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## What is New in the Cavity Design

## The Initial Proposed Design

- Based on a distributed coupling accelerating (DCA) rf structure where the phase advance between the accelerating standing wave cavities is $\pi$.
- Aperture radius of 2.624 mm for a shunt impedance of $300 \mathrm{M} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ with 77 K copper walls.


## Proposed Design

- A novel DCA rf structure with $3 \pi / 4$ phase advance between the individually fed cavities.
- Aperture radius of 3.55 mm for the same shunt impedance and the peak field constraints.
- Because of this $35 \%$ larger aperture, this rf structure is much more resilient to both short-range and long-range wakefield effects.


## What is New in the RF Manifold

## Current Implementation of $3 \pi / 4$ DCA

The researchers at SLAC have already proposed and designed a $3 \pi / 4$ DCA with four feeding waveguide manifolds. The implementation of four waveguide manifolds is, however, mechanically challenging.

## Proposed Implementation of $3 \pi / 4$ DCA

We present a novel $3 \pi / 4$ DCA for $C^{3}$ which is based on only two waveguide manifolds.
This rf structure comprises of 56 cavities where cavities are fed in pairs through a standard $\pi$ phase advance rf manifold. This is achieved by pairing the cavities as, first and third, second and forth, and so on. With such pairing, the phase advance between the two cavities in a pair is $\pi / 2$ and the phase advance between successive pairs is $\pi$.

## $C^{3}$ Parameters

| Parameter | Symbol | $C^{3} 250$ | $C^{3} 550$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frequency (GHz) | f | 5.712 | 5.712 |
| bunch spacing (rf cycles) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{bs}}$ | 30 | 20 |
| bunch charge ( nC ) | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1 | 1 |
| bunch length (mm) | $\sigma_{z}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| cold shunt impedance ( $\mathrm{M} \Omega / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 317 | 317 |
| gradient (V/m) | G | 70 | 120 |
| rf wavelength (mm) | $\lambda=\frac{c}{f}$ | 52.4847 | 52.4847 |
| acc. structure length (m) | $\mathrm{L}=56 \times \frac{3}{8} \times \lambda$ | 1.10218 | 1.10218 |
| bunch spacing (ns) | $t_{\text {bs }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {bs }}}{\mathrm{f}}$ | 5.2521 | 3.5014 |
| beam current (A) | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{bs}}}$ | 0.1904 | 0.2856 |
| bunch form factor | $F=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \pi \frac{\sigma_{z}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}}$ | 0.999928 | 0.999928 |
| rf power lost in walls (MW) | $P_{\text {walls }}=\frac{G^{2} L}{r_{s}}$ | 17.0368 | 50.0674 |
| rf power delivered to beam (MW) | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{FI}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{GL}$ | 14.6888 | 37.7711 |
| optimal coupling coefficient | $\beta^{*}=1+\frac{P_{b}}{P_{r f}}=1+\frac{\mathrm{FI}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}}{G}$ | 1.86218 | 1.75441 |
| designed coupling coefficient | $\beta$ | 1.86218 | 1.86218 |
| coupling coeff. at room temp. | $\beta_{\mathrm{RT}}=0.38 \beta$ | 0.707628 | 0.707628 |
| rf power reflected (MW) | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ref }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {walls }} \frac{\beta^{*}\left((\beta-1) \sqrt{\beta^{*}}-\left(\beta^{*}-1\right) \sqrt{\beta}\right)^{2}}{(\beta+1)^{2} \beta^{*}-\left((\beta-1) \sqrt{\beta^{*}}-\left(\beta^{*}-1\right) \sqrt{\beta}\right)^{2}}$ | 0. | 0.0774395 |
| total rf power required (MW) | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {walls }}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{P}_{\text {ref }}$ | 31.7256 | 87.916 |
| rf power per unit length (MW)/m | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }} / \mathrm{L}$ | 28.7845 | 79.7657 |

Cavity (with two different coupler implementations) Parameters Determined Through HFSS® Simulations


Cavity Phases in an Accelerator Made of $135^{\circ}$ Phase Advance Cavities ( $e^{i \omega t}$ convention)

Beam Direction

| $0^{\circ}$ | $-135^{\circ}$ | $-270^{\circ}$ | $-405^{\circ}$ | $-540^{\circ}$ | $-675^{\circ}$ | $-810^{\circ}$ | $-945^{\circ}$ | $-1080^{\circ}$ | $-1215^{\circ}$ | $-1350^{\circ}$ | $-1485^{\circ}$ | $-1620^{\circ}$ | $-1755^{\circ}$ | $-1890^{\circ}$ | $-2025^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Equivalent phases and Feeding Scheme:


There has to be a phase difference of $135^{\circ}$ between the upper and lower rf feeding manifold.

