar threshold by ttbar bound-state effect
- ttH cross section is enhanced
- ttZ cross section is also increased

We can directly measure the top-Yukawa coupling via tth channel at \sqrt{s} = 500 GeV.







Updates

- Change Lepton ID method: cut base \rightarrow BDT
- Increase MC statistics
- add ttH \rightarrow 2l2v + 4b jets channel
- re-optimize event selection cut

Lepton ID efficiency

• Lepton ID efficiency with TMVA BDT (cut base) lepton selection

ttH \rightarrow 2l2v + 4 jets

(%)	elec	muon	taue	taum	tauh1	tauh3	bjet	ljet
Identified as	92.4	96.53	73.51	74.63	56.56	49.3	0.016	NI / A
a lepton	(90.91)	(95.35)	(63.09)	(65.78)	(47.08)	(38.82)	(0.017)	IN/A

ttZ

(%)	elec	muon	taue	taum	tauh1	tauh3	bjet	ljet
Identified as	92.5	96.61	72.97	73.41	55.64	48.27	0.11	0.6
a lepton	(89.8)	(95.71)	(63.38)	(65.56)	(45.81)	(38.12)	(0.14)	(0.66)

- Lepton ID efficiency and miss ID efficiency are improved by BDT method
- ID efficiency is almost same to ttZ and ttH \rightarrow 2l2v + 4 jets.

Expected # of events @ 500fb⁻¹

- $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, Mh = 125 GeV, (Pe⁻, Pe⁺)=(-0.8, +0.3)
- Production cross section

• Branching ratio

Process	σ (fb)	Decay mode	Branching ratio
e⁻e⁺ → tth	0.485	h→bb	0.577
e⁻e⁺ → ttZ	1.974	tt→bqqbqq	0.457
$e^-e^+ \rightarrow ttg(bb)$	1.058	tt→blvbqq	0.438
e⁻e⁺ → tbW	979.8	tt→blvblv	0.105

• Expected # of signals and Backgrounds(@500fb⁻¹)

ttH(tt→6j, H→bb)	63.9		
ttH(tt→lv4j,H→bb)	61.3	ttZ	987
ttH(tt→lvlv2j, H→bb)	14.6	ttg(bb)	529
ttH(tt→all, H(nobb))	102.6	tbW	489902

- Isolated Lepton ID
 - ✓ Exact one Isolated lepton
- jet clustering : Durham algorithm

$$Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 - \cos\theta)}{E_{\rm cm}^2}$$

- forced 6 jets clustering is applied to ttH \rightarrow 6jets channel • "Y₆₅ > 0.0016" + "Y₆₅<=0.0016 & Y₅₄>0.006"
- ✓ b jet candidate \ge 4 (b likeness >=0.85, 0.8, 0.6, 0.2)
- reject event with very forward jets
- ✓ $|\cos\theta_{jet}| \le 0.99$
- Missing Momentum > 20 GeV
- Jet paring, χ2< 30.5
- Leading 2 jets energy sum < 197 GeV
- smallest 2 jets energy sum > 66 GeV
- Mjjj, Mjlv > 140 GeV (mass of top candidate)
- 95 < Mjj < 160 GeV (2 jets mass of higgs candidate)

- Isolated Lepton ID
 - ✓ Exact one Isolated lepton
- jet clustering : Durham algorithm

$$Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 - \cos\theta)}{E_{\rm cm}^2}$$



- Isolated Lepton ID
 - ✓ Exact one Isolated lepton
- jet clustering : Durham algorithm

$$Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 - \cos\theta)}{E_{\rm cm}^2}$$

- forced 6 jets clustering is applied to ttH→6jets channel
- \checkmark "Y₆₅ > 0.0016" + "Y₆₅ <= 0.0016 & Y₅₄ > 0.006"
- ✓ b jet candidate \ge 4 (b likeness ≥=0.85, 0.8, 0.6, 0.2)
- reject event with very forward j∉
 ✓ |cosθ_{iet}| ≤ 0.99
- Missing Momentum > 20 GeV
- Jet paring, χ2< 30.5
- Leading 2 jets energy sum < 197
- smallest 2 jets energy sum > 66
- Mjjj , Mjlv > 140 GeV (3 jets mas
- 95 < Mjj < 160 GeV (2 jets mass





- Missing Momentum > 20 GeV
- Jet paring, χ2< 30.5
- Leading 2 jets energy sum < 197 GeV
- smallest 2 jets energy sum > 66 GeV
- Mjjj , Mjlv > 140 GeV (3 jets mass of top candidate)
- 95 < Mjj < 160 GeV (2 jets mass of higgs candidate)

- Isolated Lepton ID
 - ✓ Exact one Isolated lepton
- jet clustering : Durham algorithm

$$Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 - \cos\theta)}{E_{\rm cm}^2}$$

- forced 6 jets clustering is applied to $ttH \rightarrow 6$ jets channel
- \checkmark "Y₆₅ > 0.0016" + "Y₆₅ <= 0.001 [reconstructed Mjjj]
- ✓ b jet candidate \ge 4 (b likeness >
- reject event with very forward jet
 ✓ |cosθ_{iet}| ≤ 0.99
- Missing Momentum > 20 GeV
- Jet paring, χ2< 30.5
- Leading 2 jets energy sum < 197 6
- smallest 2 jets energy sum > 66 G___
- Mjjj , Mjlv > 140 GeV (3 jets mass of top candidate)
- 95 < Mjj < 160 GeV (2 jets mass of higgs candidate)



Result of Event selection higgs candidate Mjj (lv6jet)

• $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, L=500 fb⁻¹, (Pe⁻, Pe⁺)=(-0.8, +0.3)



Process	# of evt
ttH (not Signal)	0.25
ttZ	5.19
ttbb	2.04
tbW	8.39
bkgd total	15.88
ttH→lv6jet	10.26

Result of Event selection higgs candidate Mjj(8jet, 2l2v4bjet)



tth ($h \rightarrow bb$) significance

$S/\sqrt{S+B}$

(Pe,Pe ⁺)	(-0.8,+0.3)		(+0.8 <i>,</i> -0.3)		
Lumi. (fb⁻¹)	500	1600	500	1600	
8 jets	2.17	3.89	1.40	2.53	
lv + 6 jets	2.00	3.58	1.29	2.32	
2l2v + 4 jets	1.02	1.83	0.72	1.31	

(Pe,Pe+)=(-0.8,+0.3) polarization makes better result.
 -- number of signal is important.

measurement precision at different \sqrt{s}

combined result of ttH \rightarrow 8jets, lv+6jets and 2l2v+4b jets channels M_H = 125 GeV, (Pe⁻,Pe⁺)=(-0.8,+0.3) $\sigma_{\text{ttH}} = 0.485$ fb @ 500 GeV

ttH (H→bb) 500 fb⁻¹

 $\sqrt{s} : S/\sqrt{S+B} : |\Delta y_t/y_t| \%$ 500: 3.13 : 16.6 520: 5.26 : 9.88 550: 7.72 : 6.73

ttH (H→bb) 1600 fb⁻¹

 \sqrt{s} : $S/\sqrt{S + B}$: $|\Delta y_t/y_t| \%$ 500 : 5.60 : 9.28 520 : 9.45 : 5.50 550 : 13.9 : 3.73

10 Scaled to value at 500 GeV σ_{ttH} $|\Delta y_t/y_t|$ 10⁻¹ 480 500 520 540 560 580 600 \sqrt{s} (GeV)

* Same MC samples are used for all analysis. MC sample are generated at 500 GeV.

* We just change production cross section of signal and backgrounds for each energy.

