



RHEINISCHE FRIEDRICH-WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT

How light can the lightest neutralino be?

Olaf Kittel

University of Bonn, Germany

all work in progress, in collaboration with:

Herbi Dreiner, Sven Heinemeyer, Ulrich Langefeld, Arne Weber, Georg Weiglein

ILC 2007 DESY, May 31

Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- A light neutralino in the MSSM – How light can it be?

Look at bounds from . . .

- . . . neutralino production at LEP
- . . . precision observables
- . . . cosmology

- Summary and conclusions

1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) has been tested to high precision.
However need . . .

- solution to hierarchy problem
- window to gravity
- dark matter candidates

One solution is Supersymmetry (SUSY).

- symmetry between bosons and fermions
- minimal SUSY extension of SM \rightarrow MSSM

1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) has been tested to high precision.
However need . . .

- solution to hierarchy problem (SUSY masses $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV})$)
- window to gravity (SUGRA-models)
- dark matter candidates (neutralino, gravitino, axino,...)

One solution is Supersymmetry (SUSY).

- symmetry between bosons and fermions
- minimal SUSY extension of SM \rightarrow MSSM

The chargino mass matrix \mathcal{M}_\pm

- Charginos are a mixture of charged winos \tilde{W}^\pm and higgsinos \tilde{H}^\pm .

$$\mathcal{M}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & \sqrt{2}M_W \sin(\beta) \\ \sqrt{2}M_W \cos(\beta) & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

- Parameters:

M_2 : wino mass, soft supersymmetry breaking

μ : Higgs mixing parameter

$\tan \beta$: ratio of vacuum expectation values of the two neutral, CP-even Higgs fields

- $\sqrt{|\text{eigenvalues}|}$ of $\mathcal{M}_\pm \mathcal{M}_\pm^\dagger =$ chargino masses $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i=1,2}^\pm}$

The neutralino mass matrix \mathcal{M}_0

- Neutralinos are a mixture of the neutral gauginos (\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}^3) and higgsinos (\tilde{H}_u, \tilde{H}_d).

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -m_Z \sin(\theta_W) \cos(\beta) & m_Z \sin(\theta_W) \sin(\beta) \\ 0 & M_2 & m_Z \cos(\theta_W) \cos(\beta) & -m_Z \cos(\theta_W) \sin(\beta) \\ -m_Z \sin(\theta_W) \cos(\beta) & m_Z \cos(\theta_W) \cos(\beta) & 0 & -\mu \\ m_Z \sin(\theta_W) \sin(\beta) & -m_Z \cos(\theta_W) \sin(\beta) & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- M_1 : bino mass, soft supersymmetry breaking
- $\sqrt{|\text{eigenvalues}|}$ of $\mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{M}_0^\dagger =$ neutralino masses $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i=1,2,3,4}^0}$

Motivation: Neutralino mass at LEP

- Colliders have searched for SUSY particles:
No particles have been found, but limits on their masses are set.
- Search for charginos $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ at LEP:
limit for chargino mass: $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} > 104 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow M_2, \mu \gtrsim 100 \text{ GeV}$
- Assume SUSY Grand Unified Theory (GUT): $M_1 = \frac{5}{3} \tan^2(\theta_w) M_2$
 $\Rightarrow M_1 \gtrsim 50 \text{ GeV}$
 \Rightarrow Neutralino mass constrained to: $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \gtrsim 50 \text{ GeV}$
- What happens if we drop the GUT relation?

Consider the neutralino mass matrix \mathcal{M}_0 :


- M_1 is now a free parameter! Can have $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$!
- Calculate the determinant:

$$\det [\mathcal{M}_0(M_1, M_2, \mu, \tan \beta)] = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow M_1 = \frac{m_Z^2 M_2 \sin^2 \theta_w \sin(2\beta)}{\mu M_2 - m_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_w \sin(2\beta)} \approx 0.05 \frac{m_Z^2}{\mu} = \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV}).$$

For this value of M_1 we have $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$.

- $M_1 \ll M_2, \mu \Rightarrow$ neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is bino-like!
- \Rightarrow No significant contribution to the Z-width $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$!!
(For $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 =$ bino, the $Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ coupling vanishes at tree-level.)

Neutralino mixture for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$ GeV




$M_2 = 200$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 10$

2 A light neutralino in the MSSM – How light can it be?

Look at bounds from . . .

