Physics Performance and Detector Requirements at an Asymmetric Higgs Factory

Antoine Laudrain (he/him)

& Ties Behnke, Mikael Berggren, Karsten Büsser, Frank Gaede, Christophe Grojean, Benno List, Jenny List, Jürgen Reuter, Christian Schwanenberger

IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023

HELMHOLTZ

antoine.laudrain@desy.de





Future lepton colliders landscape

<u>Circular</u>



- Expensive: O(>10B)
- Large environmental impact
- Power hungry
- High lumi at "low" energy
- Upgradable to hadron collider

Cost (€ and environmental) driven by length, not operation

DESY. | <u>Antoine Laudrain</u> (he/him) 📧 | IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory



- Expensive: very roughly O(5B)
- Slightly lower environmental impact
- A bit less power hungry
- Higher lumi at higher energies
- Extendable to higher energy



"Simply" decrease the size of the tunnel...

But shorter tunnel = lower beam energy => physicists fpl

DESY. Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 | IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory





"Simply" decrease the size of the tunnel...

- But shorter tunnel = lower beam energy => physicists for
- Except if you can get higher gradients! ullet
 - RF: ~30 MV/m (ILC)



Plasma wake field acceleration (PWFA) cavities ~ expected O(1000 MV/m) — ie x30!



"Simply" decrease the size of the tunnel...

- But shorter tunnel = lower beam energy => physicists for
- Except if you can get higher gradients!
 - RF: ~30 MV/m (ILC)
- **PWFA** not yet available: **requires ~10 years of development**...
 - ... but is relevant given current timescales for future accelerators.
 - **Only for electron acceleration**.



Plasma wake field acceleration (PWFA) cavities ~ expected O(1000 MV/m) — ie x30!



"Simply" decrease the size of the tunnel...

- But shorter tunnel = lower beam energy => physicists for
- Except if you can get higher gradients!
 - RF: ~30 MV/m (ILC)
 - Plasma wake field acceleration (PWFA) cavities ~ expected O(1000 MV/m) ie x30!
- PWFA not yet available: requires ~10 years of development...
 - ... but is relevant given current timescales for future accelerators.
 - Only for electron acceleration.
- => Size of the facility could be reduced by a factor ~2 (on the electron side):
 - ILC(250 GeV): 10 km (e-, SRF) + 10 km (e+, SRF)
 - Hybrid: <1 km (e-, PWFA) + 10 km (e+, SRF)

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.





"Simply" decrease the size of the tunnel...

- But shorter tunnel = lower beam energy => physicists for
- Except if you can get higher gradients!
 - RF: ~30 MV/m (ILC)
 - Plasma wake field acceleration (PWFA) cavities ~ expected O(1000 MV/m) ie x30!
- PWFA not yet available: requires ~10 years of development...
 - ... but is relevant given current timescales for future accelerators.
 - Only for electron acceleration.
- => Size of the facility could be reduced by a factor ~2 (on the electron side):
 - ILC(250 GeV): 10 km (e-, SRF) + 10 km (e+, SRF)
 - Hybrid: <1 km (e-, PWFA) + 10 km (e+, SRF)
- Can we do better than 1 km + 10 km?

DESY. Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 I IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory





The HALHF concept

Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory

- : mix of plasma (e⁻) and SRF (e+) acceleration
- : (not circular)
- : (but could go up to ttbar threshold)



Length = ~3.3 km: similar to XFEL@DESY $Cost = ~2.1 B \in +/-25\% = ~ ILC/4 = ~ EIC$

DESY. Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 | IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory

arxiv:2303.10150

: 500 GeV e⁻ & 31.3 GeV e⁺ (also gives $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV)

Length dominated by e- BDS Cost still dominated by tunnel and RF linac







Disclaimer

- I am **not** an accelerator physicist, not a specialist of PWFA.
- <u>Assumptions for the rest of this talk:</u>
 - years.
 - We can build a collision-quality beam in ~5 more years.
 - PWFA for positron is still not available.
- These might be strong assumptions, but we need a starting point to think about a detector!
 - => In the following I focus on the physics and detector side, not accelerator side.

Electron-beam driven PWFA is proven working for electron acceleration in ~10-15



Now the questions arise

- Asymmetric beam energies => boosted topologies ($\gamma \sim 2.1$)
 - Can we still do physics in such conditions?
 - Not scary for anyone who worked at HERA ($\gamma = 3$)...
 - … Yet, it's not quite the same physics
- **Study cases**:
 - Higgs mass measurement.
 - Forward/backward asymmetry measurement.
- Other question: how does it impact the energy efficiency?
- Not studied here:

 - Boost most likely improves jet flavour-tagging. Luminosity measurement is probably not as straightforward.

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 📔 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.



Impact on physics: Higgs

- Process: $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z(\mu^+\mu^-)H$
- Measure Higgs mass via recoil mass.
- Detector: ILD with fast simulation (SGV), including correct tracking.

