



Marcel Stanitzki

Detector Concept Status and Planning Workshop

31/05/2024





# SiD Concept Introduction





# SiD – Rationale

## How it all started

- SID Rationale
  - A compact, cost-constrained detector designed to make precision measurements and be sensitive to a wide range of new phenomena
- Design choices
  - Compact design with 5 T field
  - Robust silicon vertexing and tracking system with excellent momentum resolution
  - Highly granular Calorimetry optimized for Particle Flow
  - Time-stamping with single bunch crossing resolution
  - Iron flux return/muon identifier is part of the SiD selfshielding
  - Detector is designed for rapid push-pull operation



# **SiD – Compact Silicon Detector**

# The "Post-DBD" Configuration



SID BARREL	Technology	Inner radius	Outer radius	z max
Vertex detector	Silicon pixels	1.4	6.0	± 6.25
Tracker	Silicon strips	21.7	122.1	$\pm$ 152.2
ECAL	Silicon pixels-W	126.5	140.9	$\pm$ 176.5
HCAL	Scintillator-Steel	141.7	249.3	$\pm$ 301.8
Solenoid	5 Tesla	259.1	339.2	$\pm$ 298.3
Flux return	Scintillator/steel	340.2	604.2	$\pm$ 303.3
SID ENDCAP	Technology	Inner z	Outer z	Outer radius
Vertex detector	Silicon pixels	7.3	83.4	16.6
Tracker	Silicon strips	77.0	164.3	125.5
ECAL	Silicon pixel-W	165.7	180.0	125.0
HCAL	Scintillator-Steel	180.5	302.8	140.2
Flux return	Scintillator/steel	303.3	567.3	604.2
LumiCal	Silicon-W	155.7	170.0	20.0
BeamCal	Semiconductor-W	277.5	300.7	13.5

# SiD – A bit of history



# SiD – A Highlight slide

# **R&D and Analysis**

- 1) the concept introduction
- 2) the status including the ongoing activities, and where the major R&D activities are being pursued (ILC-Japan, DRD, RDC, etc)
- 3) what is the plan to "modernize" the concept by utilizing new technologies (timing, MAPS, etc)
- 4) the optimization/upgrade scheme needed for the full energy range of (91 GeV - 1 TeV)
- 5) how to (re)engage the community, particularly the early-

#### Career DESY. | SiD Status and Planning May 2024 | Marcel Stanitzki

# Updateing S "SiD 2025"

# SiD – Baseline choices

## **Baseline Technologies**

- The DBD was finalized 2012/13
  - Clearly technology has made huge progress since then
  - HL-LHC as technology driver
- But overall assessment
  - Basic concept of a compact all-silicon detector is sound
- Decisions already taken
  - Move from DHCAL (RPC-based) to SiPM-AHCAL
- A lot of obvious points to take advantage of new technology
- State of conceptual design studies
  - To take it further many studies will now require effort & engineering



# SiD – Overall Detector design choices

# Which we should re-visit

# Tracker Radius & aspect ratio

- Extensive work pre-DBD SiD is in a "sweet valley"
- Idea to make tracker a bit longer, but vetoed by mechanics support team at the time.

# **Overall Calorimeter Configuration**

- ECAL 20+10 layers
- HCAL 40 layers
- Is this still the optimal configuration? **Opportunities for newcomers** 
  - A lot of important studies that could be done
  - Ideal to bring in new ideas







# The SiD MAPS program

## Using MAPS for Tracker & ECAL



ECAL: 1200 m<sup>2</sup> sensor area





Tracker: 67 m<sup>2</sup> sensor area

# The SiD MAPS program

**Necessary Studies** 

#### Status

 Currently MAPS is a candidate technology for the Vertex detector – it's the front-runner

## The way forward for SiD

- Develop large-scale MAPS for the Tracker and ECAL
- Eliminate bump-bonding and need for readout ASICs
  - Reduces material
  - Simplifies construction
- Reduced cost and increased availability of wafers
  - 6 inch ~ 40000 wafers /year
  - 12 inch ~ 12 million a year
- Explore new processes now
  - Time scale of HEP project vs. lifetime of CMOS processes



# The SiD MAPS program

#### **Ideas, Concepts**

## **R&D** Goals

- Follow closely to CERN-lead 65 nm MAPS program
- Start designing prototypes targeted for SiD
- R&D on Stitching is essential  $\rightarrow$  ALICE is spearheading this
- Inform Vertex Detector R&D

#### **Possible studies**

- What is the ideal pixel size for the Tracker/ECAL
  - $25 \times 100 \ \mu m$  or  $50 \times 50$ ?
- Pixel readout Analog(ADC) or Digital(binary)?
  - Revisiting DBD studies for digital ECAL
- Buffer sizes, occupancies  $\rightarrow$  how do they change ?

