Focus topic meeting "ttbar threshold"

Marcel Vos, IFIC, CSIC/UV, Valencia, Spain

February 2024

Expert team: Z. Bahariyoon, M. Beneke (TUM, theory), F. Cornet (Case Western, theory), M. Defranchis (CERN), G. Durieux (Louvain, theory), A. Hoang (U. Vienna, theory), A. Jafari (DESY), J. Kieseler (KIT), V. Miralles (Manchester, theory), M. Moreno (IFIC), L. Pintucci (Trieste), Jürgen Reuter (DESY, theory), R. Schwienhorst (Michigan State), F. Simon (KIT), F. Zarnecki (Warsaw)

R. Franceschini, A. Irles J. de Blas (related focus topics), P. Azzi (liaison FCCee)



1

Practical

The ECFA focus topics document is out: https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.07564

Focus topics for the ECFA study on Higgs / Top / EW factories

Juan Alcaraz Maestre¹, Juliette Alimena², John Alison³, Patrizia Azzi⁴, Paolo Azzurri⁵, Emanuele Bagnaschi^{6,7}, Timothy Barklow⁸, Matthew J. Basso⁹, Josh Bendavid¹⁰, Martin Beneke¹¹, Eli Ben-Haim¹², Mikael Berggren², Jorge de Blas¹³, Marzia Bordone⁶, Ivanka Bozovic¹⁴, Valentina Cairo⁶, Nuno Filipe Castro¹⁵, Marina Cobal¹⁶, Paula Collins⁶, Mogens Dam¹⁷, Valerio Dao⁶, Matteo Defranchis⁶, Ansgar Denner¹⁸, Stefan Dittmaier¹⁹, Gauthier Durieux²⁰, Ulrich Einhaus², Mary-Cruz Fouz¹, Roberto Franceschini²¹, Ayres Freitas²², Frank Gaede², Gerardo Ganis⁶, Pablo Goldenzweig²³, Ricardo Gonçalo^{24,25}, Rebeca Gonzalez Suarez²⁶, Loukas Gouskos²⁷. Alexander Grohsjean²⁸, Jan Hajer²⁹, Chris Hays³⁰, Sven Heinemeyer³¹, André Hoang³², Adrián Irles³³, Abideh Jafari², Karl Jakobs¹⁹, Daniel Jeans³⁴, Jernei F. Kamenik³⁵, Matthew Kenzie³⁶, Wolfgang Kilian³⁷, Markus Klute²³, Patrick Koppenburg³⁸, Sandra Kortner³⁹, Karsten Köneke¹⁹, Marcin Kucharczyk⁴⁰, Christos Leonidopoulos⁴¹, Cheng Li⁴², Zoltan Ligeti⁴³, Jenny List², Fabio Maltoni²⁰, Elisa Manoni⁴⁴, Giovanni Marchiori⁴⁵, David Marzocca⁴⁶, Andreas B. Meyer², Ken Mimasu⁴⁸, Tristan Miralles⁴⁷, Victor Miralles⁴⁹, Abdollah Mohammadi⁵⁰, Stéphane Monteil⁵¹ Gudrid Moortgat-Pick28, Zohreh Najafabadi52, María Teresa Núñez Pardo de Vera2, Fabrizio Palla5, Michael E. Peskin⁸, Fulvio Piccinini⁵³, Laura Pintucci⁵⁴, Wiesław Płaczek⁵⁵, Simon Plätzer^{56,32}, Roman Pöschl⁵⁷, Tania Robens⁵⁸, Aidan Robson⁵⁹, Philipp Roloff⁶, Nikolaos Rompotis⁶⁰, Andrej Saibel³³, André Sailer⁶, Roberto Salerno⁶¹, Matthias Schott⁶², Reinhard Schwienhorst⁶³, Felix Sefkow², Michele Selvaggi⁶, Frank Siegert⁶⁴, Frank Simon²³, Andrzej Siodmok⁵⁵, Torbjörn Sjöstrand⁶⁵, Kirill Skovpen⁶⁶, Maciej Skrzypek⁴⁰, Yotam Soreq⁶⁷, Raimund Ströhmer¹⁸, Taikan Suehara⁶⁸, Junping Tian⁶⁸, Emma Torro Pastor³³, Maria Ubiali³⁶, Luiz Vale Silva³³, Caterina Vernieri⁸, Alessandro Vicini⁶⁹ Marcel Vos³³, Aidan R. Wiederhold⁷⁰, Sarah Louise Williams³⁶, Graham Wilson⁷¹, Aleksander Filip Zarnecki⁷², Dirk Zerwas^{73,57}