# The General S-Parameter for Four-Port Network That Makes a Unit of This Distributed Feed ( $n_{0}$ : Number of Networks to be Cascaded in Each Manifold) 

Port 2

Port 3 feeds $n^{\text {th }}$ cavity at $\phi_{c_{n}}$ phase.
Port 4 feeds $(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ cavity at $\phi_{c_{n+1}}=$ $\phi_{c_{n}}-135^{\circ} \times 2=\phi_{c_{n}}+90^{\circ}$ phase .
$S=-\frac{1}{2 n_{0}+1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 n_{0} & -\sqrt{2 n_{0}} & -i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} \\ 2 n_{0} & 1 & \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} \\ -\sqrt{2 n_{0}} & \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1+e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right) & \frac{i}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1-e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right) \\ -i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & \frac{i}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1-e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right) & -\frac{1}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1+e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right)\end{array}\right)$
Here, $\phi$ is an arbitrary phase factor.

- A compact four-port network is designed to achieve $S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{13}$ and $S_{14}$. Then $\phi$ is determined as,

$$
\phi=\operatorname{Arg}\left[-\left(S_{33}+i S_{34}\right)\right]=\operatorname{Arg}\left[-i S_{43}-S_{44}\right]
$$

- For tuning purpose, the reflection coefficient at ports 3 or 4 of a detuned cavity should be $e^{i \theta}$ where $\theta=-(\phi+k \pi) / 2$ and $k$ is an odd integer.
- The guide length $l_{c}$ (for ports 3 and 4 ) to the plane where cavity presents a reflection coefficient of -1 when detuned, is determined as:

$$
l_{c}=\frac{m \pi-\theta}{4 \pi} \lambda_{g} .
$$

## A Potential CCC Cavity Response

Here is the reflection coefficient from a cavity resonant at 5.712 GHz with intrinsic quality factor of 11,500 and coupling coefficient of 1.1.


## Response of Cavities Through the Manifold (for Tuning Purpose)

Port 2
anen all cavities in the manifold are detuned except, possibly, those connected to port 3 and/or 4 of one of the four-port unit networks then the reflection coefficient at Port 2 of that network is 1. The graphs below show the response of the cavities as seen through Port 1 when one or none of them are detuned for various values of $\phi$.

-- Blue: Response of cavity at port 3 when cavity at port 4 is detuned
-- Orange: Response of cavity at port 4 when cavity at port 3 is detuned
-- Green: Response of both cavities at port 3 and port 4

## Design of Four-Port Network

$$
\text { Port } 1 \quad S=-\frac{1}{2 n_{0}+1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 n_{0} & -\sqrt{2 n_{0}} & -i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} \\
2 n_{0} & 1 & \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} \\
-\sqrt{2 n_{0}} & \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1+e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right) & \frac{i}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1-e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right) \\
-i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & i \sqrt{2 n_{0}} & \frac{i}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1-e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right) & -\frac{1}{2}\left(2 n_{0}-1+e^{i \phi}\left(2 n_{0}+1\right)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Port $3 \quad$ Port 4

Here, $\phi$ is an arbitrary phase factor.

- The cavity length for $135^{\circ}$ phase advance structure is 19.68 mm . We intend to make a 1.1 m structure using 56 of these cavities. Using a fourway splitter, we will use four arms of distributed feed with $n_{0}=7$, where each four-port network unit feeds two cavities. Thus, $4 \times 7 \times 2=56$.
- The above figure shows view of the symmetry plan of a network that was designed in HFSS ${ }^{\circledR}$ with $n_{0}=7$. We achieved $\phi=-107.8^{\circ}$. The magnitudes and phases of the ideal (top entry) and achieved (bottom entry) S-matrix are given below.



## The Results of Analytical Cascading of 7 of These Designed Four-Port Networks



The above figure is a depiction of analytical cascading where the last one is truncated with a reflection coefficient of $e^{i \tau}$, where $\tau=6.3355$ for minimum overall reflection $\Gamma_{1}$. Here we assume no reflection from cavity ports.

The top two figures on the right show the stability of the relative cavity voltages and phases.

The bottom figure on the right shows the reflection coefficient at the entrance of each network. Note that this $7 x$ 2 distributed feed is well matched with overall reflection coefficient of less than -60 dB .