Summary

- lepton ID method: cut base \rightarrow BDT
- Counting analysis of ttH ($H \rightarrow bb$)
- Significance (stat. only) at 500 GeV ttH (H→bb) S/√S + B (|Δy_t/y_t|) 500 fb⁻¹ : 3.13 (16.6 %) 1600fb⁻¹ : 5.60 (9.28 %)
- @ \sqrt{s} = 520 GeV, 500 fb⁻¹ : S/ $\sqrt{S + B}$ = 5.26 | $\Delta y_t/y_t$ | = 9.88 %
- $@\sqrt{s} = 480 \text{ GeV}$, direct measurement of top Yukawa coupling is impossible.

Backup

ttH (H→bb) analysis

- interference term is negligible
- counting analysis with cut based event selection

In this analysis, higgs decays into two b jets

- 4 b jets out of 4-8 jets (b tagging: LCFIPlus)
- Use Kt clustering only for removing low Pt background

Event selection

- signal topology
- ✓ number of jets
- ✓ # of Isolated Lepton
- ✓ # b jet candidates \ge 4
- detector acceptance $|\text{Jet } \cos \theta| \le 0.99$
- jet paring

- kinematics
- \checkmark energy cut of leading jets
- \checkmark energy cut of low energy jets
- ✓ Missing momentum > 20 GeV (4, 6jtes mode)

 e^+

e

- reconstructed mass
- ✓ Mjjj of top candidates
- ✓ Mjj of higgs candidate

Event Selection 8 jets

Isolated Lepton ID with BDT

✓ require no Isolated lepton

- Jet clustering : Durham algorithm
- epton Igorithm $Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 - \cos \theta)}{E_{cm}^2}$
 - forced 8 jet clustering for ttH→8jets channel
 - \checkmark "Y₈₇ > 0.00038" + "Y₈₇ <= 0.00038 & Y₇₆ > 0.004"
- ✓ b candidate jets \ge 4 (b likeness >=0.85, 0.8, 0.6, 0.2)
- reject events with very forward jets
- ✓ |Jet $\cos\theta$ | ≤ 0.99
- Jet paring, χ2< 13.3
- Leading 2 jets energy sum < 188 GeV
- smallest 3 jets energy sum > 60 GeV
- Mjjj > 140 GeV (top candidate 3 jet mass)
- 95 < Mjj < 160 (GeV) (range of higgs candidate Mjj)

some variables used event selection $(ttH \rightarrow 8 jets)$



Y₈₇<=0.00038 && Y₇₆>0.004



Leading 2 jets < 188 (Gev) energy sum



smallest 3 jets



Mjjj > 140 GeV

300

ttH(tt6q,hbb)

ttH(other)

tīZ

tībb

tbW

- Isolated Lepton ID
 - ✓ Exact one Isolated lepton
- jet clustering : Durham algorithm

$$Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 - \cos\theta)}{E_{\rm cm}^2}$$

- forced 6 jets clustering is applied to ttH \rightarrow 6jets channel • "Y₆₅ > 0.0016" + "Y₆₅<=0.0016 & Y₅₄>0.006"
- ✓ b jet candidate \ge 4 (b likeness >=0.85, 0.8, 0.6, 0.2)
- reject event with very forward jets
- ✓ $|\cos\theta_{jet}| \le 0.99$
- Missing Momentum > 20 GeV
- Jet paring, χ2< 30.5
- Leading 2 jets energy sum < 197 GeV
- smallest 2 jets energy sum > 66 GeV
- Mjjj , Mjlv > 140 GeV (3 jets mass of top candidate)
- 95 < Mjj < 160 GeV (2 jets mass of higgs candidate)

some variables used event selection $(ttH \rightarrow lv+6jets)$



Leading 2 jets energy sum < 197 GeV



smallest 2 jets energy sum > 66 GeV



Mjjj > 140 GeV



Event Selection 2l2n+4 jets

• Isolated Lepton ID with BDT

✓ require exact two Isolated leptons

- Jet clustering : Durham algorithm $Y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}(1 \cos\theta)}{E_{cm}^2}$
 - forced 6 jet clustering for ttH→4jets channel

