minutes.txt mar 22, 17 0:50 Page 1/5 Present: Generator group+ F. Gaede, T. Calancha, D. Jeans + W. Kilian and J. Reuter from the Whizard's. (Generator group = MB and J. Tian (ILD gen. group conveners), A. Miyamto, P. Roloff (CLICdp), T. Barklow (SiD)) (The non-generator part of the meeting is *not* included !!!!) NB: here is a list of things where my notes were a bit to terse. Please add details as needed (search for "[" to find them in the text!): Pt-kick of e+- emitting a WW-photon [was this an issue or a feature request?] Possibility to set Higgs BRs externally [What was the issue here??? My note was a bit terse] - Gluon Matching strategies. How well does the existing mlm scheme work? Get advice/opinions from M. Vos. [What else did we say on this topic??] - Questions about some of the generator status Also, please check is I completely missed something! Topics: 1. Whizard validation and feature requests 2. LCIO MCParticle info, Event header, Run header. 3. Other generators 4. Production schemes 5. Metadata and run conditions/logs 6. List of actions with names if known. 7. Organisational 1. Whizard validation and feature requests List of issues - getting shorter - Difference in particle multiplicities in 4-quark events. -> Noted that the way Pythia is called is different between DBD and W2.4 In DBD: Uses py4frm, with "trivial" probabilities for colour singlet choice, i.e. the probability is set to 1 for the combination for the colour-connected pairs from Whizard, 0 for others. In Whizard2.4: Uses pyexec, where the actual filling of /PYJETS/ is done with the LesHouces event, which contains the same event-by-event colour connections between the pairs. che parts. => Given this, why is it different? Action plan: W.K. to check if the observations from A.M. can be reproduced. If so (and no other difference of the implementations identified): Contact Pythia6 authors for advice (probably means SjÅ[strand). Strange behaviour of m_qq with ISR reported by M.B. is due to the cuts being applied to the ISR photons. No fundamental problem in ISR treatment. However, on the other hand, the expected cut at m_qq at 10 GeV does not seem to work. Action plan: Re-visit the question about the E_gamma spectrum, in particular the position of the radiative return peak (M.B.) Whizard authors: Please check the syntax of the cuts in circulated sindarin file: It does not seem to do the same as the default cuts in W1.95. - Polarised tau-decays with tauola does not take the full correlations into account. Not a problem for validation, since it is done in the same way in the DBD. A better internal treatment is in the works, but not for now (ie. for a new production within 6 months). Action plan: Re-validate wrt. DBD for ee->tauatu and ee->staustau->tautau N_1N_1. Was OK in 2.2.x, so probably still is. Check by M.B. Some issues in current implementation of LCIO output, see below. - Pt-kick of e+- emitting a WW-photon [was this an issue or a feature request?] Feature requests: - Things that are already there, but needs checking and documentation: - Callable interface, Ie. schematically (call whizard_ini) Do ievt = 1 , nevt Call whizard_event(gen_event) Call FastSim(gen_event, rec_event) Call WriteoutLCIO(rec_event) Enddo - Command-line steering, ie. something like whizard --execute "simulate (z_h0dq){ ?polarized_events = true }" default.sin All is executed *before* the default.sin script. Might be a problem? - Preservation of the full input and output of a job for future reference, basically provide a script to clean up the run-directory of irrelevant temporary files, so that a tar-ball of the directory would contain all relevant settings and results. - Method to include a user ``event-transformation'' and a flat M.E. for gammagamma -> low P_perp hadrons. - User setting of run-number and starting offset of event counter. - Possibility to set Higgs BRs externally [What was the issue here??? My note was a bit terse]

