Extrapolation Studies of Longitudinal Energy Distributions and Saving Simulated Detector Hits in the SLCIO File Format

#### André Wilhahn In collaboration with Stan Lai and Julian Utehs

II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen CALICE Collaboration Meeting

September 27 - 29, 2023







2 Extrapolation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

3 Saving Simulated Detector Hits in SLCIO Files





2 Extrapolation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

3 Saving Simulated Detector Hits in SLCIO Files



## Fast Simulation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

- Working on the development of a **data-based fast simulation** of longitudinal AHCAL energy distributions
- Based upon 2018 test beam data: {10 GeV, 20 GeV, 30 GeV, 40 GeV, 60 GeV, 80 GeV, 120 GeV, 160 GeV, 200 GeV}





## Fast Simulation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

- Previous talk focused on angular-radial-longitudinal bins
  ⇒ This talk focuses on only longitudinal bins (i.e. layers)
- Consider layers relative to shower start layer such that shower start layer has always number 0
- Have grouped layers together into "layer groups"
  ⇒ Will use terms "layers" and "layer groups" analogously
- Layer groups:  $\{0-1, 2-3, 4-5, 6-7, 8-11, 12-15, 16-23, 24-38\}$



### 2 Extrapolation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

3 Saving Simulated Detector Hits in SLCIO Files



## Strategy for Extrapolating Energy Distributions to $200 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

- 1. Find curves that describe absolute energy deposition layerwise for target energy
  - a) Fit one fit function to all PDFs of initial energies  $\leq 160 \,\text{GeV}$
  - b) Plot fit parameters against initial energy
  - c) Extrapolate fit parameters to  $200 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
  - d) Create curves for 200 GeV based on extrapolated fit parameters
- 2. Extrapolate events by integrating absolute energy distributions of  $160 \,\text{GeV}$  and  $200 \,\text{GeV}$  (explained in more detail during this talk)



## Convoluting a Gaussian and a Landau Distribution

• Fit convolution of Gaussian and Landau distribution ("Langaus") to PDFs of absolute energies:

$$f_{\text{Gaus}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$
$$f_{\text{Landau}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\eta} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \cos\left\{\frac{t(x-\mu)}{\eta} + \frac{2t}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{t}{\eta}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d}t$$

Four fit parameters:

- 1. Normalisation factor A
- 2. Most probable value "mpv"
- 3. Scaling factor  $\eta$  (from Landau)
- 4. Standard deviation  $\sigma$  (from Gauss)



## Fitting Absolute Energies

- Behaviour around maxima well described
- Deviations at tails, but negligible for now
- Similar results for other initial energies/layer groups



## Fit Parameters vs. Initial Energy

- Fit functions to points in order to describe behaviour of fit parameters as function of initial energy  $\rightarrow$  trial and error, functions not based on any model
- $\bullet$  Overall sensible results for A and mpv



André Wilhahn, Julian Utehs, Stan Lai

10/28

## Fit Parameters vs. Initial Energy

- $\bullet$  Also mostly sensible results for  $\eta$  and  $\sigma$
- $\bullet$  However, some few curves exhibit weird behaviour  $\rightarrow$  next slide



## Some Outliers

- Sometimes fit parameters are really hard to find (e.g. at small initial energies in large detector layers)
- In particular,  $\eta$  very hard to control  $\Rightarrow$  probably  $\sigma$  controls most of the curve's width



## Generating extrapolated Events by Integration of PDFs

- Extrapolate from one initial energy  $(E_{\text{small}} = 160 \text{ GeV})$  to target energy  $(E_{\text{target}} = 200 \text{ GeV})$
- Generate one event of absolute energies randomly  $\rightarrow (E_{\text{small}, 0}, E_{\text{small}, 1}, ..., E_{\text{small}, n})$
- Integrate PDF of layer i until  $E_{\text{small}, i}$  is reached



## Generating extrapolated Events by Integration of PDFs

- Integrate PDF of same layer but for  $E_{\text{target}}$ , until area equals  $A_i \to \text{at } E_{\text{target}, i}$
- Repeat for all layers  $\rightarrow (E_{\text{target, 0}}, E_{\text{target, 1}}, ..., E_{\text{target, n}})$

 $\Rightarrow$  Preserves correlations between layers



## Extrapolated Absolute Energies

- After fit parameter extrapolation, extrapolate absolute energies via integration shown on previous slides
- Very good behaviour around maximum, but tails too large (too many events with too much energy)



Absolute Energies in Layers 4 and 5

Absolute Energies in Layers 8 - 11

## **Extrapolated Correlation Factors**



Correlation Factors (200 GeV Data)





• Extrapolated correlations slightly "weaker" than expectations

-1.00

- 0.75

- 0.50

- 0.25

- 0.00

- →0.25

- -0.50

- -0.75

- -- 1.00

- 1.00

- 0.75

- 0.50

- 0.25

- 0.00

-→0.25

- -0.50

-0.75

- 1.00

• Shows that extrapolation already deteriorates for differences in initial energies of only  $40\,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

## Kinematic Variables

- Check total shower energy and centre of gravity as examples to make sure that shower behaviour is correctly extrapolated too
- Similar case as for absolute energies: maximum well recreated by extrapolation, but high-end tail deviates from data



Total Shower Energy (200 GeV)

Centre of Gravity (z-axis, 200 GeV)

### 1 Introduction

2 Extrapolation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

### 3 Saving Simulated Detector Hits in SLCIO Files



## Convertion from Simulated Hits into SLCIO Files

- Until now have only worked with textfiles for both temporary and permanent saving
- Standard file format in CALICE are SLCIO files
- SLCIO files include certain collections
  → vectors of object pointers
- Goal: create dummy SLCIO file with test event/hits, then convert SLCIO file into ROOT file



