## Summary of BSM group activities

#### Mikael Berggren<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DESY, Hamburg

#### ILD phone meeting, 8 Mar 2017







Mikael Berggren (DESY)

**BSM summary** 

▶ ◀ ≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ ۹.0 <mark>ILD, 8 Mar'17 1 / 7</mark>

- Higgsinos (J. Yan, T. Tanabe, & al.)
- Parameter extraction from results of (1)(S-L Lehtinen, H. Baer & al.)
- STC4 (M.B., S. Caizza)
- WIMPs (M. Habermehl, T. Tanabe & al.)
- SUSY "Point 5" (M. Chera)
- FCNC in top (F. Zarnechi)
- $\bigcirc$  H  $\rightarrow$  3 extra light scalars (A. Helmboldt (Heidelberg)):
- In red: Talks today In blue: Talks next BSM-focus meeting.
  - New topics are welcome! Some ideas:
    - Dark-sector WIMPs decaying in the detector.
    - Lighter-than-125 Higgs(like) states, eg. nMSSM singlet.
    - Direct signs of extra dimensions.

- Higgsinos (J. Yan, T. Tanabe, & al.)
- Parameter extraction from results of (1)(S-L Lehtinen, H. Baer & al.)
- STC4 (M.B., S. Caizza)
- WIMPs (M. Habermehl, T. Tanabe & al.)
- SUSY "Point 5" (M. Chera)
- FCNC in top (F. Zarnechi)
- $\bigcirc$  H  $\rightarrow$  3 extra light scalars (A. Helmboldt (Heidelberg)):
- In red: Talks today In blue: Talks next BSM-focus meeting.
  - New topics are welcome! Some ideas:
    - Dark-sector WIMPs decaying in the detector.
    - Lighter-than-125 Higgs(like) states, eg. nMSSM singlet.
    - Direct signs of extra dimensions.

- Higgsinos (J. Yan, T. Tanabe, & al.)
- Parameter extraction from results of (1)(S-L Lehtinen, H. Baer & al.)
- STC4 (M.B., S. Caizza)
- WIMPs (M. Habermehl, T. Tanabe & al.)
- SUSY "Point But remember
- FCNC in top The BSM group is about direct
- 📀 H ightarrow 3 extra
- In red: Talks today
- detection,The LHC exists!

g)): Jeting.

4 D b 4 A b

★ ∃ > < ∃ >

- New topics are welcome! Some ideas:
  - Dark-sector WIMPs decaying in the detector.
  - Lighter-than-125 Higgs(like) states, eg. nMSSM singlet.
  - Direct signs of extra dimensions.

- Higgsinos (J. Yan, T. Tanabe, & al.)
- Parameter extraction from results of (1)(S-L Lehtinen, H. Baer & al.)
- STC4 (M.B., S. Caizza)
- WIMPs (M. Habermehl, T. Tanabe & al.)
- SUSY "Point But remember

- In red: Talks today
  - New topics are welcome! Some ideas:
    - Dark-sector WIMPs decaying in the detector.
    - Lighter-than-125 Higgs(like) states, eg. nMSSM singlet.
    - Direct signs of extra dimensions.

əting.

#### **Status**

- Higgsinos: Paper in preparation.
  - Needs more SGV statistics of strongly pre-selected  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $e\gamma$ .
  - In the making.
- Parameter extraction from results of (1): As above + Suvi's thesis in preparation.
- STC4: M.B. Published in ILC-LHC combined STC paper, further developments presented at ICHEP & LCWS. S. Caizza: Thesis almost ready.
- WIMPs : Thesis in preparation. Request for samples presented today.
- SUSY "Point 5" : Thesis nearing completion.
- FCNC in top: Starting, but already done for CLICdb @ 380 GeV. Will need samples.

Mikael Berggren (DESY)

### Support report to the ICFA answer to MEXT

Reminder: Outline the capabilities of ILC for discovery of new particles if LHC sees

- no new physics, OR
- relatively light new physics, OR
- Inew physics beyond direct ILC reach.

The answer, as we all already know, is that it doesn't matter what LHC sees.

However, the emphasis between direct observation (the topic of the ILD-BSM group), and indirect observation (The topic of the Higgs and Top/EW groups) changes.

The report is now on the arXiv: <u>arXiv:1702.05333</u>.

### Support report to the ICFA answer to MEXT

Reminder: Outline the capabilities of ILC for discovery of new particles if LHC sees

no new physic	ne OR			
<ul> <li>no new physic</li> <li>relatively light</li> </ul>	NOTE	1		
	This report clearly is about the			
new physics	capabilities of a 500 GeV ILC!			
The answer, as v	<ul> <li>Staging is <i>not</i> considered.</li> </ul>	atter what LHC		
sees.	0 0			
However, the em	See Jackie's talk for some	e topic of the		
ILD-BSM group),	points on BSM+staging!	the Higgs and		
Top/EW groups) changes.				
iop/Err groupo/ onangoo.				