Resolution loss due muons being boosted forward:

- less lever arm => lower muon momentum resolution.
- $\sigma_{\text{ILD}_{@}\text{HALHF}} = 2.2 \times \sigma_{\text{ILD}_{@}\text{ILC}}$







Impact on physics: Higgs

- Process: $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z(\mu^+\mu^-)H$
- Measure Higgs mass via recoil mass.
- Detector: ILD with fast simulation (SGV), including correct tracking.
- **Resolution loss due muons being boosted forward**:
 - less lever arm => lower muon momentum resolution.
 - $\sigma_{\text{ILD}_{@}\text{HALHF}} = 2.2 \times \sigma_{\text{ILD}_{@}\text{ILC}}$
- Mitigation: extend the barrel in the forward region!
 - $\sigma_{e-ILD_{@}HALHF} = 1.2 \times \sigma_{ILD_{@}ILC}$
 - => loss of only 20% on recoil mass.

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.







Impact on physics: F/B asymmetry

- Process: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
 - [black] ILD@ILC
 - [red] extended ILD @ HALHF

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.





Impact on physics: F/B asymmetry

- Process: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
 - [black] ILD@ILC
 - [red] extended ILD @ HALHF

- Move to the CM frame to ease the comparison:
 - Core of distribution is the same (as expected)
 - => in particular: same width
 - Tail extends on one side and is cut on the other.
- Lose on one side, but gain on the other.
- => Need more studies, especially for systematic **uncertainties** (since setup itself is asymmetric).









Power efficiency

The asymmetry strikes back

 Asymmetric energy => loss of "energy efficiency" compared to symmetric case (some energy goes in the boost)



- With:

• $E_{-} = 500 \text{ GeV and } E_{+} = 31 \text{ GeV},$ • $N_{-} : N_{+} = 2 : 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ particles / bunch}, \ \mathbf{F} = 2.13 \ (= boost \ factor)$



Power efficiency

The asymmetry strikes back

 Asymmetric energy => loss of "energy efficiency" compared to symmetric case (some energy goes in the boost)



- With:
 - $E_{-} = 500 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } E_{+} = 31 \text{ GeV},$
 - $N_{-}: N_{+} = 2: 2 \times 10^{10}$ particles / bunch,
- - decreasing the bunch charge of the high-energy beam (e-)
 - <u>and</u> increasing the bunch charge of the low-energy beam (e+).

$$P/P_{sym} = 2.13$$
 (= boost factor)

• But what matters is luminosity $\mathscr{L} \propto N_{-} \times N_{+} =$ same \mathscr{L} while being more energy-efficient by:



Power efficiency

The asymmetry strikes back

 Asymmetric energy => loss of "energy efficiency" compared to symmetric case (some energy goes in the boost)



- With:

 - $E_{-} = 500 \text{ GeV and } E_{+} = 31 \text{ GeV},$ $N_{-} : N_{+} = 2 : 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ particles / bunch,}$
- - decreasing the bunch charge of the high-energy beam (e-)
 - <u>and</u> increasing the bunch charge of the low-energy beam (e+).
 - Ideally by the opposite factor as energy asymmetry. ullet
 - Limited by beam-induced background (see next slides):

•
$$N_{-}: N_{+} = 1.33: 3 \times 10^{10}$$
 particles / bunch => $P/P_{sym} = 1.5$

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.

$$P/P_{sym} = 2.13$$
 (= boost factor)

• But what matters is luminosity $\mathscr{L} \propto N_{-} \times N_{+} =$ same \mathscr{L} while being more energy-efficient by:



Creation of many e+e- pairs...

e-beam high E, lower N

DESY. Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 | IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory



e+ beam lower E, high N

Creation of many e+e- pairs...

e-beam high E, lower N



Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.







DESY.

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory





DESY.

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory

Drawing the detector like you've never seen it!

- Usual representation of this effect:
 - Let a e-/e+ with given (pT, θ). This fully defines its trajectory (helix), for a given B field.
 - If/Where does this helix hit the detector? => "Hit map" in the (pT, θ) space.

Drawing the detector like you've never seen it!

- Usual representation of this effect:
 - Let a e-/e+ with given (pT, θ). This fully defines its trajectory (helix), for a given B field.
 - If/Where does this helix hit the detector? => "Hit map" in the (pT, θ) space.
- What does the detector look like in the (pT, θ) space?





- Baseline: symmetric-charge beams
 - Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV
 - Bunch charge = **2** : **2** x 10¹⁰
 - Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75:75 \mu m$
- Pairs in the e- beam direction hit the detector.
 - > O(100 TeV) energy deposited!
 - Fries the detector!





- Baseline: symmetric-charge beams
 - Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV
 - Bunch charge = $2:2 \times 10^{10}$
 - Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75:75 \mu m$
- Pairs in the e- beam direction hit the detector.
 - > O(100 TeV) energy deposited!
 - Fries the detector!



Improved: asymmetric charge and length • Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV • Bunch charge = **1.33 : 3** x 10¹⁰ [GeV] • Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75 : 300 \, \mu m$ $\frac{10^{-2}}{5}$ (cannot produce long bunches with PWFA)

- Baseline: symmetric-charge beams
 - Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV
 - Bunch charge = $2:2 \times 10^{10}$
 - Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75:75 \mu m$
- Pairs in the e- beam direction hit the detector.
 - > O(100 TeV) energy deposited!
 - Fries the detector!