Printed by Mikael Berggren

mar 22, 17 0:50	minutes.txt	Page 2/5	
Other Whizard topics			
- Bug-tracker. Which of systems that are out there would be best ?			
	gies. How well does the existing mlm scheme work? Get advice/opinions se did we say on this topic??]		
- Make sure that Whizard	d is quoted in any analysis using DBD-samples !		
2. LCIO MCParticle info,	Event header, Run header (= Frank's mail)		
General things:			
- should first star			
(other things from my r	notes)		
Run header:			
- not strictly need place one the SE	arin steering file into the LCIO RunHeader ded for mass production: Should be saved in a well-defined and GitHub, anyway. for individual user files		
Collection parameters.			
 2**n x 2**n matr should be stored collection, e.g. SpinDensityParti SpinDensityMatri example code: 	icleIndices - IntVec		
particleIndices. FloatVec_sMat ;	.push_back(3); .push_back(7);		
sMat.resize(16 sMat[0] = 1. ; sMat[1] = 0. ; //);		
sMat[15] = -1. ; col->parameters(; ().setValues("SpinDensityParticleIndices",particleIndices); ().setValues("SpinDensityMatrix", sMat);		
Event header:			
- meta data to be put	into the LCEvent header as key-value pairs :		
- Energy : - BeamSpectrum: Need well-cho	<pre><float> nominal centre of mass energy [GeV] <string> name of file w/ beam spectrum. osen name!</string></float></pre>		
- ProcessID: (-> needs to	<pre></pre>		
- processName: - crossSection: unit: [fb].] implied by the in this partic	<pre><string> user provided name for the run</string></pre>		
- crossSectionErro - beamPDG0:	or: <float> [fb] <int> pdg code of first incoming beam particle</int></float>		
- beamPDG1: - Pol0: - Pol1:	<pre><int> pdg code of first incoming beam particle <float> polarisation of beam 0 [-1.,1.] <float> polarisation of beam 1 =[-1.,1.]</float></float></int></pre>		
	ly the same in each event in the run, but in view of the possibility mixed/merged later in analysis, it is better to keep it with each event		
gamma e cases: The p	hat this information is enough to disentangle the gammagamma and process-name starts with aa_ or ae_ ea_, and the beam-particle $g_{=+-11}$ if the photon is virtual (EPA), or to pdg=22 if it is		
- use ProcessID as rur (evt->setRunNumber	n number in every event (and in run header) c(rn) ;)		
- Set the event number	:		

2/5

minutes.txt mar 22, 17 0:50 Page 3/5 - simple counter - optionally with offset => need to be able to specify an offset to the event number counter in the Sindarin file MCParticle: - Issues in current implementation: simulator status should be zero by generator.spin vector definition: Should be particle helicity, ie. (0., 0., +-1)- Extended generator codes: - 1 for stable particles stable here means: particle is not decayed by the generator (S0 that summing up particle energies of all genstat=1 particles is total energy) - 2 particle decayed in generator. [What did we say about the particles in the P.S. ?] - 3 documentation line : [?] - 4 incoming (beam) particles - 5 outgoing particles from hard process, ie. from whizard - in case of a stable particle was generated in the hard process this particle should be entered twice, first with genstat 5, then with genstat 1 (and with correct parent-daughter pointers) - ISR photons should be copied, first time with code ?, second time with code 1 [Not sure what we said for the first occurrence? 4,5 or separate code.] 3. Other generators The main reason to produce a part of DBD (8f) with an other generator (Physim) was that it was in practice impossible to do this with Whizardl. In whizard2 "minimum bias" 8f *should* be possible (to be checked). If confirmed, there is no a priori need for any other generator. This said, in general it would be nice to also have other generators, but currently not much push for it. - BHWide for better Bhabhas. Ongoing work in CLICdp to make a modern version - to follow by the generator group. - BDK/BDKRC for \$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\$ Pythia8, MadGraph, Sherpa, ... for double-checks and systematics Pythia8 instead of Pythia6 for hadronisation. Currently not a feature request: Generator group first need to see how well P8 reproduces LEP data, then decide how to proceed. Problem: How to get output into LCIO? - ``Output'' often HepMC or LesHouches (or stdhep/hepevt/pyjets, for older generators, but there we already have *stdhepjob*) Pythia8: can write sthep, but incompatible w/ our version of stdhep. Idea to test: Whizard can take these as *input*, and *output* LCIO. => Rightarrow Use Whizard simply as a *formatter*. 4. (ILC) Production schemes Which samples to produce ? - The SM as for the DBD: - 250, 350, 500 - at least H20 - up to 10 times as much. - GammaGamma, Compton, bhabha ? - produce all samples with fully polarised beams. - How to treat the Higgs? As in DBD: separated out from SM sample (use "infinite" m_h in SM sample) - Request from production team to reduce the number of different processes wrt. DBD samples However: DBD-scheme has served the user community well: should be kept as is > Open issue. 6- (and 8-) fermion samples was not grouped very much in the DBD, so things are to be gained there. Can the Dirac scripts be modified so that jobs grouping processes can be treated more easily? - Auxiliary samples needed for simulation: - gammagamma -> lowpt hadrons. - Files exist in stdhep (for 500, need to check 250, 350) - Have fixed issues in Barklow generator, rho-width, new switch between Barklow and Pythia: 2 Gev - bb, bw, wb, ww in separate files need correct mixture of these to have a single file to pick overlay from. - SiD has code to do the correct mixing - Real tracks from pair background - not considered in DBD: - Need to create files with real tracks

- One event with 1 BX Should do this with SGV.

