

# Update of WW-diff ECFA study

Leonhard Reichenbach (CERN, U. Bonn), Andre Silva (U. Coimbra, DESY), Andre Sailer (CERN), Jenny List (DESY)

> ILD Analysis/Software Meeting January 29, 2025

> > SPONSORED BY THE



# WWdiff?



- One of the ECFA Higgs/Top/EW focus topics
- "[...] [T]he main objective of this focus topic is to understand the full potential of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders with respect to gauge boson interactions, using the full differential information from W-pair and single-W events to extract CP-even and CP-odd couplings, based on detailed detector simulation with assessments of systematic uncertainties, at all centre-of-mass energies"

# WWdiff



- Look at all 4-fermion final states that look like a W-pair
- hadronic: qqqq, semi-leptonic: lvqq, leptonic: lvlv
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell = e, \mu, \tau$
- Special case: semi-leptonic evqq final state: 'single-W' (also contains W-pairs)
- This work: focus on evqq



# WW kinematics



- 8 degrees of freedom
- ► *W*<sup>−</sup> production angles:
  - $\triangleright \cos \theta_{W^{-}}$
  - $\phi_{W^-}$  (isotropic, irrelevant)
- $W^{\pm}$  decay angles:
  - ► In W<sup>±</sup> rest frames
  - $\triangleright \cos \theta_{f/\overline{f}}$
  - ►  $\phi_{f/\overline{f}}$

$$\blacktriangleright (M_{W^-} = M_{W^+} = M_{W,SM})$$

 Hadronic decay angles need to be folded or jet-charge distinction



Figure 3.9: Production and decay angles of W bosons.

# Our study



Motivation:

- Provide input for fits
- Study detector and software performance
- Figure out what works and what needs improvement
  - Detector layout?
  - Reconstruction algorithms?
  - Analysis framework?
- Investigate differences between detectors/colliders



CLD/ILD electron tracking efficiencies

# Analyis status (Paris)



- Event categorization
- Event selection <u></u>(waiting for stable release)
- Overlay removal<sup>\*\*\*</sup>
- Reconstruct production and decay angles  $\checkmark$
- Figure out result format/binning ?

# Analyis status (now)



- Event categorization
- Event selection <sup>\*</sup>/<sup>\*</sup>/<sup>\*</sup> (started)
- Overlay removal<sup>\*\*\*</sup>
- Reconstruct production and decay angles  $\checkmark$
- Figure out result format/binning ?

# Analyis status (BTS)



- Event selection: mini-DSTs produced by Andre Silva at DESY and first look at selection. Files still not on the grid but I will upload them soon.
- Definitions of reconstructed objects: so far electron FSR+brems identification, jet clustering, overlay removal cheated.
- Kinematic fit: the tooling is there but not investigated in detail yet...
- Result format: Optimal Observables implementation up and running.

#### **Optimal Observables: Idea**



We want to measure some small coupling (deviations)s  $g_i$ . Expand differential cross-section

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Phi} = S_0 + g_i S_{1,i} \left( + g_i g_j S_{2,i,j} + \ldots \right)$$

Build observables:

$$\mathscr{O}_i = \frac{S_{1,i}}{S_0}$$

Extract  $g_i$  by solving

$$c_{ij}g_i = E[\mathcal{O}_j] - E_0[\mathcal{O}_j]$$

with  $c_{ij} = \text{Cov}(\mathcal{O}_i, \mathcal{O}_j)$ 

# **Optimal Observables: Implementation**



- Original Fortran code provided by M. Diehl used in
  M. Diehl, O. Nachtmann Z.Phys.C 62 (1994) 397-412
- Interfaced to C++ and Python using ROOT, validated against published coefficient matrix using a custom Whizard sample.
- Provides the SM differential cross-section (S<sub>0</sub>) in the double pole approximation without ISR.
- ► Provides the  $S_{1,i}$  for the 14 complex form factors  $f_i^{\gamma/Z}$  of the  $\gamma WW/ZWW$  vertex parametrisation of Hagiwara et al. Nucl.Phys.B 282 (1987) 253-307

#### **Optimal Observables: Further validation**



• We convert the  $f_i$  OOs to ones based on the 'standard' LEP parametrisation i.e.  $\Delta g_1^Z, \Delta \kappa_{\gamma}, \lambda_Z$  and compare the correlations of the estimators  $(c_{ij}^{-1})$  with some previous results

	$\Delta g^Z_1/\Delta\kappa_{\!\gamma}$	$\Delta g_1^Z/\lambda_Z$	$\Delta\kappa_{\gamma}/\lambda_Z$
ALEPH 3D fit	-0.17	-0.62	-0.15
Hepfit FCCee OO full*	-0.17	-0.58	-0.10
Hepfit FCCee OO aTGC only	-0.27	-0.52	-0.04
My MC**	-0.26	-0.54	-0.04
ILD MC2020	0.23	-0.49	-0.14

- \*: extracted by me naively from  $7 \times 7$  covariance matrix
- \*\*: Whizard 3.1.4  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{v_e} u \bar{d}$ +ISR @250 GeV

#### **Optimal Observables: Results**





- Numbers on the axes have no meaning as is, need full analysis first
- Correlation and absolute error size seem unimpressed by the detector resolution

## **Outlook and summary**



- Many parts of the analysis are still under active development
- Need the full event selection before quantitative statements about the errors are possible
- It looks like our detector is good enough, but more realistic reconstruction might still cause some degradation
- Need to understand the sign switch in the correlations for the MC2020 data... (ongoing)

This work has been sponsored by the Wolfgang Gentner Programme of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant no. 13E18CHA).





#### **Event categorization**



- Work performed by Andre Silva from DESY
- Splits 4 fermion events into the mentioned categories
- Based on ILD mini-DST format information content



#### **Reconstruction definitions**



- Every event is treated like a W-pair event
- Reco electron is selected from truth and FSR+brems photons are added back to it
- Hadronic W is defined as the sum of all visible PFOs minus the electron and identified overlay
- Neutrino is defined as initial state minus the electron and minus the hadronic W
- Leptonic W is electron + neutrino
- N.B.: neither W needs to be an actual W

# **Used data**



- A small subset of ILD mc-2020 4f\_sw\_s1 DST files with beam background events (overlay) at 250 GeV
- Converted to edm4hep format and processed with 'bleeding-edge' Key4hep tools, to also use this for other detectors later
- Only looking at unpolarized data for easier comparison to LEP and FCC-ee for now, but output of polarized differential cross-sections can be added easily
- Current focus: detector resolution, beam background effects
- Two sets of results, one arbitrarily restricts  $M_{ev}$  to be compatible with  $M_W$  within 15GeV

#### Cut









► Overlay removed region contains more W-pair after cut →more t-channel →more forward









► Overlay removed region contains more W-pair after cut →more t-channel →more forward









Very sensitive to neutrino mis-reconstruction without the cut ('off-peak')





OPAL Eur. Phys. J. C 33, 463-476 (2004)

# Interlude: Overlay? Beam backgrounds!



- Coherent pairs, incoherent pairs, low-p<sub>T</sub> hadrons
- Simulated separately from the 'physics' events for performance reasons
- Are <u>overlaid</u> on top of the events
- Need to be removed by reconstruction cuts to determine quantities like missing Energy correctly!
- Can also be removed by 'cheating' using the isOverlay flag (done here)



Beam backgrounds (blue) in the CLIC detector at 380 GeV



















Note the degradation in the 0th bin



















![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Degradation in 0th bin mostly disappears

2

ф\* е+