## SLCIO Structure and Collections



## SLCIO Collections - Calorimeter Hits and Hit Variables

#### Calorimeter Hits:

- Two Cell IDs: ID 0 depends on detector hit position (IJK-coordinates), ID 1 irrelevant for simulation (always zero)
- Hit energy and hit energy error
- Double array with global x-, y-, and z-coordinates of hit (x and y measured w.r.t. detector center, z measured from beginning of first layer)

#### Hit Variables:

- Hit position in detector coordinates (IJK)
- Cell size (area of single cell in millimetres squared)
- Radial distance of hit from center of gravity in x-y plane
- Hit energy density (hit energy divided by cell size)

## SLCIO Collections - Layer and Event Variables

#### Layer Variables:

- Number of hits per layer
- Total energy and energy density per layer
- Mean event radius and weighted event radius per layer (latter weighted by hit density)
- Centers of gravity in all three spatial directions

#### Event Variables:

- Total number of hits
- Shower start layer number
- Total energy and energy density
- Mean event radius and weighted event radius per layer (latter weighted by hit density)
- Centers of gravity in all three spatial directions

#### 1 Introduction

2 Extrapolation of Longitudinal Energy Distributions

#### 3 Saving Simulated Detector Hits in SLCIO Files



## Summary and Limitations of the Extrapolation Algorithm

#### Pros:

- Langaus curves fit absolute energy distributions (mostly) well
- Extrapolation of fit parameters and distributions works reasonably well too
- Extrapolated distributions match those from data (except for high energy tails)

#### Cons:

- Requires to fit Langaus curve binwise
  ⇒ Nobody wants to fit (and adjust) Langaus distribution to hundreds of PDFs
- With finer binning, absolute energy PDFs do not exhibit Langaus behaviour anymore
- During extrapolation, one also has to calculate cumulative Langaus distributions of the extrapolated target energy

 $\Rightarrow$  Computing time already quite long for only longitudinal bins (only layerwise energy deposition), but would take ages to do same calculation for even finer binning

#### Conclusion

## Summary and Limitations of the Extrapolation Algorithm



- Soon have to replace old extrapolation algorithm by new one involving machine learning techniques if we want to keep fine resolution
- Ideal case would be to have no fitting at all involved because it requires too much fine tuning

## Summary of the SLCIO File Creation

- Fast simulation almost so far that consistent events can be produced
- Events (and hits) are stored in SLCIO files, together with certain variables
- Finding out how SLCIO files are structured, what needs to be saved, and how filling works took quite some time
- Was able to create first SLCIO file with dummy values and convert it into ROOT file
- ROOT tree writer is also able to convert dummy SLCIO files into ROOT files

## Outlook

- Next project will be to simulate showers with "Generative Adversarial Networks"
- Feed cell information (energy, timing, ...) to GAN and try to generate showers that look as if they came from test beam data



# Thanks for your attention! Questions?

# **Backup Slides**

## Why Fast Simulations?

- CPU consumption of MC simulations increases with occupancy/granularity
- $\bullet~{\rm Up}$  to  $90\,\%$  of calculation time is needed for the calorimeter
- Saving of computational resources will become necessary sooner or later



## Kernel Density Estimators

- Want to find PDF of dataset  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$
- Define Kernel Density Estimator (KDE) with bandwidth h as:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right)$$

with

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right)$$

- PDF = sum of all Gaussian kernels
- Choice of bandwidth determines smoothness of PDF
- Apply KDE of energy differences simultaneously on layer groups

## Kernel Density Estimators



• Generalise to d dimensions:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{H}|^{-1/2} K\left(\mathbf{H}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)\right)$$

- **x**: *d*-dimensional data vector; **H**: *d* × *d* bandwidth matrix
- Have chosen  $\mathbf{H} = h^2 \mathbf{C}$  where  $\mathbf{C}$  is the covariance matrix of the dataset

## Simulated Energy Differences for longitudinal $60\,{\rm GeV}$ Pion PDFs

- $\bullet\,$  Generate 100 000 events with KDEs
- Each event containing eight energy differences corresponding to eight layer groups Energy Differences in Layers 4 and 5 (relative) Energy Differences in Layers 4 and 5 (relative)



Excellent agreement between data and simulation!

## Convolution

The convolution of two functions f and g (denoted as f \* g) is defined as:

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau)g(t - \tau)d\tau$$

or equivalently:

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t - \tau)g(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau$$

Fitting Langaus Curves to Absolute Energy PDFs I



Fitting Langaus Curves to Absolute Energy PDFs II



Fitting Langaus Curves to Absolute Energy PDFs III



Extrapolation

## Normalisation Factor



#### Extrapolation

## Most Probable Value



## Scaling Factor



## Standard Deviation



Distributing Energy of Layer Groups among Layers

$$E(n) = E_0 \cdot \left\{ \frac{f}{\Gamma(\alpha_s)} \left( \frac{n}{\beta_s} \right)^{\alpha_s - 1} \frac{e^{\frac{-n}{\beta_s}}}{\beta_s} + \frac{1 - f}{\Gamma(\alpha_l)} \left( \frac{n}{\beta_l} \right)^{\alpha_l - 1} \frac{e^{\frac{-n}{\beta_l}}}{\beta_l} \right\}$$

- Electromagnetic core and hadronic halo
- Integrate curve from point *i* to *i* + 1
  → area for certain layer group
- Within layer group, integrate for single layers
  - $\Rightarrow Example: LG 1 comprises layers 0 and 1 \rightarrow integrate from 0 to 2$  $\Rightarrow For layers 0 and 1: integrate from 0$
  - to 1 and from 1 to 2, respectively



Centre of Gravity

## Centre of Gravity in Single Layers

Centre of Gravity (z-axis, 200 GeV)