There is a mailing list for this group:

https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/ecfa-study/ECFA-HiggsTopEW-Factories/-/wikis/FocusTopics/TTthresh

Please, tell people to sign up if they want to join or follow this group

The $\ensuremath{t\bar{t}}$ threshold scan



Scope of the study

Exp:

- Full-simulation study to revisit and harmonize experimental systematic uncertainties

Theo:

- Fully differential predictions at adequate precision (QQthreshold, F. Simon to perform fits)
- Specify procedure for comparison of data and theory (clarified last week)

Study width prospects in more detail (i.e. comparison LHC, interpretation in NP scenarios) Embed top mass prospect in global EW fit environment (J. De Blas) Find a way to make top Yukawa and strong coupling results more competitive

Theoretical and phenomenological targets

- Complete and harmonised assessment of systematic uncertainties on SM parameters extracted from the threshold scan.
- Degeneracies in a EFT analysis including only "one" energy point. How to disentangle effects combining with other (non-top-quark) measurements. Indirect constraints on top Yukawa.

MC samples needed

Basic samples available as listed in the Motivation Section, dedicated samples for threshold scan are needed.

Existing tools / examples

- ILD $t\bar{t}$ analysis https://github.com/ILDAnaSoft/ILDbench_QQbar

Contact & Further Information

- Gitlab wiki: https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/ecfa-study/ECFA-HiggsTopEW-Factories/-/wikis/ FocusTopics/TTthresh
- Sign up for egroup: ECFA-WHF-FT-TTthres@cern.ch via http://simba3.web.cern.ch/simba3/ SelfSubscription.aspx?groupName=ecfa-whf-ft-ttthres
- and/or email the conveners of ECFA WG1 GLOBal group: mailto:ecfa-whf-wg1-glob-conveners@cern.ch

Focus Topic/MC Feb. 28

Towards a standard sample

WHIZARD sample in preparation by M.V. (with help from J. Reuter, J. Tian)

 $e+e- \rightarrow 6$ fermions (bb, 2 charged leptons, 2 neutrinos)

- Mostly e+e- \rightarrow tt \rightarrow WbWb, with all W decays
- Using SM_CKM (LO, no threshold enhancement, pole mass scheme)

With luminosity spectrum and ISR

- ILC 350, also FCCee is possible
- Generate (L,R),(R,L) + some (0,0)

