

Implementation, performance and physics impact of particle identification at Higgs factories

M. Basso^a, M. Berggren^b, V. Cairo^{c,d}, B. Dudar^{b,e}, <u>U. Einhaus^b</u>, J. List^b ^a Uni Toronto, ^b DESY, ^c SLAC, ^d CERN, ^e Uni Hamburg

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE

QUANTUM UNIVERSE



The Future Collider Landscape & PID

→ [3]



Broad landscape of proposed future colliders Need to focus personpower on common work, in particular software \rightarrow key4HEP [1,2] One big topic of common interest: particle identification (PID)



Here: common approach to combined PID at future colliders - Comprehensive PID (CPID)







References







MCTruth PD

MCTruth PDC



CPID Performance



Based on ILD full simulation & reconstruction [4], single particles flat in log(p) and isotropic

Right: combination of different modules for pi/K separation

Below: improvement wrt. current tool in standard reco; numbers are effciency/purity for the diagonal



Physics Application Example: Strange Yukawa Coupling

rejection

dE/dx time of flight ----- cluster shapes 10 Momentum (GeV) CPID, incl. TOF 10^{4} 0.87 0.84 10^{3} 0.80 10² 10

resolution of 30 ps at the first ECal layer

reconstructed mass based on time

Time-of-Flight (TOF): using

Cluster shapes: 'side product' of particle flow algorithm (PandoraPFA)

LeptonID: dedicated BDT for electron and muon ID, using cluster shapes and dE/dxOG Confusion Matrix, TMVA BDT MC 12bins





on strange score to enhance



Study of Higgs to ss decay [5] Very rare in SM, can be enhanced in BSM With PID-based strange tagging and clean environment at e+e- colliders will be able to put limits on coupling, here κ_s



Jets originating from b- and c-quarks can be tagged via secondary vertex ID Separation of s vs. u/d only possible via (mostly leading) strange jet constituents