Tracking resolution

Nick Sinev, University of Oregon

Software tools

- Fitter SLD type weight matrix based.
 - Gives covariance Matrix for fitted track
 - Can calculate covariance Matrix without hits simulate hits itself and use only detector geometry description (compact.xml file)
- Hits smearing
 - First combine hits (SimTrackerHit) from the same particle in the detector layer (there may be few of them because Geant generates many hits along track)
 - Smear resulting TrackerHit and assign measurement matrix to it according to given detectors resolutions

SiD00 detector



Momentum measurements- Pt resolution vs full momentum of the track



Track cov.mat. rel. omega error vs trk full mom, Tlam= 0.3 - Fast MC Track cov.matr. rel.omega err vs trk ful mom for 0.0...

10/26/2006

Nick Sinev, Tracking resolution

Momentum measurements- Pt resolution vs full momentum of the track



Comparison of Fast (red) and full MC for SiD detector for $Tan(\lambda) = 2.8$

10/26/2006

Nick Sinev, Tracking resolution

Momentum measurements-1 Gev

Fast MC Track cov.matr. rel.omega err vs tan lambda - Full MC May06 - Full MC sid00



Very low momentum tracks Pt resolution vs Tan(λ) in Fast MC (black), SiD00 (green) and SiDMay06 (magenta).

10/26/2006

Nick Sinev, Tracking resolution

Momentum measurements-100 Gev



100 GEV Fast MC Track cov.matr. rel.omega err vs tan lambda - Full MC - Full MC May06

High momentum tracks Pt resolution vs $Tan(\lambda)$ in Fast MC (red), SiD00 (black) and SiDMay06 (magenta). Why such large difference with Fast MC? Does Fast MC include sensors resolution?

10/26/2006

Impact parameter D0 resolution vs momentum



Fast MC Track cov.matr. D0 err vs trk ful mom for 0.01<Tl<0.4 - Track cov.mat. exp d0 error vs trk full mom, Tlam= 0.3

Fast MC – blue, full MC – red. $Tan(\lambda) = 0.3$ (exactly for full MC and average for for full MC and average Nick Sinev, Tracking resolution

Impact parameter D0 resolution vs momentum



Fast MC Track cov.matr. D0 err vs trk ful mom for 3.2<Tl<5 - Full sim. , Tlam= 3.5 - Full sim. May06 , Tlam= 3.5

Tan (λ) = 3.5 Fast MC (magenta), full MC SiD00 – blue, SiDMay06 - green

Impact parameter Z0 resolution





 Tan(λ)=0.3 Fast MC (red) full MC, SiD00 –blue. What is the reason for bad Fast MC resolution at high momentum?

10/26/2006

Impact parameter Z0 resolution



 Tan(λ)=3.5 Fast MC –green, full MC, SiD00 –yellow, full MC, SiDMay06- blue.

Impact parameter Z0 resolution

Fast MC Track cov.matr. z0 err vs tan lambda - Full MC May06 - Full MC sid00



 1 GeV full momentum. Fast MC –cyan, full MC, SiD00 –red, full MC, SiDMay06- blue.

Conclusions

- We have tool which allows to study effects of detector design on tracking resolutions with full detector simulation, but without running Geant. Geometry description in the form of xml file is enough.
- I hope Kalman fitter can do even better job. I still not completely satisfied with weight matrix fitter performance at low momentum (overestimates resolution by few %) and in ec region – underestimates (by 5-8%) resolution. I am eager to compare it with Kalman fitter.