# Design and Optimization of the CLIC FFS at 7 TeV

ENRICO MANOSPERTI ROGELIO TOMAS GARCIA ANDRII PASTUSHENKO

## Table of contents

- Description of the new FFS design
- Optimization of the bending magnets
- Scan of the vertical beta function
- Length optimization
- Final doublet optimization
- Conclusions

## FFS Design

- Scaling all the BDS by a factor  $(7 \text{ TeV} / 3 \text{ TeV})^{1/3}$ .
- Same length as the 3 TeV FFS: 768 m.
- Initial beta functions (end of Collimation):  $\beta_x = 86.2 \text{ m}$ ,  $\beta_y = 23.8 \text{ m}$ .

L* [m]	6
FFS length [m]	768
Norm. emittance $\gamma \epsilon_x / \gamma \epsilon_y$ [nm]	660/20
IP beta function $\beta_x^*/\beta_y^*$ [mm]	9/0.12
IP beam size $\sigma_x^*/\sigma_y^*$ [mm]	30/0.6
rms energy spread $\delta_p$ [%]	0.3

# Scaling of the Bending angles



- Reduction of the FFS bending angles.
- Compensate the dispersion reduction by scaling the sextupole strengths at each steps by a factor  $\left(\frac{\Delta\theta}{\theta}\right)^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{tot} = 10 \cdot 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$
 for  $\Delta \theta / \theta = -65\%$ 

#### Beam size optimization



Optimization of the beam size at the IP by varying:

- 1. only the magnet strengths (blue).
- 2. the magnet strengths and the octupoles and decapoles positions (red).

ENRICO MANOSPERTI

 $eta_y^*$  Scan

- Scan of  $\beta_y^*$  in step of 0.1 mm
- No sextupoles optimization





Best  $\beta_y^* = 0.14 \text{ mm}$ 

10/07/2024

# Length scaling – best QF1-QD0 distance

30.5

30.4

30.3 30.2

30.1

30.0

- Increase the length of the FFS to reduce the magnetic field into the dipoles that leads to a decrease in an energy loss by synchrotron radiation.
- FFS length increased in steps of 10%.
- Optimization of the distance between QD0 and QF1 to minimize the horizontal chromaticity.



## Length scaling – dispersion optimization



- 1. Optimization of the beam size with Mapclass (1st iteration)
- 2. Dispersion reduction to reduce the Synchrotron Radiation effects
- 3. Optimization of the beam size with Mapclass (2nd iteration)

Best:  $\Delta L_{FFS}/L_{FFS} = +20\%$ FFS length = 921 m

800

$$\mathcal{L}_{tot} = (12.23 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

900

Fit 1<sup>st</sup> iteration

Fit 2<sup>nd</sup> iteration

Data 1<sup>st</sup> iteration

Data 2<sup>nd</sup> iteration

FFS length [m]

1000

1100

11.6

## Length scaling – beam size

- At each FFS length, the beam size with Mapclass has been optimized.
- 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> map orders are dominant for the luminosity calculations
- Relaxing the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> orders to minimize the lower ones.



## Final doublet optimization



- Variation of QF1 and QD0 length to minimize the radiation emission due to the Oide effect.
- Length variation in steps of 5% starting from QF1
- Best scaling for QF1: +40%
- No scaling for QD0

$$\mathcal{L}_{tot} = (12.65 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

#### Conclusions

- A first design for the FFS at 7 TeV has been proposed.
- FFS optimized and scaled differently from all the other sections of the BDS.
- Strong radiation effects have been minimized.
- An optimization of all the elements into the line has been performed to maximize the luminosity.