# The SiD MAPS A digital ECAL

New studies being performed



40 GeV  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 

# The SiD MAPS A digital ECAL

#### **Simulated performance**



# **HCAL & Muons**

# **Studies and Opportunities**

#### The HCAL

• Baseline is a AHCAL – following the CALICE design

#### The Muon System

- SiD Baseline long scintillator strips with WLS fiber and SiPM readout
- Consistent extension of the baseline HCAL scintillator technology
- Need to optimize number of layers, strip dimensions **Possible studies**
- HCAL
  - Inclusion of timing layers
  - Revisit impact of projective cracks and barrel-endcap transition
- Muons
  - Need to optimize number of layers, strip dimensions







# **Timing Detectors**

# The next "hot thing?

## Integrated time-stamping in the trackers

- e.g. Background rejection in the Vertex Detector
- Requires ns-level resolution
- This is doable already today

## **Dedicated Timing Layers**

- Full 4D Tracking in the ILC environment
  - Nothing like the LHC
- Time-of-Flight systems for PiD
  - 10 ps resolution as a goal to be competitive
- What kind of physics does this enable?
  - For a detector designed for 250-1000 GeV





# **Software and computing**

## **Getting ever more relevant**

## Times have been changing

- Single-thread performance is leveling out
- The future is Multi-threaded/Multi-core

## **Next-generation Software**

- Build on all the good experiences we have with the current software
  - Common EDM, Geometry description
- Will we still be using C++ in 2030 ?
  - Explore other languages like Julia

## Attract newcomers

• Exploring Julia and Jupyter notebooks as a way to lower the threshold to contribute





# **Other items**

# As we have only 15 minutes

## **Vertex Detector**

- Technologically, it remains the most challenging subdetector
- The obvious advantage is, it's the last detector going in

# DAQ

- With the "MAPSsification" the role of front-ends will change
- ASICS will most likely move to purely digital

# Coil

- Looking into alternative conductors like CICC
- Implications for field, Cost ... needs to be studied

# Forward systems

• Lots of opportunities and studies

# MDI & CFS

• Follow developments in WP2





# **Push Pull vs. Two Interaction Regions**

## **Reviving an old discussion**

## The Push-Pull Requirement

- During RDR (2007) Two Interaction Regions are too expsensive
- Given criticism by our circular colleagues, rethink this ?

## Two interaction regions Benefits

- Fixing the L\* quarrels
- Simplify detectors no moving around, no delicate alignment systems
- Less risk and cost saving on detectors (how much?)

## Whats the impact on integrated luminosity?

- Straw-man study
  - Push-Pull 40 days running 3 days break of switch-over
  - Two interaction regions, 7 days running, 7 days off
- Some gains, but not a game changer



#### Strawman Luminosity Profile

DESY. | SiD Status and Planning May 2024 | Marcel Stanitzki

# **Optimizing for different Energy ranges**

The Return to the Z?



# **Optimizing for different Energy ranges**

# From Z to Multi-TeV

#### Baseline

- SiD designed and optimized for an energy range from 250 GeV to 1 TeV
- Doesn't mean it can't work at the Z ...

## From Z to Top only

• Lower solenoid B field and reduce calorimeter depth

# Going beyond 1 TeV

• Make calorimeter deeper – as much as the coil permits

#### Remarks

- Mostly affected are the calorimeter depth and the solenoid strength
- These are "fixed" once SiD is completed
- Upgrading/optimizing especially the vertex detector is always possible

# **Optimizing for a Z run -What about PiD**

## "MultiGiga-Z" style

#### **Baseline design**

• With some adaption to the B field and the tracking, we're convinced, SiD will perform well on the Z

#### What about the flavor programme ?

- What is there actually left to do after LHCb and Belle II ?
- How many Z's would you need to become competitive ?

#### PiD discussions at last LCWS

- If you really need PiD, a ToF system will not be sufficient, PiD needed up to ~ 40 GeV
- It's either a RICH or don't do it
- This will affect physics performance in many other channels, sign is clear magnitude is not ...

#### Worth doing for

- For A<sub>LR</sub>,ss
- For  $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$
- ???

No clear case for dedicated PiD system in SiD

# **Safety and Sustainability**

#### Old and new perspectives

## **Detector Safety**

- The move to scintillator +SiPM in HCAL and Muons comes with several safety benefits
- No HV throughout the detector
- No dedicated gas system required eliminates a lot of services

## Sustainability

- The elimination of the RPC technology also means  $\rightarrow$  only Gas used by SiD is  $N_2$
- No potent greenhouse gases like SF<sub>6</sub>
- Still loads of steel, tungsten and silicon ....

# The Plans for Community Engagement

# **Engaging the community**

Some clear words are in order

#### ILC detector R&D Status

- SiD and ILD and also CLIC have been very successful to pick all the low-hanging fruits
- We know, what we want to build and have clear ideas how to do it
- There are always a few things to do, but they'll not decisive ...

## ILC – A phase change is required

- To do the next steps, detailed technical work is necessary
- Requires engineering, submissions, larger prototypes  $\rightarrow$  needs sizable and sustainable funding
- Most people don't like to design systems, only to put them on the shelf for much later

#### Attracting people

- A project on the real axis and funding will also attract people
- For young (non-tenured) people, working on the ILC must be possible without risking their careers

# **Summary**

## **SID Status**

SiD remains an excellent detector design for linear collider physics

## SiD update options

- Summarized in the SiD 2025 document
- https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.09965

## **Optimizing for different energy ranges**

• Can be done – general parameters known

## Next steps and engaging young people

- The ILC needs a phase-change towards the real axis
- Funding will enable ramping up targeted R&D again
- Job perspectives: for young non-tenured people working on ILC, this must not be a career dead end



# Thank you