The report is now on the arXiv: <u>arXiv:1702.05333</u>.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Support report to the ICFA answer to MEXT

Reminder: Outline the capabilities of ILC for discovery of new particles if LHC sees

no new physic	< OR	
<ul> <li>no new physic</li> <li>relatively light</li> </ul>	IOTE	1
	This report clearly is about the	
Inew physics	capabilities of a 500 GeV ILC!	
The answer, as v		atter what LHC
	<ul> <li>Staging is <i>not</i> considered.</li> </ul>	
sees.	See Jackie's talk for some	
However, the em		e topic of the
ILD-BSM group),	points on BSM+staging!	the Higgs and
Top/EW groups) ch	nanges.	20

The report is now on the arXiv: <u>arXiv:1702.05333</u>.

### Organisational

- Conveners: M.B. (mikael.berggren@desy.de) and Jacqueline Yan (jackie@post.kek.jp).
- Mailing list:
  - Send mail to sympa@desy.de with "SUBSCRIBE ild-physics-bsm firstname lastname" as subject.
  - Wait for an OK from the maintainers (M.B. and Jackie).
  - This list is for people active in BSM analyses in ILD (and their supervisors).
- Request to give talks to ild-physics-conveners@desy.de.
- The first BSM working-group phone meeting was held a week ago.

#### Organisational

- Conveners: M.B. (mikael.berggren@desy.de) and Jacqueline Yan (jackie@post.kek.jp).
- Mailing list:
  - Send mail to sympa@desy.de with "SUBSCRIBE ild-physics-bsm *firstname lastname*" as subject.
  - Wait for an OK from the maintainers (M.B. and Jackie).
  - This list is for people active in BSM analyses in ILD (and their supervisors).
- Request to give talks to ild-physics-conveners@desy.de.
- The first BSM working-group phone meeting was held a week ago.

#### Organisational

- Conveners: M.B. (mikael.berggren@desy.de) and Jacqueline Yan (jackie@post.kek.jp).
- Mailing list:
  - Send mail to sympa@desy.de with "SUBSCRIBE ild-physics-bsm *firstname lastname*" as subject.
  - Wait for an OK from the maintainers (M.B. and Jackie).
  - This list is for people *active* in BSM analyses in ILD (and their supervisors).
- Request to give talks to ild-physics-conveners@desy.de.
- The first BSM working-group phone meeting was held a week ago.

- In the regular BSM working-group phone meetings more detailed discussions can take place.
- Suggest: Every 4 (or 6) weeks. If every 6 weeks, it would always be the week before the BSM-focus Analysis meeting. (Not yet decided)
- First meeting last week, with a Q&A about all analyses.
- Future meetings should be more focused on actual analysis.
  - Technical issues that every one will or might profit from.
  - Problems encountered: Any new ideas?
  - Ideas for new analyses, and putting the studies in a more global context.
  - The place to get feed-back on preliminary results, and give progress reports.
  - Not obligatory to present in every meeting.
  - But not full analysis reports: Those should go into the BSM -focus Ana/opt meetings.

- In the regular BSM working-group phone meetings more detailed discussions can take place.
- Suggest: Every 4 (or 6) weeks. If every 6 weeks, it would always be the week before the BSM-focus Analysis meeting. (Not yet decided)
- First meeting last week, with a Q&A about all analyses.
- Future meetings should be more focused on actual analysis.
  - Technical issues that every one will or might profit from.
  - Problems encountered: Any new ideas?
  - Ideas for new analyses, and putting the studies in a more global context.
  - The place to get feed-back on preliminary results, and give progress reports.
  - Not obligatory to present in every meeting.
  - But not full analysis reports: Those should go into the BSM -focus Ana/opt meetings.

- In the regular BSM working-group phone meetings more detailed discussions can take place.
- Suggest: Every 4 (or 6) weeks. If every 6 weeks, it would always be the week before the BSM-focus Analysis meeting. (Not yet decided)
- First meeting last week, with a Q&A about all analyses.
- Future meetings should be more focused on actual analysis.
  - Technical issues that every one will or might profit from.
  - Problems encountered: Any new ideas?
  - Ideas for new analyses, and putting the studies in a more global context.
  - The place to get feed-back on preliminary results, and give progress reports.
  - Not obligatory to present in *every* meeting.
  - But not full analysis reports: Those should go into the BSM -focus
     Ana/opt meetings.

- In the regular BSM working-group phone meetings more detailed discussions can take place.
- Suggest: Every 4 (or 6) weeks. If every 6 weeks, it would always be the week before the BSM-focus Analysis meeting. (Not yet decided)
- First meeting last week, with a Q&A about all analyses.
- Future meetings should be more focused on actual analysis.
  - Technical issues that every one will or might profit from.
  - Problems encountered: Any new ideas?
  - Ideas for new analyses, and putting the studies in a more global context.
  - The place to get feed-back on preliminary results, and give progress reports.
  - Not obligatory to present in every meeting.
  - But not full analysis reports: Those should go into the BSM -focus Ana/opt meetings.

## Questions to the first BSM working-group meeting

- The physics of your analysis
- Ourrent status of the analysis: starting on-going close to final ?
- Level of ambition of the analysis
  - Pure theory
  - Simple 4-vector smearing
  - Advanced 4-vector smearing (Delphes-level)
  - Fastsim (SGV)
  - Fullsim
- Requests for samples: signal/background
- LHC connection:
  - Not yet seen, but will be before ILC starts
  - Might be seen after the full HiLumi LHC
  - No way LHC would see it.
  - etc ....
- Any interest for ILC@250, or is > 500 mandatory ?

(See the ilcagenda page for the detailed responses)