- . . . colliders (LEP)
- . . . precision observables
- . . . cosmology and astrophysics

Neutralino and chargino masses for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$ GeV






$M_2 = 200$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 10$

Bounds on neutralino mass from measurements at LEP

LEP II: center of mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 208$ GeV, luminosity $\mathcal{L} \approx 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

consider a bino-like, massless neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$:

- neutralino pair production (direct): $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_i^0$
- radiative production (indirect): $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0\gamma$



No LEP bounds from radiative production $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0\gamma$

$$M_{\tilde{e}_{L,R}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$$


$$M_2 = \mu = 200 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\tan\beta = 10$$

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}$$


$$\text{LEP II: } \sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV,}$$

$$\text{luminosity: } \mathcal{L} = 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$$




LEP bounds on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0) \times \text{BR}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z) \times \text{BR}(Z \rightarrow q\bar{q})$

Observed limit at 208 GeV, Hadronic Channel, Z^0 BR



M_2 [GeV]

selectron mass $m_{\tilde{e}}$ in GeV



$\sqrt{s} = 208 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\%$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0) < 70 \text{ fb}$

$\tan \beta = 10$, dot-dashed: $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = m_{\tilde{e}}$
 $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}$, dashed: $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = \sqrt{s}$

[OPAL, hep-ex/0401026]

Bounds on neutralino mass from precision observables

Assuming $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$, we have checked values of:

- $\sin^2(\theta_w)$
- M_Z, Γ_Z and M_W, Γ_W
- $(g - 2)_\mu$
- EDMs of n, e, Hg
- $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

→ No constraints for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$!

In collaboration with: Sven Heinemeyer, Arne Weber, Georg Weiglein

Invisible Z width: $\Gamma_{\text{inv}} = \Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}) + \Gamma(Z \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$

$$\Gamma_{\text{inv}}^{\text{exp}} = 499.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\delta\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{inv}} - \Gamma_{\text{inv}}^{\text{exp}}$$

note: $\delta\Gamma^{\text{SM}} = 1\sigma!$


$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\tan\beta = 10$$

$$A_\tau = A_t = A_b = m_{\tilde{g}} =$$


$$= M_A = 2M_{\tilde{f}} = 500 \text{ GeV}$$

[A. Weber]



No bounds on neutralino mass from rare meson decays

- At B-factories: $\text{BR}(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \text{invis.}) \lesssim 10^{-4}$ exp. (10^{-5} SM)
neutralino contribution: $\text{BR}(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \approx 10^{-8}$ MSSM
- And similar: $J/\Psi[B^0] \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, or $K[D, B]^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \dots$
- Finally: various meson decays $\rho, \pi, \phi, \omega, \eta, \dots \rightarrow \text{invis.}$



see e.g. [McElrath, hep-ph/0506151]

Bounds on neutralino mass from cosmology/astrophysics

- **Cold dark matter:** Thermal relic density of neutralinos

$$\Rightarrow m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 6 \text{ GeV} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{[Hooper, Plehn, hep-ph/021226]} \\ \text{[Bottino et al., hep-ph/0304080]} \\ \text{[Belanger et al., hep-ph/0310037]} \end{array}$$

- **Hot dark matter:** (Cowsik-McClelland bound)

$$\Rightarrow m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \lesssim 0.7/h^2 \text{ eV} \quad \text{[Langenfeld, PhD thesis]}$$

- **Supernova cooling:**

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \gtrsim 0.2 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for} \quad m_{\tilde{e}} \approx 500 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{[Dreiner et al., hep-ph/0304289]}$$

(depends on selectron mass $m_{\tilde{e}}$ and on the explosion mechanism)

- also: cooling of other objects (red giants), Big Bang nucleosynthesis, cosmic rays, ...?

Summary and conclusions

How light can the lightest neutralino be?

- no constraints from electroweak precision data and rare decays
- constraints from LEP:

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0) < 70 \text{ fb} \Rightarrow \text{bounds on } \tilde{e} \text{ mass: } m_{\tilde{e}} < 100 \dots 500 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\text{no constraints from } e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma$$

- Cosmology:

$$\text{Neutralino cold dark matter: } m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 6 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\text{Neutralino hot dark matter: } m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \lesssim 1 \text{ eV}$$

Zero mass neutralino is allowed!

What about CP phases in the neutralino sector?

- In general, M_1 and μ can be complex.
- CP phases φ_{M_1} and φ_μ are constrained by electric dipole moments.
→ not constrained in certain models (flavor violation, cancellations, ...)

- Calculate the determinant:

$$\det [\mathcal{M}_0(M_1, M_2, \mu, \tan \beta)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M_1 \approx \frac{m_Z^2 \sin^2 \theta_w \sin(2\beta)}{\mu \cos(\varphi_\mu + \varphi_{M_1})} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \frac{m_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_w \cos(2\beta) \sin(\varphi_{M_1})}{\mu \sin(\varphi_\mu + \varphi_{M_1})}$$

- Zero mass neutralino still possible, however even more fine-tuning.