# Wakefield study at ATF2 beamline

### **Outline**

- 1. Introduction & Motivation
- 2. Wakefield sources in the ATF beamline
- 3. Introduce the impact of each wakefield source on the IP beam size
- 4. Evaluation of the wakefield effects as a single wakefield source
- 5. Evaluation of the wakefield effects caused by the orbit fluctuation
- 6. Wakefield mitigation at ATF final focus beamline
- 7. Summary

## Yuki Abe (KEK)

# Introduction : Wakefield and its effect on the beam

 Wakefield : excited electromagnetic fields when the beam passes through the section of structural change

# • Not negligible : Wakefield effects on the nanometer small beam

- Wakefield kicks become stronger depending on bunch intensity and offset from the geometrical center of the wakefield source
- Induce the beam size growth and bunch position change



# **Evaluation of the wakefield effects on the nanometer small beam**

# • This study is important for the next generation accelerators

- Nanometer small beam : the key technology for the Linear Collider
- Wakefield effects on the nanometer small beam : Not negligible small

# • ATF is the best research environment for this study

- Generate the low emittance and nanometer size beam
- Measure the bunch position and beam size in nm order with high precision monitors

### **Research activity as my PhD study**

1. Evaluation of the wakefield effect as a single wakefield source Experimentally confirmed the constructed wakefield models

### 2. Evaluation of wakefield effects caused by the orbit fluctuation Estimated result showed the effect : Not negligible small So experimentally confirmed the effect

# Wakefield sources in the ATF final focus beamline

 $\mathbf{2}$ 



# Wakefield calculation by 3D electromagnetic field calculation (GdfidL)

• Created 3D models of the vacuum area

Reproduce internal geometry of wakefield sources (step and gap of components)





# Estimated the impact of each wakefield source on the IP beam size

### • Created 3D models of the vacuum area

Reproduce internal geometry of wakefield sources (step and gap of components)



# **Evaluation of the wakefield effects as a single wakefield source**

# Installed a movable wakefield source to generate targeted wakefield kick

- Evaluate the acted wakefield kick at the single wakefield source
  - Measure the beam orbit change downstream from the wakefield source after the wakefield source is moved



# The internal setup of the wakefield study chamber



To evaluate the effect of Cavity BPM (major wakefield source) Produced Cavity BPM(pill box) structure





Straight pipe for the reference



# Evaluation results of the wakefield effects as a single wakefield source



- Experimental, and Simulation result based on the constructed model
- Confirmed that the constructed wakefield model well reproduced the experimental results

# **Evaluation of the wakefield effects caused by the orbit fluctuation**

# Wakefield effects caused by static and dynamic orbit change

# • Static effect

Excited due to misalignment of wakefield source or beam orbit distortion



# • Dynamic effect

Excited due to the shot-by-shot orbit fluctuation by injection

The orbit fluctuation caused by the injection beam fluctuation



# Impacts of the pulse-by-pulse orbit fluctuation in ATF-FF beamline

- Phase advance to IP in final focus optics is half-integer
  - Almost wakefield sources located at FD phase (phase advance to IP: half-integer)



- Bunch angle at IP is fluctuated when orbit fluctuated at FD phase
  - To quantitatively evaluate the wakefield effects due to orbit fluctuations
  - Confirm relationship between bunch angle fluctuations and beam size at IP

### Estimated wakefield effects caused by shot-by-shot orbit fluctuation



- Beam size at IP increases depending on the bunch intensity
- Beam size growth becomes stronger due to the bunch angle fluctuation at IP
   →orbit fluctuation at FD phase becomes bigger

# **Experimental evaluation of wakefield effects caused by orbit fluctuation**



#### Strength of beam size growth and IP angular fluctuation

- Generated artificial orbit fluctuations by pairs of steering magnet Only change the FD phase orbit (bunch angle at IP) by artificial orbit fluctuations
- Experimentally demonstrated the effects caused by the orbit fluctuation is significant large
  - Experimental result (average) : 0.077±0.024 nm/10^9/bunch/urad
  - Simulation result : 0.100 nm/10^9/bunch/urad

# Wakefield mitigation at ATF final focus (FF) beamline

- Wakefield sources located in FF (Cavity BPM, vacuum flange etc) Strong impact on the nanometer small beam
- Optimizing chamber layout at the large beta section in ATF-FF
  - Replace ICF type vacuum (flange, bellows) components to clamp chain type
  - > Change base beam pipe inner diameter :  $\Phi 23.9 \rightarrow \Phi 20$
  - Insert RF shield to not replaceable vacuum components (CBPM)



# Summary

- This study is important for the next generation accelerators
- Evaluated the wakefield effects on the nanometer small beam using the best research environment as ATF
  - Evaluation of the wakefield effect as a single wakefield source
     Confirmed that the constructed wakefield model well reproduced the experimental results
  - 2. Evaluation of wakefield effects caused by the orbit fluctuation Demonstrated by experiment and simulation, the effect is significant large

### **Further works**

- Just in progress, beamline upgrade to reduce the excited wakefield
  - Ordered new vacuum component (bellows, beam pipe)
- Further investigation of the wakefield effects on the nanometer small beam

# Backup

# Inner structure model for wakefield calculation by GdfidL



Vacuum port (w/wo shield)





Vacuum flange (w/wo shield)

Φ23.9

Φ41.3

#### **Collimator (half gap 3mm)**



#### Septum magnet chamber



### Optical Transition Radiation Monitor (with plug)