Implementation of a Compact Termination for the Last Network in a Right Going 7x2 Manifold

I: Ideal network with termination of reflection coefficient 1.

II: Implemented network with termination for minimum overall reflection.


The magnitudes and phases of the resultant $3 \times 3$ S-matrix corresponding to I (top entry), II (middle entry) and III (bottom entry) are given below.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
|S|=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.7500 \\
0.7506 \\
0.7504
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4675 \\
0.4673
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4670 \\
0.4674
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4675 \\
0.4673
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.5255 \\
0.5263 \\
0.5392
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.7107 \\
0.7103 \\
0.7007
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4670 \\
0.4674
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.7107 \\
0.7103 \\
0.7007
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.5255 \\
0.5267 \\
0.5391
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) . \\
\angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
90.0 \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.0 \\
2.6 \\
2.7
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.0 \\
1.1 \\
1.1 \\
1.0
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{l}
115.0 \\
91.4 \\
91.4 \\
114.7 \\
116.0
\end{array}\right)\right.
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{l}
-47.9 \\
-48.0 \\
-46.9
\end{array}\right)\right) . ~\left(\begin{array}{l}
-47.9 \\
9.0 \\
9.4 \\
91.4
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
-65 . \\
-48.0 \\
-46.9
\end{array}\right) \quad\binom{-63.7}{-63.3} . .
$$

## Implementation of a Compact Termination for the Last Network in a Left Going 7x2 Manifold



The magnitudes and phases of the resultant $3 \times 3$ S-matrix corresponding to I (top entry), II (middle entry) and III (bottom entry) are given below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |S|=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.7500 \\
0.7507 \\
0.7505
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4671 \\
0.4673
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4673 \\
0.4673
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4671 \\
0.4673
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.5255 \\
0.5266 \\
0.5390
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.7107 \\
0.7103 \\
0.7008
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.4677 \\
0.4673 \\
0.4673
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.7107 \\
0.7103 \\
0.7008
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
0.5255 \\
0.5264 \\
0.5390
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.0 \\
2.6 \\
2.8
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{c}
180 \\
-178.9 \\
-179.0
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
-90.0 \\
-88.6 \\
-88.6
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
180 \\
-178.9 \\
-179.0
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
115.0 \\
114.6 \\
116.0
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
-47.9 \\
-48.0 \\
-46.9
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-90.0 \\
-88.6 \\
-88.6
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
-47.9 \\
-48.0 \\
-46.9
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{l}
-65 . \\
-64.6 \\
-63.3
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fixing the lengths of Port 3 and Port 4

For tuning purpose, the guide length $l_{c}$ (for ports 3 and 4 ) to the plane where cavity presents a reflection coefficient of -1 when detuned, is determined to be -77.05 mm . As seen here, we have reduced the length of ports 3 and 4 accordingly. The cavities with response equivalent to the one shown in slide \# 3 will be attached to ports 3 and 4 as shown here.

Four-Port Network


Three-Port Network at the End of Right Going Manifold


Three-Port Network at the End of Left Going Manifold


Right Going 7x2 Manifold


## Left Going 7x2 Manifold




## 2x2 S-Matrix of Right Going 7x2 Manifold When All, Except an Odd Cavity Port \# n, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities)



The magnitudes of the resultant $2 \times 2$ S-matrix are calculated to be as follows when $n$ is odd.

$$
|S|=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0.9576 & 0.2880 \\
0.2880 & 0.9576
\end{array}\right)
$$

The HFSS simulation of a particular such scenario yielded following magnitudes of the $2 \times 2$ S-matrix. Here the upper to lower values correspond to $n=1,3,5,7,9,11,13$, respectively.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{l}0.2870 \\ 0.2873 \\ 0.2875 \\ 0.2877 \\ 0.2880 \\ 0.2878 \\ 0.2949\end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}0.9579 \\ 0.9578 \\ 0.9578 \\ 0.9577 \\ 0.9576 \\ 0.9577 \\ 0.9555\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$

## 2x2 S-Matrix of Right Going 7x2 Manifold When All, Except an Even Cavity Port \# n, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities)

S느룽



The magnitudes of the resultant $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~S}$-matrix are calculated to be as follows when $n$ is even

$$
|S|=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0.6807 & 0.7326 \\
0.7326 & 0.6807
\end{array}\right)
$$

