

Capability of WARP-POSINST for ILC Electron Cloud Calculations

Christine Celata Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory

also: Marco Venturini, Miguel Furman, Jean-Luc Vay

for information contact Chris Celata cmcelata@lbl.gov



(1) **Posinst Code** (Furman, Pivi):

Main Strength: Build-up and decay of e⁻ cloud
Detailed model of secondary emission
Good agreement with measurements at APS and PSR however: many input parameters not well known
2D, and not self-consistent

Applied to PEP-II, LHC, RHIC, FNAL MI

(2) Plasma Physics Code, WARP (Vay, Friedman, Grote) :

self-consistent physics (beam ⇔ electrons) – PIC code
3D
accurate space charge calculation
good accelerator model



WARP has many well-tested features ...

- Geometry: **3D**, (x,y), or (r,z)
- Field solvers: FFT, capacity matrix, multigrid
- **Boundaries:** "cut-cell" --- no restriction to "Legos"
- Bends: "warped" coordinates; no "reference orbit"
- Lattice: general; takes MAD input
 - solenoids, dipoles, quads, sextupoles, ...
 - arbitrary fields, acceleration
- Diagnostics: Extensive snapshots and histories
- Parallel: MPI
- **Python and Fortran:** "steerable," input decks are programs
- Gas desorption & ionization



and <u>new features</u> advancing the state of the art ...

Adaptive mesh refinement

x 20,000 speedup for LHC



• New electron mover

solution to following electrons without resolving gyromotion x 25 speedup



Interpolating between drift kinetics and full PIC enables efficient following of particles in regions of weak and strong B

Problem: Electron gyro timescale << other timescales of interest

Solution: Interpolation between full-particle dynamics (Boris mover) and drift kinetics (motion along B plus drifts).

$$\mathbf{v}_{new} = \mathbf{v}_{old} + \Delta t \left(\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}\right)_{Lorentz} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}\right)_{\mu\nabla B}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{eff} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \alpha \mathbf{v}_{\perp} + (1-\alpha)\mathbf{v}_{d}$$

Particular choice: $\alpha = 1/[1 + (\omega_c \Delta t/2)^2]^{1/2}$ gives:

- physically correct "gyro" radius at large $\omega_c \Delta t$

- correct drift velocity and parallel dynamics

Speedup of factor of 25 without loss in accuracy!





(1) **POSINST** mode

electrons evolve (full space charge model), beam non-dynamic

(2) Slice mode2D transverse slice of beam followed

- (3) Quasistatic mode (like Headtail, Quickpik) assumes steady flow of "new" electrons electrons evolved using 2D forces of (static) beam then beam evolved using 3D forces due to electrons
- (4) 3D, self-consistent space charge



WARP-POSINST has been benchmarked by the High Current Experiment (HCX) at LBNL





Parameters

K⁺ Beam 0.2 - 0.5 Amp 1 - 1.7 MeV 4.5 μs pulse







A short quadrupole section is heavily instrumented for ecloud experiments



Diagnostics in two magnetic quadrupole bores, & what they measure.



ccccc

REPKELEY

8 "paired" Long flush collectors (FLL): measures capacitive signal + collected or emitted electrons from halo scraping in each quadrant.





3 capacitive probes (BPM); beam capacitive pickup $((n_b - n_e)/n_b)$. 2 Short flush collector (FLS); similar to FLL, electrons from wall. 2 Gridded e⁻ collector (GEC); expelled e⁻ after passage of beam 2 Gridded ion collector (GIC): ionized gas expelled from beam

The Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory





Biased rings work as both electron suppressors and diagnostics





One experiment: Ion beam hits plate at experiment end. Copious electrons flow upstream through the beam.





Simulation discovered oscillation (λ ~5 cm) growing from near center of 4th quad. magnet. Seen also in experiment.





WARP/POSINST is being used to study e-cloud in LHC FODO cell (Vay, Furman)

SPS sees surprisingly long-lasting e-cloud (~ second) "Slow" (10% in 10⁶ turns) emittance growth may be a problem

The problem:

Simulate "multibunch, multiturn" passage of beam through FODO cell (100 m):

dipoles quadrupoles drifts

Electrons ← synchrotron radiation, secondary emission

Study:

Electron accumulation and trapping in quads Power deposition from electrons Emittance growth

WARP-POSINST LHC Simulation (Vay, Furman)

• Three-dimensional fully self-consistent (t-



5 Bunches. Electrons color-codes for density. Beam bunches yellow.

US LHC Accelerator Research Program





Proposed Program -Ecloud in DR Wiggler

All are self-consistent, and 3D unless noted otherwise. Ecloud only in wiggler.

1. 2D vs. 3D - 1 bunch pass through wiggler \Rightarrow How good are 2D calculations?

then: add more bunches, offset some bunches

2. Head-tail instability - 1 bunch through wiggler ~1000 times. "New" electrons each time.

Benchmarks code vs. other codes & checks for new effects

- **3. Effect of gaps and resultant ecloud** bunch train with gaps
- 4. Electron cloud & beam in wiggler single bunch train with ecloud from #3. Follow for ~1000 turns.



Processor hours per run

- 1. 2D vs. 3D
 120

 2. Head-tail instability
 5600
- 3. Effect of gaps and resultant ecloud 16,000
- 4. Electron cloud & beam in wiggler 60,000 270,000

CPU time is estimate-- depends on problem.

We will need NERSC processor hours!



- We have a unique capability in POSINST + WARP: a 3-D code with accurate space charge & good electron models, benchmarked against experiment.
- The code suite incorporates new algorithms that, depending on the problem, can make it factors of up to ~ 40,000 x faster than other 3D codes.
- It can be used to benchmark codes using more approximate models, and to investigate problems that require exact models or 3D.
- We must start with simple problems to first obtain correct numerical parameters. We have a step-by-step program then to investigate DR ecloud physics.