

- Session is a mix, with several talks on gas-based (sub-) detectors
- LCTPC talk in same session
- Talk has 20 min, i.e. 17+3

**The International Large Detector (ILD) for a future electro...**  
*Ulrich Einhaus*

**High Granularity Resistive Micromegas for Tracking  
Detectors in Future Experiments**

**High spatial resolution of TPC R&D at high luminosity  
Tera-Z on CEPC**

**TPC Development for the ILD Detector at ILC (On behalf of the LCTPC Collaboration)**

*Audimax, Universität Hamburg*

*Jochen Kaminski*

09:30 - 09:50

**Longevity and eco-friendly gas studies for the CMS Muon ...**  
*Federica Primavera*

**Overview of ATLAS forward proton detectors: status, perf...**  
*Savannah Clawson*



# The International Large Detector (ILD) for a future electron-positron collider: Status and Plans

Ulrich Einhaus for the ILD collaboration

EPS-HEP2023 Conference

22.08.2023, Hamburg





[ulrich.einhaus@desy.de](mailto:ulrich.einhaus@desy.de)

**HELMHOLTZ**

**CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE**  
QUANTUM UNIVERSE




# The Landscape of Proposed Next-Gen Colliders / Future HTE Factories




- + C<sup>3</sup>
- + CERC
- + ReLiC
- + ERLC
- + HALHF
- + ...


**This**




**CEPC Baseline**




**IDEA**




**CLICdp**




**SiD**




**FST**



**CEPC 4th concept**



**CLD**



- Many proposals under consideration at various degrees of detail and readiness
- ILD is a driver of hardware developments and has (one of) the most developed detector model, sim/reco and analyses

slide stolen from B. Dudar




# ILD Layout and Performance

- Multi-purpose detector, nearly  $4\pi$  hermeticity with elaborate forward region, optimised for particle flow
- Time projection chamber (TPC) as main tracker allows for continuous tracking and dE/dx PID
- High granularity calorimeter with minimal material in front of it inside 3.5 T solenoid
- Resolutions:
 

vertexing:	$\sigma_b < (5 \oplus 10 / p \sin^{3/2} \theta) \mu m$	$\sim$ CMS/4
momentum:	$\sigma_{1/p_T} = 2 \cdot 10^{-5} GeV^{-1} \oplus 10^{-3} \sin^{1/2} \theta / p$	$\sim$ CMS/40
jet energy:	$\sigma_{E_{Jet}} / E_{Jet} < 3.5\%$ above 100 GeV	$\sim$ ATLAS/2
dE/dx:	$\sigma_{dE/dx} / \mu_{dE/dx} < 5\%$	$\sim$ ALICE
- Can we do better than that?



  
[Interim Design Report 2020](#)

[https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6329]



# Hardware: Silicon Tracking

- ILD foresees Silicon vertex tracker and TPC envelope and is considering a number of options (CMOS, DEPFET, MAPS, (i)LGADs) and is monitoring the ongoing developments, e.g.
- ALICE ITS3
  - Bendable MAPS, minimal material budget of 0.05% per layer
  - Point resolution  $< 5 \mu\text{m}$
- (i)LGADs
  - Timing resolution  $O(10 \text{ ps})$
  - ‘Inverting’ more complex but optimises fill factor





<https://doi.org/10.3390/s23073450>

<https://indico.slac.stanford.edu/event/7467/contributions/5958/>

# Hardware: Time Projection Chamber



- TPC: pad-based readout well established, ongoing development of PixelTPC readout
- Would improve asympt. momentum resolution by  $\sim 15\%$  and  $dE/dx$  resolution by  $\sim 30\%$
- A lot more: [talk by J. Kaminski](#)



<https://indico.slac.stanford.edu/event/7467/contributions/5972/>



- A number of options on the table:
  - SiW ECAL, Scintillator ECAL
  - AHCAL, DHCAL, SDHCAL, T-SDHCAL
- Combined test beam of SiW ECAL and AHCAL, application at LUXE?
- AHCAL involved in CMS HGCAL
- SiW ECAL, Sci ECAL and AHCAL each propose development of (new) prototypes & integrating design for continuous operation and timing (DRD6)
- Proposal for SDHCAL with timing capability (DRD6)
- See also [overview by A. Irles](#)




#### SiW-ECAL

- 15 layers 18×18 cm<sup>2</sup>
- 0.5×0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> Si cells
- 2.8+5.6 mm W (24 X0)

#### AHCAL :

- 38 layers 72×72 cm<sup>2</sup>
- 3×3 cells scintillator + SiPM
- 1.7 cm Stainless Steel (~4λ)

- Participation in various detector research & development (DRD) collaborations
  - (LC)TPC: DRD1 (gaseous detectors)
  - several groups: proposal of 65 nm CMOS in DRD3 (solid state detectors)
  - some interest in DRD4 (photon detection & PID)
  - CALICE: DRD6 (calorimetry)
- DRD collaboration should be operational beginning of 2024