The HFSS simulation of a particular such scenario yielded following magnitudes of the $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~S}$-matrix. Here the upper to lower values correspond to $n=1,3,5,7,9,11,13$, respectively.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{l}0.7300 \\ 0.7305 \\ 0.7308 \\ 0.7314 \\ 0.7319 \\ 0.7326 \\ 0.7267\end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{l}0.6834 \\ 0.6830 \\ 0.6826 \\ 0.6819 \\ 0.6814 \\ 0.6807 \\ 0.6869\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$

The phases of the resultant $2 \times 2$ S-matrix are calculated to be as follows when $n$ is odd. Here, the upper values correspond to $n=2,6,10,14$ while the lower values correspond to $n=4,8,12$.

$$
\angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
16.0 & \binom{-112.8}{67.2} \\
\binom{-112.8}{67.2} & -61.7
\end{array}\right)
$$

The HFSS simulation of a particular such scenario yielded following phases of the $2 \times 2$ S-matrix. Here the upper to lower values correspond to $n=2,4,6,8,10,12,14$, respectively.

$$
\angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
18.4 \\
18.4 \\
18.5 \\
18.6 \\
18.5 \\
18.6 \\
18 .
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{c}
-111.7 \\
68.3 \\
-111.6 \\
68.4 \\
-111.6 \\
68.5 \\
-111.4
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
-111.7 \\
68.3 \\
-111.6 \\
68.4 \\
-111.6 \\
68.5 \\
-111.4
\end{array}\right) & \left(\begin{array}{c}
-61.9 \\
-61.8 \\
-61.8 \\
-61.7 \\
-61.7 \\
-61.6 \\
-60.8
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 3x3 S-Matrix of Right Going 7x2 Manifold When All, Except Two

Consecutive Cavity Ports \# $n \& n+1$, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities) $1 / 2$
S느응


The magnitudes of the resultant $3 \times 3$ S-matrix are calculated to be as follows.

$$
|S|=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.7506 & 0.4675 & 0.4670 \\
0.4675 & 0.5263 & 0.7103 \\
0.4670 & 0.7103 & 0.5267
\end{array}\right)
$$

The HFSS simulation of a particular such scenario yielded following magnitudes of the $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~S}$-matrix. Here the upper to lower values correspond to $n=1,3,5,7,9,11,13$, respectively.

|  | $(0.7525)$ | $(0.4660$ ) | (0.4654 ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0.7522 \\ 0.7520\end{array}\right.$ | ( 0.4662 | $(0.4657)$ |
|  | 0.7520 | 0.4664 | 0.4658 |
|  | 0.7513 | 0.4669 | 0.4664 |
|  | 0.7510 | 0.4672 | 0.4667 |
|  | $\binom{0.7508}{0.7530}$ | $\binom{0.4674}{0.4659}$ | $\binom{0.4668}{0.4648}$ |
|  | (0.4660 | (0.5278 | (0.7101 |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0.4660 \\ 0.4662 \\ 0.4664\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0.5278 \\ 0.5273 \\ 0.5272\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0.7101 \\ 0.7103 \\ 0.7103\end{array}\right)$ |
|  | 0.4664 | 0.5272 | 0.7103 |
| $\|S\|=$ | 0.4669 | 0.527 | 0.7101 |
|  | 0.4672 | 0.5266 | 0.7102 |
|  | 0.4674 | 0.5263 ) | ( 0.7104 ) |
|  | (0.4659 | (0.5385 | 0.7021 |
|  | (0.4654 | (0.7101 | (0.5283) |
|  | (0.4657 | ( 0.7103 | 0.5278 |
|  | 0.4658 | 0.7103 | 0.5277 |
|  | 0.4664 | 0.7101 | 0.5275 |
|  | 0.4667 | 0.7102 | 0.5271 |
|  | (0.4668) | $(0.7104)$ | (0.5267 |
|  | ( 0.4648 | (0.7021) | $(0.5395)$ |

## 3x3 S-Matrix of Right Going 7x2 Manifold When All, Except Two

Consecutive Cavity Ports \# n \& n + 1, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities) 2/2


The phases of the resultant $3 \times 3$ S-matrix are calculated to be as follows. Here, the upper values correspond to $n=1,5,9,13$ while the lower values correspond to $n=3,7,11$.

$$
\angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2.6 & \binom{163.7}{-16.3} & \binom{-106.0}{74.0} \\
\binom{163.7}{-16.3} & 79.9 & -82.8 \\
\binom{-106.0}{74.0} & -82.8 & -99.5
\end{array}\right)
$$

The HFSS simulation of a particular such scenario yielded following phases of the $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~S}$-matrix. Here the upper to lower values correspond to $n=1,3,5,7,9,11,13$, respectively.


