#### Frequency analysis and digital filtering

#### T. Bromwich

talitha.bromwich@physics.ox.ac.uk







#### Outline

Analysis of December data

- Frequency analysis of the I and Q signals from the single port study on IPBY.
- Digitally filter waveforms to remove static signal see if improves resolution.
- Integrate the FFT over the static signal region and look at mean and  $\sigma$  across a data set.







# Frequency analysis of single port IPBY

- Port 1 and 2 of IPBY through separate electronics.
- Charge: 0.32 x 10<sup>10</sup>.
- Waist approximately on IPB.
- jitRun7\_0dB\_ipbpm\_ 151209

Different magnitudes and waveform shapes from two ports.



#### John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science

#### Digital filtering on static waveform

Filter IP BPM signal using a digital bandstop from 50 to 110 MHz to remove unwanted static signal.







#### Example of digital filtering IPBY







# 3BPM resolution with digital filtering

• Filtering reduces the resolution slightly at 0dB, but not at 10dB.

Jitter Run	Attenuation (dB)	Charge (x 10 <sup>10</sup> )	Geometric (nm)	Fitting Mean (nm) 3 Param	Fitting Mean (nm) 5 Param	Geometric (nm)	Fitting Mean (nm) 3 Param	Fitting Mean (nm) 5 Param
jitRun1_0dB_ipbpm_150612	0	0.72	43 ± 1	39 ± 1	31 ± 4	41 ± 1	35 ± 3	25 ± 2
jitRun3_0dB_ipbpm_150612	0	0.69	71 ± 2	64 ± 4	31 ± 3	58 ± 2	54 ± 3	31 ± 3
jitRun4_10dB_ipbpm_150612	10	0.71	134 ± 4	61 ± 19	17 ± 2	155 ± 4	76 ± 19	17 ± 2
jitRun5_10dB_ipbpm_150612	10	0.72	145 ± 4	58 ± 19	16 ± 1	165 ± 5	70 ± 17	16 ± 1

Geometric = Geometric method for predicting resolution.

Fitting Mean 3 Parameters = Fitting method for predicting resolution. Mean of predictions at all three BPMs. Fitting to I' with a constant (3 parameters). Fitting Mean 5 Parameters = Fitting method for predicting resolution. Mean of predictions at all three BPMs. Fitting to I and Q with constant (5 parameters). Sampling using integration. Integration window chosen to minimise mean resolution result. For sample numbers used see detailed table in appendix.



DIGITAL FILTERING BANDSTOP 50 TO 110 MHZ



# Resolution at 0dB to10dB

Plot of the charge normalised resolution as a function of attenuation. Shows the minimal resolution using both geometric and fitting (5 parameter) methods.

jitRun1\_0dB\_ipbpm\_150612 jitRun3\_0dB\_ipbpm\_150612 jitRun4\_10dB\_ipbpm\_150612 jitRun5\_10dB\_ipbpm\_150612

Line shows 10 dB resolution scaled down to 0 dB. Geometric method scales. Fitting method does not.



John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science



#### Resolution at different attenuations

Resolutions at lots of different attenuations from various studies.

No BPFs

jitRun1 0dB ipbpm 150612

jitRun3\_0dB\_ipbpm\_150612 jitRun4\_10dB\_ipbpm\_150612 jitRun5\_10dB\_ipbpm\_150612 jitRun1\_30dB\_055\_ipbpm\_151218 jitRun2\_30dB\_055\_ipbpm\_151218 jitRun3\_30dB\_055\_ipbpm\_151218

KNU C-band BPFs jitRun1\_0dB\_055\_BPF\_ipbpm\_151218 jitRun3\_0dB\_055\_BPF\_ipbpm\_151218 jitRun4\_0dB\_055\_BPF\_ipbpm\_151218 jitRun7\_30dB\_055\_BPF\_ipbpm\_151218 jitRun8\_40dB\_055\_BPF\_ipbpm\_151218

jitRun4\_50dB\_055\_ipbpm\_151218

Resolution ( nm normalised to 1x10<sup>10</sup> )





8

Integrating the FFT over the static signal (50 to 110 MHz) and found the mean and  $\sigma$  intensity.

• Noticed the integral of the static signal of each trigger has a clear dependence on the ICT charge.





# Resolution and static signal intensity noise

• Tauchi-san suggested looking at the relationship between  $\sigma$  intensity and resolution.