sence		
11 -	11 1.7250000000E+02 1.7250000000E+02 -1 -1 -1 3 1	
7.4	445392535E-04 1.3433748188E-05 1.0000000000E+00 1	
saene	rator version="3.1.4">WHIZARD	
exsec	info neve="10000" totxsec="7.4445392535E-04" />	
e/ini		
reven	ts	
147		
11 -	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.000000000000000000000	
-11	-9 0 0 0 0 0 000000000F+00 0.00000000F+00 -1.7250000000F+02 1.7250000000F+02 0.000000000F+00 0.000000000F+00 9.000000000F+00	
11 2	1 2 0 0 0.0000000000F+00 0.00000000F+00 1.7749999996F+02 1.7749999996F+02 0.000000000F+00 0.000000000F+00 9.000000000F+00	
-11	2 1 2 0 0 0.000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 -1.7249999999E+02 1.7249999999E+02 0.000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
11 -	1 3 0 0 0 2.0845224664E-18 -3.5864870827E-18 1.7249999996E+02 1.7249999996E+02 5.1100927662E-04 0.000000000E+00 9.00000000E+00	
-11	-1 4 0 0 0 4.4784651592E-11 -9.2536895478E-11 -1.7249999999E+02 1.7250000000E+02 5.1098791857E-04 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
22 1	3 0 0 0 -2.0844242199E-18 3.5862840720E-18 5.0849668854E-19 4.1790939994E-18 0.000000000E+00 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
22 1	4 0 0 0 -4.4784651592E-11 9.2536895478E-11 1.4514972623E-10 1.7786844877E-10 0.0000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
13 2	5 6 0 0 1.1235558615E+01 -1.3523220158E+01 1.4833737471E+01 2.3003369931E+01 -3.3717478809E-07 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
-13	2 5 6 0 0 -1.7754951862E+01 3.3867081191E+01 1.6676514299E+01 4.1717186304E+01 1.2615925365E-06 0.000000000E+00 9.00000000E+00	
14 2	5 6 0 0 3.3779281573E+01 -2.8776514815E+01 1.0964769923E+01 4.5709450313E+01 6.7434957617E-07 0.0000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
-14	2 5 6 0 0 3.6755202780E+01 9.9755192092E+01 -1.9502094063E+01 1.0808503575E+02 -1.3486991523E-06 0.000000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
5 2	5 6 501 0 -4.8694112043E+01 -9.4108577314E+01 -2.7841900146E+01 1.0963736715E+02 4.200000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 9.00000000E+00	
-5 2	5 6 0 501 -1.5320979063E+01 2.7860390045E+00 4.8689724837E+00 1.6847590505E+01 4.2000000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 9.000000000E+00	
14 1	11 0 0 0 3.3177407184E+01 -2.8263779006E+01 1.0769401227E+01 4.4895005891E+01 6.7434957617E-07 0.000000000E+00 9.00000000E+00	
-14	1 12 0 0 0 3.6100303854F+01 9.7977768403F+01 -1.9154608551F+01 1.0615919210F+02 0.000000000F+00 0.00000000F+00 9.000000000F+00	

Hadronization handled by Pythia (volunteers for Pythia variations?)

Example sample to get going shared in CERNBOX with Nadjieh/Zohreh and Matteo/Laura

Simulation requested in:

- ILD (full simulation, MC meeting 28/02),
- FCC (DELPHES?, meeting with Higgs/top conveners on Friday, March 1st), etc.

Threshold scan MC samples



Signal vs. background

We need a working definition of signal and background between experiment and theory

Single top signal



Backgrounds:

- 6f without b-jets (small if b-tagging is good)
- 2f & 4f backgrounds (rejected by requiring 2x #leptons + #jets = 6)
- Higgs contamination, e+e- \rightarrow ZH, Z \rightarrow W+W-, H \rightarrow bb
 - is part of e+e- \rightarrow WbWb, but not accounted for in calculations

Martin Beneke (last meeting):

- single top is included in the calculation and should be considered signal
- Higgs diagrams are not included and are a (small) reducible contamination that must be dealt with by experimentalists



Focus Topic/MC Feb. 28

7

Experimental systematic uncertainties

Is the acceptance constant vs. sqrt(s) over the range of the threshold scan?

 Realistic selection requires one/two b-tags and isolated leptons, with "near-complete polar angle coverage" (https://arxiv.org/pdf/1307.8102.pdf + CLIC 380 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1807.02441.pdf)

Is the b-tagging efficiency constant? Or can we calibrate it in-situ?

 Double-tag method, ATLAS (https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/TOPQ-2023-21/), LEP (https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0509008), or ILD (https://arxiv.org/pdf/2306.11413.pdf)

No reconstruction?

- Required by measurement of A_{FB}, but not needed (or desirable) for cross section





Summary

Threshold scan signal MC samples are in development:

- WHIZARD six-fermion (with single top, Higgs, etc.) pure leading order in pole mass scheme \rightarrow example samples available

- Samples with more advanced model, including threshold enhancement, could be produced in the future

Volunteers to analyze these will present their plans today

Plenty of related activities are still looking for personpower

Practical:

Will use official mailing list from now on

Regular meetings on Wednesday afternoon (17:00 CERN time)