Reflection ( $\Gamma$ ) Through the Right Going Manifold (for Tuning Purpose)

## Power Divider Between the Right Going and the Left Going Manifold



Requirements for the Power Divider:
It has to be implemented as an E-bend divider to naturally give $180^{\circ}$ phase difference between the right going and left going manifold.
The input waveguide must have WR187 crosssection
For tuning purpose, the S-matrix of the power divider should be of the form shown here on the right (up to an arbitrary phase factor for port 1).


## Design of the Power Divider

The magnitudes and phases of the ideal (top entry) and achieved (bottom entry) S-matrix are given below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
|S|=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\binom{0.00000}{0.00082} & \binom{0.70711}{0.70710} & \binom{0.70711}{0.70711} \\
\binom{0.70711}{0.70710} & \binom{0.50000}{0.49972} & \binom{0.50000}{0.50029} \\
\binom{0.70711}{0.70711} & \binom{0.50000}{0.50029} & \binom{0.50000}{0.49971}
\end{array}\right) . \\
\angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\binom{0}{-135} & \binom{0}{0} & \binom{0}{0} \\
\binom{0}{0} & \binom{180.0}{179.9} & \binom{0.0}{-0.2} \\
\binom{0}{0} & \binom{0.0}{-0.2} & \binom{180.0}{179.9}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$



The 2x7x2 Manifold


## $2 \times 2$ S-Matrix of $2 \times 7 \times 2$ Manifold

## When All, Except Cavity Port \# 5, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities)



The magnitudes and phases of the calculated (top entry) and simulated (bottom entry) Smatrix are given as follows.
0.5

$$
\begin{gathered}
|S|=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\binom{0.9786}{0.9790} & \binom{0.2057}{0.2037} \\
\binom{0.2057}{0.2037} & \binom{0.9786}{0.9790}
\end{array}\right) . \\
\angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\binom{42.4}{45.5} & \binom{-37.4}{-35.8} \\
\binom{-37.4}{-35.8} & \binom{62.7}{62.8}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## $2 \times 2$ S-Matrix of $2 \times 7 \times 2$ Manifold

## When All, Except Cavity Port \# 6, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities)



6

The magnitudes and phases of the calculated (top entry) and simulated (bottom entry) Smatrix are given as follows.
0.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |S|=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\binom{0.8244}{0.8271} & \binom{0.5660}{0.5621} \\
\binom{0.5660}{0.5621} & \binom{0.8244}{0.8271}
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\binom{49.5}{52.4} & \binom{85.0}{86.6} \\
\binom{85}{86.6} & (-59.5 \\
-59.1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2x2 S-Matrix of $2 \times 7 \times 2$ Manifold

## When All, Except Cavity Port \# 5 and 6, are Shorted (Detuned Cavities)



The magnitudes and phases of the calculated (top entry) and simulated (bottom entry) Smatrix are given as follows.
0.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |S|=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\binom{0.8669}{0.8694} & \binom{0.3524}{0.3493} & \binom{0.3525}{0.3495} \\
\binom{0.3524}{0.3493} & \binom{0.5526}{0.552} & \binom{0.7553}{0.7571} \\
\binom{0.3525}{0.3495} & \binom{0.7553}{0.7571} & \binom{0.5525}{0.5519}
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \angle S\left(^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\binom{42.9}{46.0} & \binom{3.9}{5.5} & \binom{94.3}{95.9} \\
\binom{3.9}{5.5} & \binom{85.3}{85.5} & \binom{-85.7}{-85.6} \\
\binom{94.3}{95.9} & \binom{-85.7}{-85.6} & \binom{-93.9}{-93.6}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $2 \times 7 \times 2$ Manifold (Cold Copper $\sigma=2.5^{2} \times 5.8 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$ )


Reflection (Г) Through the $2 \times 7 \times 2$ Manifold
(Room Temperature Copper, $\sigma=5.8 \times 10^{7} S / m$, for Tuning Purpose)


## Next Work: Theory of Periodic Coupled Microwave Cavities

Each cavity is fed with rf signals of equal amplitude but phased advanced by $-\phi$ with respect to the previous.

We have derived the inter-cavity coupling coefficients from the measurements of the reflected signal from only a single cavity corresponding to only three values of $\phi: 0, \pi / 2$, and $\pi$. We are working on this theory to guide the design of the end cavities for a uniform amplitude and periodic phase excitation even in the case of strong inter-cavity coupling.


## Thanks

Questions are welcome!

