





WP 5: Diagnostics

Coordinator: Grahame Blair

EUROTeV Annual meeting, Cockcroft Institute / Daresbury, 9th January 2007

- CFBPM Confocal Resonator BPM.
- LBPM Laser-based Beam Profile Monitor
- PBPM Precision Beam Position Monitor
- ESPEC Precision Energy spectrometry
- HEPOL Precision High Energy Polarimetry.
- TPMON Time and Phase Monitor
- WBCM Wide Band Current Monitor
- FLUM Fast Luminosity Monitoring

CFBPM: Nearly Confocal Resonator

We use a mirror distance D = 5.345 cm and a curvature radius R = 8.908 cm. This ensures that there is only one eigen-mode at 15 GHz, with small diffraction losses ($Q_d = 3.3 \times 10^5$, while $Q_r = 4.0 \times 10^4$).







Under-coupled configuration: a clean resonance is observed and the unloaded quality factor is $1.2\times10^4.$



Open NCR prototype



- We have studied the properties of a nearly confocal resonator with a large quality factor for the diffraction losses, which weakly couples to external fields.
- Two prototypes were constructed and we demonstrated the proof of principle for the NCR pick-up: the rejection of waveguide modes at the resonant frequency.
- One major limitation was identified: when the resonator is under-critically coupled to a waveguide, the quality factor is dominated by the scattering losses at the iris, which also couples to external fields, leading to a worse rejection.

More details in A. Ferrari, M. Johnson, V. Ziemann, E. Öjefors, Limitations of the confocal resonator pick-up and investigations of nearly confocal configurations, EUROTeV-Report-2006-081.

Before building a final prototype and testing it with beam in CTF3, more simulation studies are needed in 2007:

- Simulations with HFSS and/or CST Microwave Studio to estimate the S₁₂ spectrum for all incoming modes in the pipe, and better understand the results obtained with the NCR prototype on an Al pipe.
- Simulations of the coupling between a passing (bunched) beam and the NCR pick-up.
- More open questions: over- or under-critical coupling between the resonator and the extraction waveguide, absorbing material around the resonator to get rid of unwanted modes, tolerances?

2D LW scanner at PETRA

LBPM: Laser-wire contact: G. Blair (RHUL)

Post IP Imaging System

aligned for both dimensions for real time laser size monitoring Free space Beam Transport (~ 30m) 2D scan through automatic path selection High Power Scanning device: LASER **Piezo-mirror** max speed 100 Hz Lens Laser Automatic beam finding f=250mm rep rate = 20 HzTranslation stages pulse width 6 ns e- spot size Laser spot-size ~ 12 um 50 – 200 υm



Seeded (100 steps, 1 point per step) 100/20Hz = 5 sec

Sigma ~ 49um

Seeded (100 steps, 10 points per step) 1,000/20Hz = 50sec

Sigma ~ 49um

Seeded (100 steps, 100 points per step) 10,000/20Hz = 500sec

Sigma ~ 46um

ATF Laser-wire Results (>Nov 2006)





- Electron beam size ~4µm
- Laser scans
 - Laser-electron beam quadrature size ~11.4µm







F#2 lens installation

- New F#2 lens (Oxford)
 - Design R. Bingham
 - Modified design and fabrication N. Delerue
 - Testing Myriam Newman



- 0.54 0.37 417,5 48 Lion Lion 2Wu1a 8.9 um 2Wu2a 4.3 um 20043a 9.5 um 2Woda 29.8 um 8.9 um 2Wu2b 4.4 um 20143b 8.7 um 2Wu4b 10.7 um Striften. " STANGTON ST. Store Ber Gift true Chamber mover
 - Small focus requires precision movement of lens
 - F#2 lens "rigidly" mounted to flange
 - Rayleigh range now a problem

- Integrated DAQ
 - Camac ADCs/TD/TDC
 - Laser power meter
 - ATF-EXT stripline BPMs
 - Wire scanner (stage)
 - Labview control of optics (mirrors)
 - RF phase timing control



PBPM: Precision BPM

L. Soby, I. Podadera Aliseda, CERN





- On Schedule
- Final Design Complete
- Electronics design
- Electromagnetic simulation
 - Resolution:100 nm.
 - Aperture: 4-6 mm.
 - Absolute precision: 10 mm.
 - Rise time: 15 ns.



2005



EUROTeV report prepared and to be published

Design and build prototype.

(end December 2006)

DONE!

Report on bench tests:

- Design and build a mechanical stable test bench.
- Develop front end electronics.
- Measure PBPM prototype.