Printed by Mikael Berggren

	Printed by M	ikael Berggre
mar 22, 17 0:50	minutes.txt	Page 4/5
	ne complete background in the detector verlay back-scattered hits in the VXD (SIT) ?	
- Things *not* done centrall	ly for the DBD:	
- Z pole		
- Maybe: produce some ''st	candard'' BSM benchmark samples? If so: What ?	
Special calibration sample - 2f for calibration e,m - single particle files: - uds-di-jet events - bb,cc for flavour tage	nu,q : mu:s K^0_L:s,	
	nd 4/6 uds, for flavour tag for Higgs self-coupling.	
	Is this things that the central production should do, that the groups using these samples take charge?	
How to produce? What is the	effort?	
- Runs on single computer	eration is the initial integration - afterwards producing large sample is fast, necking of log-files, re-submitting of failed jobs,	
 Needs scripts, presuma not for me, remember t dbd, with no requireme 	ably not much different from the DBD ones (At least that the effort was slit between KEK/DESY/SLAC for the ent that it should be done the same way, just that the buld end up at the correct place, and that the metadata	
same principle as for othe	For dbd + that the file sequence numbers should follow the er items, ie. a dot followed by a letter defining the number we will use .n followed by 6 digits (zero-padded).	
- *dont* zero-pad other	numbers, eg. the cms energy.	
When to produce ?		
- Be ready for summer '17, i	ie. in ~ 6 months	
5. Metadata and run conditions/	logs	
- The same as for the DBD - Remove the lists of pr - Add ``superseded'' key for any other reason m	section, polarisation, files,	
the comment field. - additional, optional,	keywords, e.g. for BSM could be added by generator group and in Grid-SE. For DBD, they were also the SE, and on the web.	
– Means: Steering-files, – Saved as tar files – par	onditions, as was saved during DBD: logs, integration grids, output other than the events,: callel to generated files on SE, e.g. mc-dbd, and also	
on Web (un-tarred). - The collection of output to Whizardl	is and steering files from Whizard2 id completely different	
	ity to tidy up run-directory of temporary files, and advice Chub and in Grid-SE	
6. List of actions with names i	if known.	
Whizard authors:		
now:		
	and extensions, as listed in sect2 (JRR) File from MB *does* describe the same conditions	
- gammagamma->lowpt hadrons - Problem with Hadrons in 4 - Externally set Higgs BRs	s: Flat ME+user defined event-transformation (WK and TB) 4f: WK, possibly with advice form Pythia6 authors. (WK). and starting offset of event counter (?)	
- pt-kick of e+- in EPA eve		
4/5		mars 22, 201

Printed by Mikael Berggren

mar 22, 17 0:50

minutes.txt

Page 5/5

checks/document: Callable whizard - Command-line steering eventually: - Internal, fully correlated tau-decays. - gluon matching schemes Generator group. now: work: - ILC beam-spectra for 250/350/500 for ee/ge/eg/gg (?) - Modifications to metadata-files and run-archiving (MB for the DESY setup) Prepare files with seeable tracks from beam-background (MB)
 Event number to 64-bit in LCIO (FG) Clarify (with production group and/or detector groups) open questions on number of processes, what calibration samples to produce centrally, ...
 Re-iterate with physics groups for any specific demands on how to generate (higgs, top, ...) (MB,JT,TB) checks: - Verify that polarised tau decays are still as OK as they can (MB) Whizard as a formatter (anything->LCIO) (?) _ - Can whizard do "minimum bias" 8f (JT?) eventually: - Pythia8 hadronisation wrt Pythia6 and LEP data (MB and DJ) 7. Organisational Get an actual mandate for the group. - MB informally suggested this to Jim Brau. Let's see what happens. Next face-to-face meeting: March 22-23 '17 at DESY