 "Y₄₃ > 0.002"
- ✓ 4 b jets (b likeness >=0.85 ,0.8, 0.6, 0.2)
- reject events with very forward jets
- ✓ |Jet $\cos\theta$ | ≤ 0.99
- Missing momentum > 20 GeV
- Jet paring, χ2< 12.5
- Leading jet energy < 112 (Gev)
- smallest jet energy > 38 (GeV)
- 100 < Mjj < 155 (GeV) (range of higgs candidate Mjj)

some variables used event selection $(ttH \rightarrow 2I2v+4b)$



Leading jet energy < 112 (Gev)



Missing momentum > 20 GeV



smallest jet energy > 38 (GeV)



Jet pairing, χ2 Cut (8 jets mode)

- \sqrt{s} = 500GeV is near by $\chi^2 =$ threshold of the ttH production
 - P_{higgs} should be small
 - Dijet angle becomes large
- → Angle information between higgs candidate jets is effective to choose correct jet pair.
- try all combination and choose a pair with minimum χ^2 value

$$\left(\frac{\Delta angle(j_1, j_2) - \Delta angle(higgs \ jj)}{\sigma_{\Delta angle(higgs \ jj)}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{j_3 j_4 j_5} - M_{top}}{\sigma_{M_{top}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{j_4 j_5} - M_W}{\sigma_{M_W}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{j_6 j_7 j_8} - M_{top}}{\sigma_{M_{top}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{j_7 j_8} - M_W}{\sigma_{M_W}} \right)^2$$

require b likeness ≥ 0.2 to j_1 , j_2 , j_3 , j_6

- Reference values are made from reconstructed jets which are matched with MC information
- Mtop = 171.5GeV
- sigma Mtop = 16.8 GeV
- MW = 80. 5GeV
- sigma MW = 9.9 GeV
- angle(jj) = 2.448
- sigma angle(jj) = 0.277

higgs and top pairing, χ2 Cut (6 jets mode)

 $\chi^2 =$

Angle information between higgs candidate jets is effective to choose correct jet pair.

A W mass is reconstructed with Isolated lepton and Missing P

- try all combination and choose a pair with minimum χ^2 value

$$\left(\frac{\Delta angle(j_1, j_2) - \Delta angle(higgs jj)}{\sigma_{\Delta angle(higgs jj)}} \right)^2$$

$$+ \left(\frac{m_{j_3 j_4 j_5} - M_{top}}{\sigma_{M_{top}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{j_4 j_5} - M_W}{\sigma_{M_W}} \right)^2$$

$$+ \left(\frac{m_{j_6 l\nu} - M_{top}}{\sigma_{M_{top}}} \right)^2$$

require b likeness ≥ 0.2 to j_1 , j_2 , j_3 , j_6

- Reference values are made from reconstructed jets which are matched with MC information
- Mtop = 171.5GeV
- sigma Mtop = 16.8 GeV
- MW = 80. 5GeV
- sigma MW = 9.9 GeV
- angle(jj) = 2.448
- sigma angle(jj) = 0.277

higgs and top pairing, χ^2 Cut (4 jets mode)

- $\chi^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta angle(j_1, j_2) \Delta angle(higgs \, jj)}{\sigma_{\Delta angle(higgs \, ji)}}\right)^2$
- Angle information between higgs candidate jets is used to choose a jet pair.
- try all combination and choose a pair with minimum χ^2 value

- Reference values are made from reconstructed jets which are matched with MC information
- angle(jj) = 2.448
- sigma angle(jj) = 0.277

event selection

MC stat.