# ILD at a Circular Collider?

- ILD was developed to work at the International Linear Collider (ILC)
- At a circular collider (FCC, CEPC) conditions are different:
  - continuous beams instead of trains → no power pulsing, no TPC gating
  - different beam delivery system and beam stability requirements → redesign of forward region
- Studies are ongoing to address these and study the viability, so far it looks reasonable

ILC



FCC




- Extensive software framework iLCSoft, driving simulation, reconstruction, detector optimisation and physics studies
- Several large MC productions, most recent one in 2020 for 250 GeV  $E_{\text{CM}}$
- Detector model being updated for usage at a circular collider
  
- Future collider community has agreed on common framework: key4HEP
- iLCSoft is part of the common environment, central reconstruction tools are usable via a wrapper and the plan is to move to native key4HEP in the future




# Neutrino Correction & ErrorFlow for Higgs Self-Coupling

- Primary Higgs decay channel is  $b\bar{b}$ , often with 1 or 2 semi-leptonic decays (SLDs) of the b- or consecutive c-hadrons
- Less prevalent but still an issue in  $c\bar{c}$ , WW or ZZ channels
- Neutrinos of SLDs carry invisible energy, broaden invariant-mass peak of the reconstructed Higgs
- New: dedicated reconstruction of the missing energy/momentum using knowledge of initial state in  $e^+e^-$  collisions



<https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/10079>





<https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.07264>




# Neutrino Correction & ErrorFlow for Higgs Self-Coupling

- Use kinematic fit to constrain event reconstruction (incl. inv. mass)
- New: with high-efficiency particle flow assess uncertainty on reconstructed parameters of each fit object (e.g. b-jets) individually and with full covariance matrix to optimise fit performance → ErrorFlow
- Delivers an excellent separation of Higgs peak from underlying Z bkg. in  $b\bar{b}$  inv. mass
- Use for Higgs self-coupling in ZHH to suppress ZZH background and measure  $\lambda_{HHH}$  at  $< 20\%$



<https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.07264>


See talk by I. Torndal



# Particle ID for $A_{FB}$ and $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$



- Particle ID (PID) is of increasing interest in the future collider discussion
- ILD uses PID from cluster shapes (via PandoraPFA), specific energy loss in the TPC ( $dE/dx$ , possibly  $dN/dx$ ) and time-of-flight (TOF)
- New: detailed implementation of TOF, with a variable timing resolution  $O(30\text{ps})$  in the first ECal layer and a sophisticated track length reconstruction using the Kalman filter
- One result: track length has similar impact on mass reco as timing, using 220 continuous track hits of the TPC - how does this perform in full Silicon?
- See [talk by B. Dudar](#)

$$L = \sum_i^{N_{\text{hits}}} L_i = \sum_i^{N_{\text{hits}}} \frac{|z_{i+1} - z_i|}{|\tan \lambda_i|} \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \lambda_i}$$




# Particle ID for $A_{FB}$ and $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$

- TOF works up to 3-5 GeV and nicely complements  $dE/dx$ : covers 'blind spot' at low momenta where Bethe-Bloch curves cross
- Need for combination of different PID observables, coherent performance assessment and comparability between detector concepts
- New framework: Comprehensive PID (CPID)
- Built in a modular way both for PID observables as well as combination algorithm (e.g. BDT)
- Part of key4HEP for broad future collider community
- See: [poster by UE](#)




# Particle ID for $A_{FB}$ and $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$

- Various studies using PID (though not CPID yet), e.g.:
- Measurement of forward/backward asymmetry  $A_{FB}$  of s-channel quark pairs
- Quark charge ID incl. via ID of kaons from leading hadron decays needed to assign particle/antiparticle direction correctly  $\rightarrow$  significant effect of kaon ID
- Allows to find BSM and differentiate BSM models from each other
- See [talk by J. Marquez](#)




<https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.11413>





# Particle ID for $A_{FB}$ and $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$

- Various studies using PID (though not CPID *yet*), e.g.:
- Putting limits on strange Yukawa coupling for the first time
- Identification of s-jets by with leading kaons, separation from u/d-jets only possible via PID
- Helps with suppression of Z-to-light quarks background
- Crucial in case of enhanced cross section via BSM models to identify quark generation with enhancement Yukawa coupling



<https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07535>





- EPS-HEP contribution:
- [CPV mixing](#)
- [Dark photons](#)
- [Long lived BSM particles](#)
- [Exotic light scalars](#)
- [Stau searches](#)



# Conclusion & Outlook

- ILD is a mature detector concept, but still very active, both in associated hardware development as well as reconstruction algorithms and physics studies
- Participating in European Strategy, Snowmass, now DRD integration and ECFA study → feasibility study of ILD @FCC
- Looking for more personpower!

Thank you!