Jitter Run	Attenuation (dB)	Charge (x 10 <sup>10</sup> )	Geometric (nm)	Fitting Mean (nm) 3 Param	Fitting Mean (nm) 5 Param	Standard dev. of static waveform intensity integral
jitRun1_0dB_ipbpm_150612	0	0.72	43 ± 1	39 ± 1	31 ± 4	5.03 ± 0.25
jitRun3_0dB_ipbpm_150612	0	0.69	71 ± 2	64 ± 4	31 ± 3	7.26 ± 0.36
jitRun4_10dB_ipbpm_150612	10	0.71	134 ± 4	61 ± 19	17 ± 2	1.14 ± 0.06
jitRun5_10dB_ipbpm_150612	10	0.72	145 ± 4	58 ± 19	16 ± 1	1.11 ± 0.06

 $\sigma$  appears to be smaller for the 10 dB data sets, where the resolution is also smaller (although only with the fitting method – with the geometric method the resolution scales)





# Appendix (more data and plots)







#### 3BPM resolution data analysis

#### DIGITAL FILTERING BANDSTOP 50 TO 110 MHZ

jitrun	Charge (x 10 <sup>10</sup> )	ВРМ	Calibration file	Geometric (nm)	Fitting Res (nm) 3 param	Mean (nm)	Fitting Res (nm) 5 params	Mean(nm)	Geometric (nm)	Fitting Res (nm) 3 param	Mean (nm)	Fitting Res (nm) 5 params	Mean(nm)
jitRun1_0 dB_ipbp m_15061 2	0.72	IPA	IPByCal2_0dB_ipbpm_150612	43 ± 1 (56:58)	42 ± 3 37 ± 2 38 ± 3	39 ± 1 (56:58)	37 ± 3 31 ± 2 23 ± 2	31 ± 4 (56:59)	41 ± 1 (56:58)	36 ± 3 30 ± 2 39 ± 4	35 ± 3 (56:59)	28 ± 2 24 ± 1 22 ± 2	25 ± 2 (56:59)
		IPB	IPByCal2_0dB_ipbpm_150612										
		IPC	IPCyCal1_0dB_ipbpm_150612										
jitRun3_0 dB_ipbp m_15061 2	0.69	IPA	IPByCal2_0dB_ipbpm_150612	71 ± 2 (57:60)	62 ± 5 58 ± 3 71 ± 7	64 ± 4 (57:60)	27 ± 2 29 ± 2 38 ± 3	31 ± 3 (53:55)	58 ± 2 (57:59)	59 ± 5 53 ± 3 51 ± 5	54 ± 3 (57:58)	32 ± 3 26 ± 1 35 ± 3	31 ± 3 (55:59)
		IPB	IPByCal2_0dB_ipbpm_150612										
		IPC	IPCyCal1_0dB_ipbpm_150612										
jitRun4_1 0dB_ipbp m_15061 2	0.71	IPA	IPAyCal2_10dB_ipbpm_150612	134 ± 4 (57:58)	35 ± 2 50 ± 3 99 ± 6	61 ± 19 (54:55)	13 ± 1 19 ± 1 19 ± 1	17 ± 2 (53:55)	155 ± 4 (53:60)	53 ± 3 61 ± 3 114 ± 7	76 ± 19 (54:58)	13 ± 1 18 ± 1 21 ± 1	17 ± 2 (53:55)
		IPB	IPAyCal2_10dB_ipbpm_150612										
		IPC	IPCyCal7_10dB_ipbpm_150612										
jitRun5_1 0dB_ipbp m_15061 2	0.72	IPA	IPAyCal2_10dB_ipbpm_150612	145 ± 4 (57:58)	33 ± 2 47 ± 2 95 ± 6	58 ± 19 (54:55)	13 ± 1 18 ± 1 17 ± 1	16 ± 1 (53:55)	165 ± 5 (53:60)	50 ± 3 58 ± 3 104 ± 6	70 ± 17 (54:58)	13 ± 1 17 ± 1 18 ± 1	16 ± 1 (53:55)
		IPB	IPAyCal2_10dB_ipbpm_150612										
		IPC	IPCyCal7_10dB_ipbpm_150612										





• jitRun1\_0dB\_ipbpm\_150612







• jitRun3\_0dB\_ipbpm\_150612







• jitRun4\_10dB\_ipbpm\_150612







• jitRun5\_10dB\_ipbpm\_150612