tth, ttz, ttbb: 100k~200k events tbW(DBD samples): 10k~100k events

Lepton ID



Mjj shape estimation of tbW events in 4 b jets category

- tbW event: 6 fermion events including ttbar, single top etc..
- It is difficult to estimate Mjj shape of tbW events in 4b jets category, because of low statistics of tbW MC events
- At first, compare Mjj shapes of ttZ event in 2/4b jets category
- check event shape of variables which are used event selection. (ttZ, tbW)
- ✓ use Mjj shape of tbW event in 2b jets category higgs candidate Mjj ≥ 80 GeV

ttH \rightarrow 8jets, 500 fb⁻¹

\sqrt{S}	$: S/\sqrt{S+B} : \Delta g_t/g_t \%$
480	: 0.59042 : 88.0717
490	: 1.34733 : 38.5949
500	: 2.1717 : 23.9444
510	: 2.96893 : 17.5147
520	: 3.70795 : 14.0239
530	: 4.38629 : 11.8551
540	: 4.993 : 10.4146
550	: 5.50563 : 9.44488
560	: 5.94584 : 8.74562
570	: 6.33231 : 8.21186
580	: 6.6747 : 7.79062
590	: 6.97431 : 7.45594
600	: 7.24148 : 7.18085

ttH \rightarrow ln + 6jets, 500 fb⁻¹

 \sqrt{S} : $S/\sqrt{S+B}$: $|\Delta g_t/g_t| \%$ 480:0.57541:90.3704 490:1.27453:40.7994 500 : 2.00677 : 25.9123 510 : 2.69726 : 19.2788 520:3.32768:15.6265 530:3.90086:13.3304 540 : 4.41032 : 11.7905 550 : 4.83863 : 10.7469 560:5.2051:9.9902 570 : 5.52592 : 9.4102 580 : 5.80966 : 8.95062 590:6.05733:8.58464 600:6.27784:8.2831

ttH \rightarrow 2l2n + 4b jets, 500 fb⁻¹

 \sqrt{S} : $S/\sqrt{S+B}$: $|\Delta g_t/g_t| \%$ 480:0.29792:174.538 490:0.654923:79.3986 500:1.0257 :50.697 510:1.37375:37.8526 520:1.69079:30.7549 530:1.97869:26.28 540:2.23437:23.2728 550:2.4491 :21.2322 560:2.6327 :19.7516 570:2.7933 :18.6159 580 : 2.93529 : 17.7154 590:3.05914:16.9982 600:3.16936:16.4071

ttH \rightarrow 8jets, 1600 fb⁻¹

- \sqrt{s} : $S/\sqrt{S+B}$: $|\Delta g_t/g_t| \%$ 480 : 1.04733 : 49.6498
- 490 : 2.40384 : 21.632
- 500 : 3.89502 : 13.3504
- 510 : 5.34728 : 9.72456
- 520 : 6.70016 : 7.76101
- 530 : 7.94612 : 6.54407
- 540 : 9.06307 : 5.73757
- 550 : 10.008 : 5.19582
- 560 : 10.8202 : 4.80585
- 570 : 11.5334 : 4.50863
- 580 : 12.1657 : 4.27433
- 590 : 12.7189 : 4.08841
- 600 : 13.2122 : 3.93575

ttH \rightarrow ln + 6 jets, 1600 fb⁻¹

 \sqrt{S} : $S/\sqrt{S+B}$: $|\Delta g_t/g_t| \%$ 480:1.02932:50.5185 490 : 2.27995 : 22.8076 500:3.58981:14.4854 510:4.825:10.7772 520:5.95273:8.73549 530 : 6.97807 : 7.45192 540 : 7.88943 : 6.5911 550:8.6556:6.00767 560 : 9.31117 : 5.58469 570 : 9.88506 : 5.26046 580:10.3926:5.00355 590 : 10.8357 : 4.79896 600:11.2301:4.63039

ttH \rightarrow 2l2n + 4b jets, 1600 fb⁻¹

 \sqrt{S} : $S/\sqrt{S+B}$: $|\Delta g_t/g_t| \%$ 480:0.53295:97.5699 490:1.17156:44.3852 500:1.83483:28.3405 510:2.45744:21.1603 520:3.02458:17.1925 530:3.53958:14.691 540 : 3.99696 : 13.0099 550 : 4.38109 : 11.8692 560:4.70951:11.0415 570:4.99681:10.4066 580 : 5.25081 : 9.90324 590 : 5.47236 : 9.50229 600 : 5.66952 : 9.17186