Improved: asymmetric charge and length • Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV • Bunch charge = **1.33 : 3** x 10¹⁰ GeV] • Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75 : 300 \, \mu m$ (cannot produce long bunches with PWFA) $\frac{10^{-2}}{5}$ And/or increase the magnetic field from 3.5 T \rightarrow 5 T!



- Baseline: symmetric-charge beams
 - Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV
 - Bunch charge = **2** : **2** x 10¹⁰
 - Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75:75 \mu m$
- Pairs in the e- beam direction hit the detector.
 - > O(100 TeV) energy deposited!
 - Fries the detector!

 10^{-1} GeV] $E_1 = 500.0 \text{ GeV}$ $\sigma(E)_1 = 1.90\%$ $N_1 = 2.0e + 10$ $\sigma_{z,1} = 75 \text{ um}$ $\beta_{x,1} = 52.0 \text{ mm}$ $\beta_{y,1} = 1.6 \text{ mm}$ $\epsilon_{x,1} = 5.0e-9 \text{ rad}$ 10^{-3} $\epsilon_{y,1} = 0.035 e-9 rad$

- Improved: asymmetric charge and length • Beam energy = 500 : 31.3 GeV 10^{-1} • Bunch charge = **1.33 : 3** x 10¹⁰ GeV] • Bunch size $\sigma_z = 75 : 300 \, \mu m$ (cannot produce long bunches with PWFA) $\frac{10^{-2}}{5}$ $E_1 = 500.0 \text{ GeV}$ And/or increase the magnetic field $\sigma(E)_1 = 1.90\%$ $N_1 = 1.3e + 10$ $\sigma_{z,1} = 75 \text{ um}$ from 3.5 T \rightarrow 5 T! $\beta_{x,1} = 52.0 \text{ mm}$ $\beta_{y,1} = 1.6 \text{ mm}$
- Make the detector asymmetric!
- More fine tuning possible!

DESY. | <u>Antoine Laudrain</u> (he/him) 📧 | IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory

10⁻³





Beam-strahlung: impact on luminosity

- Luminosity computed by Guinea-Pig:
 - Total luminosity
- - Using bunch charge N = 1.33:3 x 10^{10} with $\sigma_z = 75:300 \ \mu m$: reduces beam backgrounds to acceptable levels... ... while only reducing peak lumi by 35% compared to ILC design.

Lumi [µb / bunch]	ILD TDR	HALHF N = 2 : 2 x 10^{10} $\sigma_z = 75 : 75 \ \mu m$	HALHF N = 1.33 : 3 x 10^{10} σ_z = 75 : 300 μ m
Total lumi	1.12	1.35	0.80
Lumi within 1% of nominal CM energy	0.92	0.80	0.56
Beam backgrounds?		large	mitigated

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.

Luminosity considering only events within 1% of the nominal CM energy ("peak lumi").

Conclusions: HALHF the size, twice the fun! Slightly less powerful than ILC, but much more affordable!

- Brand new project (< 1 year old), small team.

 - Iterate with accelerator colleagues to **find the best beam parameters**:
 - interplay between lumi / background / power efficiency.

Started to look into impact on physics from asymmetric beams (energy, charge, parameters...)

Competes with other linear colliders, with significantly lower cost and environmental footprint.







Conclusions: HALHF the size, twice the fun! Slightly less powerful than ILC, but much more affordable!

- Brand new project (< 1 year old), small team.

 - Iterate with accelerator colleagues to **find the best beam parameters**:
 - interplay between lumi / background / power efficiency.
 - Competes with other linear colliders, with significantly lower cost and environmental footprint.

No show-stoppers so far, but many challenges remain:

- Plasma acceleration: beam charge, repetition rate, power dissipation, polarisation...
- Staging PWFA cell concept needs <u>~10 years development</u>.
- Detector design in the forward region.
- => next step: modify full-sim ILD into an asymmetric detector and play with B field. => Then re-run physics benchmarks (Higgs recoil, F/B asymmetry)
- Luminosity measurement (Bhabha counting) to be studied. • Upgradability to higher CM energy is unclear (until PWFA for e+ becomes available?).

Many possibilities for new studies (physics cases, detector design...)!

Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.

• Started to look into impact on physics from asymmetric beams (energy, charge, parameters...)







Thanks for your attention!

Questions?













Antoine Laudrain (he/him) 📧 🕴 IDT WG3 Phys open meeting — 16.11.2023 — Hybrid Asymmetric Linear Higgs Factory DESY.



N = 2.2





N = 2.2





Impact of beam parameters on luminosity

The price of solving beam backgrounds...

- All points: $E_{-} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $E_{+} = 31.3 \text{ GeV}$.
- Luminosity computed by Guinea-Pig:
 - Total luminosity
 - Luminosity within 1% of the nominal CM energy ("peak lumi").