(middle 2007)

WCM: wideband current monitor

L. Soby, Alessandro D'Elia - CERN



The 3rd generation of CLIC Test Facility (CTF3)foresees a beam formed by bunches separated by $\Delta_b = 67 \text{ ps}$ WCM h. f. cut-off = 20 GHzfor a total pulse duration of $\tau_r = 1.54 \mu s$ WCM I. f. cut-off = 100 kHz



WCM Conclusions

- The test bench has been improved
- The new proposed geometry at the cost of few changing in the old one shows a quite good response for our aims: apart of the TM01 mode at about 5.5 GHz a quite good flat response it is foreseen up to 25 GHz with the possibility of extending the bandwidth up to 30 GHz for future applications
- More accurate studies on the real feedthrough are needed
- Development of a new testbench only for feedthrough
- Feedthrough vacuum leakage
- Reliable ferrite and SiC models in CST MicroWave and/or HFSS
- We are confident, on February, of producing a preliminary mechanical design

ESPEC: Energy Spectrometer

D. Ward, M. Thomson, M. Slater (Cambridge) S. Boogert, G. Boorman (RHUL) F. Gournaris, A. Liapine, B. Maiheu, D. Miller, M. Wing (UCL) and International Collaborators



•T474/T491 at ESA

- January 2006 test run (4 days): commissioning BPMs 31,32 and 1,2 upstream
- April 2006 run (2 weeks):

o Commissioning new ILC prototype linac BPMs (3,4,5) where 4 is on a (x,y) mover system

o Commissioning old SLAC BPMs (9,10,11)

- o Digitisation/signal processing optimisation
- July 2006 run (2 weeks):
 - o Commisioning Zygo interferometer system (3,4,5) + BPM24 upstream
 - o Further optimisation of hardware
 - o Stability data taking with 10 BPMs, frequent calibrations

ESA results: resolution and stability





Spectrometer-specific BPM

Existing BPM designs are not optimal for an energy spectrometer

- aperture (machine protection)
- resolution, stability
- monopole rejection
- coupling \rightarrow decay time

Take know-how gained from collaboration work and design a BPM suitable for an energy spectrometer.

- Al model and Cu vacuum prototype
- 30 mm aperture, 2.878 GHz
- theoretical resolution ~ 11.2 nm

Mover system

- Horizontal stage: 2" travel range, 15 μm
- Vertical stage: 5 mm travel range, 10 μm accuracy







Laser amplification cavity for ILC polarimeter

HEPOL: Contact: Fabian Zomer





J. Bonis, V. Brisson, J.N. Cayla, R. Chiche, R. Cizeron, J. Colin, Y. Fedala, G. Guilhem, M. Jacquet-Lemire, D. Jehanno, L. Losev, R. Marie, K. Moenig, V. Soskov, C. Sylvia, A. Variola and F. Zomer LAL-Orsay & Lebedev Inst.-Moscow

- 1. Resonance of a high gain Fabry-Perot Cavity with a fs laser
- 2. Realization of a specific confocal cavity

All optical elements/lasers are mounted and operational

Feedback electronics •Tests of hardware & programming tools : Completed

•Feedback system inserted in the optical bench

test of the locking on a low finesse cavity almost completed

Four mirror 'bow tie' cavity



Schedule for 2007

- Step 1: manpower problem solved
 - 1.5 FTE more for analog electronics (starts Jan.-Feb. 2007).
 - First results for high finesse (Gain=10⁴) expected mid-2007, very high finesse (Gain=10⁵) at the end of 2007
- Step 2: progressing
 - End of the mode structure studies for non-planar bow-tie cavity expected in spring 2007
 - Study of the length control of such cavity will start in Feb. 2007
- Step1+Step 2 would require one more year...
 - Possible experimental implementation at ATF

TPMON Precision beam phase measurement Contact: Jonathan Sladen Alexandra Andersson (CERN)

Aim

•The aim of the task is to investigate the feasibility of a precision bunch train timing measurement at 30 GHz, with an accuracy of 10 fs, and a bandwidth of at least 50MHz.

• Tests of the electronics have begun at CERN's CTF3.



Work to be completed:

- Complete static correction algorithm to correct amplitude dependent phase offset
- Develop a dynamic correction algorithm to correct step response of the system
- Characterise temperature drift
- Improve calibration routine
- Hardware modifications of down converters
- Further beam tests

FLUM: Fast Luminosity Monitor C. Grah (DESY)

Geometry for the new ILC baseline of 14mrad



changed LumiCal and BeamCal acceptance

Beam Parameter Reconstruction



Beamparameters vs Observables slopes (significance) normalized to sigmas







- The geometry of the forward region was adjusted to the case of a large crossing angle.
- ➤ We investigated the impact of a change in the segmentation on the electron veto efficiency. 0.8 R_M is still good and reduces the total channel number.
- Tested the fast beam parameter reconstruction for 2, 14 and 20 mrad configurations with DID/AntiDID field.
- A Geant4 simulation of BeamCal (BeCaS) is ready for use. It is fast enough, so that we do not need a shower parametrization.
- > First tests show that a subset (some
- •••••• layers) of the detector information seems •••• sufficient for beam parameter



WP5 Summary

- DIAG work package includes a range of systems important to the ILC.
- All tasks are now in "full steam" and are highly productive
- Lots of interplay with the other WPs
- Lots of activity groups and hardware are in place/being delivered and tested with beam.