



Damping Ring EDR Plans

Michael S. Zisman, Jie Gao, Susanna Guiducci, Andy Wolski DR Area System Leaders

February 7, 2007-Beijing (Zisman-DR)



Introduction

- ILC DR R&D planning is moving to a more topdown approach
 - work package choices being tailored to meet EDR requirements
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ a change from earlier proposal-driven approach
 - we wish to drive the proposals, not vice versa
 - coordination
 - S3 Task Force oversees global DR R&D Program
 - and will monitor its progress
- One difficulty is lack of clear understanding of what the EDR is supposed to represent
 - how much engineering vs. completing R&D tasks?
 - what R&D demonstrations are needed to proceed with EDR?



Demonstration of viable baseline configuration

- solution(s) to electron cloud instability
 - wigglers, arcs, straights
 - change to single PDR makes this a very high priority
- solution to fast ion instability
- observation of 2 pm vertical emittance
 - \cdot at ATF or elsewhere
- provision of finalized lattice
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ adequate dynamic aperture with realistic errors
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ suitable correction schemes to preserve low emittance
 - appropriate adjustability
 - coupling correction, tunes, momentum compaction
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ resistance to instabilities

Benchmarked simulation codes are "deliverables"

Engineering Priorities (1)

• Demonstration of acceptable technical solutions

- fast kicker system
 - rise and fall times; amplitude and timing jitter; reliability
- wiggler vacuum system design
- wiggler magnet design
- overall vacuum system design
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ vacuum performance; low impedance; low SEY
 - bellows, valves, bpm stability, bakeout issues
- supports and alignment
 - adequate stability and alignment adjustability
 - for both rings in one tunnel
- RF system
 - cryostat, cavity, HOM damping

Engineering Priorities (2)

- magnet power system
 - control of magnets individually; acceptable heat load to tunnel; reliability
- diagnostics with adequate performance to see instabilities
 - precision; stability; dynamic range



- There is complementarity among regions in a number of DR activities
 - Europe
 - electron cloud instability
 - fast kickers
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ wiggler modeling and dynamic aperture studies
 - low emittance tuning
 - Asia
 - \cdot fast ion instability
 - electron cloud instability
 - fast kickers
 - lattice design
 - impedance issues
 - vacuum system

U.S. working on all of these as well

Who's Planning What

• Global activity summary (still incomplete; India missing)

	ANL	CI	Cornell	DESY	FNAL	IHEP	KEK	LBNL	LNF	SLAC	KNU, PAL
kickers			•		•		•	•	•	•	
e-cloud			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	Х
impedance	•	•					Х	•		•	
lattice design	•				•	•		•		•	Х
$low-\varepsilon_{y}$	•	•					•	•		•	
ions				•			•			•	ХХ
vacuum		•				•	X	•			
acceptance	•		•			Х		•		•	
RF system			•								
RF controls								•		•	
align/support			•					•			
mech.integration								•			
instrumentation	•		•			Х	•	•			
feedback system								•	٠	•	
wiggler			•	•					•		
main magnets						•		•			

February 7, 2007-Beijing (Zisman-DR)

Who is Doing What - Europe

• Progress at LNF on kicker development based on FIDs



February 7, 2007-Beijing (Zisman-DR)

Who is Doing What - Asia

- Learned much from our hosts about IHEP capabilities
 - help with engineering aspects would be very valuable



IC Who is Doing What - Americas

• Efforts on ECI mitigation and wiggler vacuum chamber design under way



Wiggler chamber design concept (LBNL)

Grooved beam pipes for PEP-II tests (SLAC)

February 7, 2007-Beijing (Zisman-DR)

Damping Ring Workshop

Next DR workshop scheduled for Frascati in March 2007



February 7, 2007-Beijing (Zisman-DR)



- Finalized lattice by end of 2008
 - basis for much other work
- Characterization of ion effects and their control by end of 2008
- Demonstration of 2 pm emittance at ATF by end of 2008
- Demonstrated fast kicker solution by mid-2009
- Basic vacuum system design to permit detailed impedance evaluations by mid-2009

February 7, 2007-Beijing (Zisman-DR)



- Sufficient engineering to demonstrate or validate required technical approaches by mid-2009
 - fast kickers, vacuum system, RF system, magnet power supplies, supports and alignment, wigglers, diagnostics,...

EDR Work Package Issues

- There is uncertainty within DR group about the concept of "bidding" for WPs
 - issues
 - regions do not have long-term control over budgets
 - lack of budget control makes bidding uncertain, if not impractical for most institutions
 - no mechanism for handling "contingent events"
 - \cdot many individual—and overlapping—work packages
 - U.S. DR program already dealing with some 80 WPs (though not 80 different topics)
 - generally better to have a WP associated with a particular institution, not a consortium
 - management is easier
 - problems with "double overhead" are avoided



- Making progress at DR planning
 - coordinating via S3 to avoid redundancies and ensure that effort shared among regions
- Need to get EDR milestones defined and in place
 - issues: how much engineering needed for EDR; what technologies must be demonstrated
- Getting engineering help from Asia (KEK; IHEP) looks like possibility worth pursuing
- Proposed "bid process" remains a concern for DR groups