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-Wakefields deteriorate the beam quality.

- A final collimator design should minimise this effect.
- Studies on wakefields generated by different collimator geometries.
- Comparison to analytic predictions and simulations in order to improve both methods.


## Beam Parameters at SLAC ESA and ILC

| Parameter | SLAC ESA | ILC-500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Repetition Rate | 10 Hz | 5 Hz |
| Energy | 28.5 GeV | 250 GeV |
| Bunch Charge | $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ |
| Bunch Length | $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Energy Spread | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Bunches per train | $1\left(2^{*}\right)$ | 2820 |
| Microbunch spacing | $-\left(20-400 \mathrm{~ns}^{*}\right)$ | 337 ns |

*possible, using undamped beam



- Wakefield measurement:
- Move collimators around beam (in steps of 0.2 mm , from -1.2 mm to +1.2 mm , being 0 mm the centre of the collimator).
- Measure deflection from wakefields vs. beam-collimator separation

- Wakefield measurement:
- Move collimators around beam (in steps of 0.2 mm , from -1.2 mm to +1.2 mm , being 0 mm the centre of the collimator).
- Measure deflection from wakefields vs. beam-collimator separation
$E_{\text {beam }}=28.5 \mathrm{GeV}$


Magnet mover, y range $= \pm 1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, precision $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


| Slot | Side view | Beam view |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \alpha=335 \mathrm{mrad} \\ \mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=335 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \mathrm{r}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=335 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \mathrm{r}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=\pi / 2 \mathrm{rad} \\ & \mathrm{r}=3.8 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |

Collimator 1 is similar to collimator described in SLAC-PUB-12086
Collimator 2 is like 1 but with a narrower gap
Collimator 3 has the same taper angle and gap as 2 . We hope to measure the difference due to resistive wakefield.

| Slot | Side view | Beam view |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=\pi / 2 \mathrm{rad} \\ & \mathrm{r}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=168 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \mathrm{r}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{1}=\pi / 2 \mathrm{rad} \\ & \alpha_{2}=168 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{1}=3.8 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{1}=298 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \alpha_{2}=168 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & r_{1}=3.8 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & r_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |

Collimator 2, 3 and 4 have same taper angle, but 3 and 4 just in the top. The aim is to measure the difference between each geometry, if there is any. A small taper angle is better to reduce wakefields but it also need longer (more space) collimators. Can be model it?


1000 mm OFE $\mathrm{Cu}, \frac{1}{2}$ gap 1.4 mm


A run with the beam going through the middle of the collimator (or without the collimator) is used as reference for the next run where the collimator will be moved vertically. This run also serves to calculate the resolution of each BPM.


The analysis will do a linear fit to the upstream and downstream BPM data separately, per each pulse (bunch). For this fit the data is weighted using the resolution measured for each BPM.



The slopes of each linear fit are subtracted obtaining a deflection angle. This angle is transformed into $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{pC}$ units using the charge reading and the energy of the beam.

All the reconstructed kicks are averaged per each of the different collimator positions and a cubic fit of the form:

$$
y^{\prime}=A_{3} \cdot y^{3}+A_{1} \cdot y+A_{0}
$$

is done to the result. The error in the kick reconstruction at each collimator position weights the different points for the fit.

The kick factor is defined as the linear term of the cubic fit $\left(A_{1}\right)$.


## Preliminary results:


${ }^{1}$ Assumes 500-micron bunch length
${ }^{2}$ Assumes 500-micron bunch length, includes analytic resistive wake; modelling in progress
${ }^{3}$ Kick Factor measured for similar collimator described in SLAC-PUB-12086 was (1.3 $\pm 0.1$ ) V/pc/mm ${ }^{4}$ Still discussing use of linear and linear+cubic fits to extract kick factors and error bars
$\rightarrow$ Goal is to measure kick factors to $10 \%$

## Outlook:

7 New Collimators for Run 3 (currently scheduled 6 March) are being fabricated now
(expected delivery time: 19 January)
(keep 1 from Run 2 for cross check)
$>$ Compare importance of tapers in region away from gap centre -
$>$ acceptable to have shallow tapers necessary for transverse wakefield performance only in the immediate vicinity of beam axis??
(Can we make much shorter collimators?)
$>$ Flat section introduced equivalent in length to 0.6 r.l. of Ti6AI4V
$>$ Explicit tests of surface roughness

- Allow one slot for non-linear taper, exponential form

Additional Collimators to test for Run 4 possible in FY07 + plan to continue tests in FY08


| Collim.\# | Side view ("SLAC sandwich") | Beam view | Revised 08-Nov-2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | OFE Cu <br> 21 mm <br> $-1$ <br> 52 mm |  | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{1}=\pi / 2 \mathrm{rad} \\ & \alpha_{2}=168 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{1}=4.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Ti6AI4V $\begin{aligned} & 21 \mathrm{~mm}=0.6 \chi_{0} \\ & \text { Ti6Al4V } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{1}=\pi / 2 \mathrm{rad} \\ & \alpha_{2}=168 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & r_{1}=4.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & r_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 |  | $\square$ $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{1}=\pi / 2 \mathrm{rad} \\ & \alpha_{2}=50 \mathrm{mrad} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{1}=4.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 |  | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha=\text { exp., } \sin \\ & r=1.4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ |

