The MIPP Experiment and the ILC Connection

Nickolas Solomey
Wichita State University, Kansas

MIPP upgrade interest to ILC:

- Six species of Charged particle cross-sections on 30 different targets from 1 to 100 GeV/c.
- Tagged Neutral beams for testing prototype calorimeters.

We could add to this:

- Tagged neutron beams can also be used to improve neutron production data.
- Should we expand the tagged Neutral running to do neutron and anti-neutron cross sections on 6 to 12 different target nuclei from 1 to 80 GeV/c?

MIPP Upgrade collaboration list

D.Isenhower,M.Sadler,R.Towell,S.Watson
Abilene Christian University
R.J.Peterson
University of Colorado, Boulder
W.Baker,B.Baldin,D.Carey, D.Christian,M.Demarteau,D.Jensen,C.Johnstone,H.Meyer, R.Raja,A.Ronzhin,N.Solomey,W.Wester,J-Y Wu
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
Bill Briscoe, Igor Strakovsky, Ron Workman
George Washington University, Washington D.C
H.Gutbrod,B.Kolb,K.Peters,
GSI, Darmstadt, Germany
G. Feldman,
Harvard University
Y.Torun,
Illinois Institute of Technology
M. Messier,J.Paley
Indiana University
U.Akgun,G.Aydin,F.Duru,E.Gülmez,Y.Gunaydin,Y.Onel, A.Penzo
University of Iowa
V.Avdeichicov,R.Leitner,J.Manjavidze,V.Nikitin,I.Rufanov,A.Sissakian,T.Topuria
Joint Institute for Nuclear Researah, Dubna, Russia
D.M.Manley,
Kent State University
H.Löhner, J.Messchendorp,
KVI, Groningen, Netherlands
H.R.Gustafson,M.Longo,T.Nigmanov, D.Rajaram
University of Michigan
S.P.Kruglov,I.V.Lopatin,N.G.Kozlenko,A.A.Kulbardis,D.V.Nowinsky, A.K.Radkov,V.V.Sumachev
Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
A.Bujak, L.Gutay,
Purdue University
D.Bergman, G.Thomson
Rutgers University
A.Godley,S.R.Mishra,C.Rosenfeld
University of South Carolina
C.Dukes,C.Materniak,K.Nelson,A.Norman
University of Virginia
P.Desiati, F.Halzen, T.Montaruli,
University of Wisconsin, Madison
P.Sokolsky, W.Springer
University of Utah

What is MIPP



This sketch shows the main components of the MIPP experiment in its upgraded mode. The most important feature is not shown, our higher electronics data collection rate of 3 kHz.

We will have beams of charged pions, kaons and protons both positive and negative from 1 to 100 GeV/c. Combining this with 30 different nuclei and high statistics, this will be a valuable resource to many simulation codes.

How good are shower simulation codes?

- Shower simulation codes are used to design hadron calorimeters
- Shower simulation codes are relied upon to give accurate pion and Kaon production from interactions for neutrino beams.

Both of these would improve with high accuracy thin target nuclei cross-section measurements.

DPMJET-Dual Parton Model Jet

- Two component (soft and hard scattering). Super critical soft and pomerons coupled with triple pomeron scattering for diffraction and hard scattering by QCD improved partonmodel. Chew, Rosenzweig(76), Hang-Mo et al(75), Capella et al(94),J.Ranft(92)
- Code implementation- Ranft,Engel,Roesler
- Used by itself and also in Fluka.
- Good for Diffractive production as well as multiplicities
- Incorporates Cronin Effect, Glauber model for particle propagation in nuclei. Hadronization of hard partons handled by BAMJET, DECAY or the LUND JETSET.
- Excellent review by J.Ranft PRD51 (95)64.

Validation of DPMJET(Ranft)

- Predictions compared to multiplicities and single particle inclusive cross sections.
- Experiments used– Brenner et al(82), EHS-NA22 (88), LEBS-HES(91),EHS-RCBC(87),Kafka et al(77), Kichimi et al(79)…
- Single particle inclusives fit well. Since inelastic cross sections are tuned to agree to data, multiplicities come out OK as well.
- Correlations between particles not addressed completely. None of these models can, since no complete theory exists.

DPMJET-Multiplicities-Slides from R.Engel

DPMJET in p-p mode:
simulation of particle production from energy threshold on

proton - proton, E_{lab} = 200GeV

	Exp.	DPMJET-III
charged	7.69 ± 0.06	7.64
neg.	2.85 ± 0.03	2.82
p	1.34 ± 0.15	1.26
n	0.61 ± 0.30	0.66
π^+	3.22 ± 0.12	3.20
π^-	2.62 ± 0.06	2.55
K^+	0.28 ± 0.06	0.30
K^-	0.18 ± 0.05	0.20
Λ	0.096 ± 0.01	0.10
$\bar{\Lambda}$	0.0136 ± 0.004	0.0105



DPMJET- Collider distributions (R.Engel)

Charged particle multiplicity distribution at 200 GeV cms.





Charged particle pseudorapidity distributions

Other problems

- We just looked at details of DPMJet simulation code.

- Next lets look at what other problems exists in simulation codes?

Meurer et al –Cosmic ray showers Discontinuity-Gheisha at low energies and QGSJET at higher energies-Simulation of air showers



Plethora of models

- No complete theory exists-unlike in QED/EGS case
- A plethora of models exists. Not all can be correct. In fact none can describe all data. All models tuned to single particle inclusive cross sections. We are now asking questions where particle correlations are important—Eg How wide is the shower from a particle.
- So the approach of “Validating models and tuning them with data” will only have limited success. Anytime we open up new territory, we will need to re-validate. Unless we take a new tack, and maximize the use of data and minimize the use of theory in the shower simulation. I will describe this approach towards the end.

Miniboone-Sanford-Wang (SW) parametrization of E910 and HARP compared to other models





The differences are dramatic in the π spectra as well! But the E910 and HARP cross sections determine the correct model, which is very close to MARS.

Compare Hadron Production Models-S.Kopp

Model	$\langle p_T \rangle$ (GeV/c)
GFLUKA	0.37
Sanf.-Wang	0.42
CKP	0.44
Malensek	0.50
MARS – v.14	0.38
MARS – v.15	0.39
Fluka 2001	0.43
Fluka 2005	0.36



ND Spectra After Reweighting (VI)-S.Kopp



Results (Including >30 GeV)-S.Kopp



Hadron Shower Simulator problem

- All neutrino flux problems (NUMI, MiniBoone, K2K, T2K,Nova, Minerva) and all Calorimeter design problems and all Jet energy scale systematics (not including jet definition ambiguities here) can be reduced to one problem- the current state of hadronic shower simulators.
- Timely completion of MIPP upgrade progam can help systematics in, CMS/ATLAS, CALICE and all neutrino experiments.
- Myth-I Put designed calorimeter in test beam and use the data to tune the simulator_-D0 experience. You need test beam to test the hardware.
- Myth-II Take test beam data at various incident angles and use it to interpolate –H-matrix experience
- In order to have better simulator, we need to measure event by event data with excellent particle ID using 6 beam species (pi,K,P and antiparticles) off various nuclei at momenta ranging from 1 GeV/c to ~100 GeV/c. MIPP upgrade is well positioned to obtain this data.
- MIPP can help with the nuclear slow neutron problem.
- Current simulators use a lot of „Tuned theory“. Propose using real library of events and interpolation.

Discrepancies between hadronic generators

Lack of experimental data and large uncertainties in the calculations,

in particular for thick and high Z target materials

Differential distributions for pion production:



NIMA451(2000)327

NIMA472(2001)5

➔ Thin and thick targets, scan in Z

Discrepancies between hadronic generators- Testing particle production off nitrogen(Be extrapolated)

27



G.Battistoni

HSSW06 benchmark test 60cm Al target- Data from Protvino

Pion data. Blue curve MARS. Green curve PHITS.

Monte Carlos disagree with each other and the data!





HSSW06 benchmark test 60cm Al target- Data from Protvino

Kaon data. Blue curve MARS. Green curve PHITS.

Monte Carlos disagree with each other and the data!





MIPP in Detail:

Installed in 2003. Excellent performance. Ran it successfully in MIPP from 5-85 GeV/c secondaries and 120 GeV/c primary protons. Excellent particle ID capabilities using 2 Beam Cerenkovs. For low momenta (<~10 GeV/c) ToF is used for pid. Design principles and lessons learned used in M-test upgrade.





MIPP

Main Injector Particle Production Experiment (FNAL-E907)



MIPP

Main Injector Particle Production Experiment (FNAL-E907)

Vertical cut plane



TPC

•



RICH rings pattern recognized







Beam Cherenkovs

+40 GeV/c





-40 GeV/c





Comparing Beam Cherenkov to RICH for +40 GeV beam triggers-No additional cuts!









NUMI target pix





TPC Reconstructed tracks



dE/dx in the TPC







omey,



Preliminary Comparison of NUMI target to FLUKA predictions





RICH Rings from NUMI target



Particle ID on NUMI target





Data Taken In last run

Data Summary 27 February 2006			Acquired Data by Target and Beam Energy Number of events, x 10^6									
Target			**E**									**Total**
Z	**Element**	**Trigger Mix**	**5**	**20**	**35**	**40**	**55**	**60**	**65**	**85**	**120**	
0	**Empty[1]**	**Normal**		*0.10*	*0.14*			*0.52*			*0.25*	***1.01***
	***K* Mass[2]**	**No Int.**				*5.48*	*0.50*	*7.39*	*0.96*			***14.33***
	Empty LH[1]	**Normal**		*0.30*				*0.61*		*0.31*		7.08
1	**LH**	**Normal**	0.21	**1.94**				**1.98**		**1.73**		
4	**Be**	***p* only**									1.08	**1.75**
		Normal			0.10			0.56				
6	**C**	**Mixed**						**0.21**				**1.33**
	C 2%	**Mixed**		**0.39**				**0.26**			**0.47**	
	NuMI	***p* only**									**1.78**	**1.78**
13	**Al**	**Normal**			*0.10*							***0.10***
83	**Bi**	***p* only**									1.05	**2.83**
		Normal			0.52			1.26				
92	**U**	**Normal**						1.18				**1.18**
Total			**0.21**	**2.73**	**0.86**	**5.48**	**0.50**	**13.97**	**0.96**	**2.04**	**4.63**	**31.38**

The Proposal in a nutshell

- MIPP one can take data at ~30Hz. The limitation is the TPC electronics which are 1990's vintage. We plan to speed this rate up to 3000Hz using ALTRO/PASA chips which are now at Fermilab.
- Beam delivery rate– We assume the delivery of a single 4 second spill every two minutes from the Main Injector. We assume a 42% downtime of the Main Injector for beam manipulation etc. This is conservative. Using these figures, we can acquire 5 million events per day.
- Jolly Green Giant Coil Replacement- Towards the end of our run, the bottom two coils of the JGG burned out. We have decided to replace both the top and bottom coils with newly designed aluminum coils that have better field characteristics for the TPC drift. The coil order has been placed ($200K) with coils expected to arrive August 2007.
- Beamline upgrade- The MIPP secondary beamline ran satisfactorily from 5 5GeV/c-85GeV/c. We plan to run it from ~1 GeV/c to 85 GeV/c. The low momentum running will be performed using low current power supplies that regulate better. Hall probes in magnets will eliminate hysteresis effects.
- TPC Readout Upgrade-We have ordered 1100 ALTRO/PASA chips from CERN ($80K). The order had to go in with a bigger STAR collaboration order to reduce overhead. We expect delivery in the new year of tested chipsets.

The Proposal in a nutshell

- MIPP- Recoil detector- GSI- Darmstadt / KVI Groningen have joined us. They will bring the plastic ball detector (a hemisphere of it) which will serve to identify recoil (wide angle) neutrons, protons and gammas from our targets.
- Triggering system- We propose to replace the MIPP interaction trigger (scintillator/wire chamber) with 3 planes of silicon pixels based on the B-TeV design. Will enable us to trigger more efficiently on low multiplicity events.
- Drift Chamber/ PWC electronics- These electronics (E690/RMH) worked well for the first run. They are old (1990's). RMH will not do 3kHz. We will replace both systems with a new design that utilizes some of the infrastructure we developed for the RICH readout.
- ToF/CKOV readout-Plan to build new readout based on TripT chip (Used by Minerva) and a high resolution TDC chip. Will use the VME readout cards in common with RICH, TPC
- RICH detector and the Beam Cherenkovs will work as is.
- Calorimeter Readout- using old front end electronics and the RICH readout boards.
- DAQ software upgrade- Front end DAQ software needs to be developed. The MIPP DAQ control software+ Data base can be kept as is.
- Plan is to store one spill's worth of data on each detector and read out the whole lot at end of spill.

- MIPP- Recoil detector- GSI- D

Nuclei of interest- 1st pass list

- The A-List
- H_2,D_2,Li,Be,B,C,N_2,O_2,Mg,Al,Si,P,S,Ar,K,Ca,,Fe,Ni ,Cu,Zn,Nb,Ag,Sn,W,Pt,Au,Hg,Pb,Bi,U
- The B-List
- Na, Ti,V, Cr,Mn,Mo,I, Cd, Cs, Ba
- On each nucleus, we can acquire 5 million events/day with one 4sec beam spill every 2 mins and a 42% downtime.
- We plan to run several different momenta and both charges.
- The libraries of events thus produced will be fed into shower generator programs which currently have 30 year old single arm spectrometer data with high systematics

Spallation products

- Such a recoil detector coupled with the TPC can detect spallation products such as “grey” and “Black” protons, and neutrons as well as nuclear fragments.
- Table from Textbook on Calorimetry by Wigmans

	Binding Energy	Evaportion n (# neutrons)	Cascade n (# neutrons)	Ionization (#cascade p)	Target recoil
Before first reaction				(250)(π_{in})	
First reaction	126	27(9)	519 (4.2)	350(2.8)	28
Generation 2	187	63(21)	161(1.7)	105(1.1)	3
Generation 3	77	24(8)	36(1.1)	23 (0.7)	1
Generation 4	24	12(3)			
Total	414	126(41)		478(4.6)	32

TABLE I: Destination of 1.3 GeV total energy carried by an average pion produced in hadronic shower development in lead. Energies are in MeV.

The recoil detector



3.3. Plastic Ball 31



Figure 3.5: Schematic drawing of an individual Plastic-Ball detector.



Detect recoil protons, neutrons, pizeros and charged pions,kaons

Can we reduce our dependence on models?

- Answer- Yes- With the MIPP Upgrade experiment, one can acquire 5 million events per day on various nuclei with six beam species ($\pi^{\pm}$,$K^{\pm}$,$p^{\pm}$) with beam momenta ranging from 1 GeV/c-90 GeV/c. Full acceptance over phase space, including info on nuclear fragmentation
- This permits one to consider random access event libraries that can be used to generate the interactions in the shower.

Random Access Data Libraries

- Typical storage needed

Nuclei	beam species	momentum bins	events/bin	tracks/event	words/track
30	6	10	100000	10	5
Number of events			1.80E+08	Number of days	36
Total number of words			9.00E+09	to take data	
	Bytes		3.60E+10		

- Mean multiplicities and total and elastic cross section curves are parametrised as a function of s.

Tagged neutron and K-long beams in MIPP-For ILC Particle flow algorithm studies

- MIPP Spectrometer permits a high statistics neutron and K-long beams generated on the LH2 target that can be tagged by constrained fitting. The neutron and K-long momenta can be known to better than 2%. The energy of the neutron (K-long) can be varied by changing the incoming proton(K^+) momentum. The reactions involved are

$$pp \rightarrow pn\pi^+$$

$$K^+ p \rightarrow pK_L^0\pi^+$$

$$K^- p \rightarrow pK_L^0\pi^-$$

$$\bar{p}\, p \rightarrow \bar{n}\,\pi^-\, p$$

See R.Raja-MIPP Note 130

~50K tagged neutrons per day

Neutron spectra for various beam momenta



Proton spectra for various beam momenta



Pion spectra for various beam momenta



Expected tagged neutral beam rates

Beam Momentum GeV/c	Proton Beam n/day	K+ beam K-Long/day	K- beam K-Long/day	Antiproton beam anti-n/day
10	20532	4400	4425	6650
20	52581	9000	9400	11450
30	66511	12375	14175	13500
60	47069	15750	14125	13550
90	37600			

These tagged neutrons can be used for an neutron cross-section study

An 8 slot octagon with 6 nuclear targets and 2 empty targets. This is to be used downstream of LH2 target for n0 cross section measurements using tagged neutrons.



Inner hole is empty with 4 in. Dia.
Outer circle is 14.75 in. Dia.

- With a large number of neutrons coming out of the liquid hydrogen target we can place a large target wheel for these neutrons to interact with.
- Cost is low about 28,000$ for targets and only 2 weeks of additional running time.

What Value added does neutron cross-section bring

- Currently simulation codes assume proton and neutron cross-sections are identical.
- Hadronic showers have a large neutral components, something the Particle Flow Algorthm is trying to make use of without enough background knowledge of fundamental cross-sections.

Comparison of nBe (left) and pBe (right) cross-sections





Upgrade in some detail- JGG repair

- Field calculations.
- Blue triangles current field.
- Inverted blue triangles 9” extension in z.
- Squares, circles show coils that are +12”, +18” longer in z.
- 9” longer coils chosen.
- Much better ExB effects in the TPC. Distortions lower by a factor of 2.
- Coils made of Aluminum.
- Coils ordered. Money committed.
- We will ziptrack new magnet
- JGG Pole pieces have to be lengthened
- WBS task 2
  - » M&S 279K, Labor $141K

- Costs include no contingency at this stage. Probably need to add 20% contingency.



TPC Electronics Upgrade

15,360 pads in TPC. 16μs to drift from top to bottom. IN principle, there are 3,800,000 individual data points possible. Each data point is a time bucket and a dE/dx ADC value. A MIPP event sparsely populates this space and is ~ 110kBytes in size. The old readout is 1990's vintage and the readout system is heavily multiplexed and limited to 60Hz maximum. For our events, we were able to achieve ~30Hz.

Redesign with ALICE ALTRO/PASA chips with inbuilt zero suppression can produce a readout working at 3kHz. A factor of 100 in speed.

10 times more data using 10 times less beam time!

TPC electronics upgrade

- Old MIPP TPC "Stick" – 120 of these.



- New MIPP TPC "stick" layout using ALTRO/PASA chips.



MIPP Trigger Upgrade

- Beam sizes are large in MIPP due to the “low divergence” condition needed for beam CKOV's.
- Previous trigger of SCINT counter + 1st drift chamber wire signals performed satisfactorily for MIPP –I physics but needs improvement at low multiplicities—Landau tails.
- We propose to use silicon pixel counters (B-TEV, Phenix).
- Use a “Bull’s Eye” system to detect absence of beam particle in final state to signal interactions. Also use the multiplicity in the final state as an additional piece of information.





First layer before target tags where beam is and that there was only 1 hit cell. Brown circle represents where 86% of the beam hits the 4 cells in the center.

A bulls eye target, shown in blue, is made around the one cell hit location of plane1.

Drift Chamber/ PWC readout Upgrade

- Large PWC's use old CERN RMH electronics- Needs replacement.
- E690 electronics will work at these speed, if CAMAC DMA is implemented. The electronics are also aging and also put out a lot of heat.
- MIPP proposes a unified scheme for reading out both sets of chambers using a system that modifies the MIPP RICH readout cards by changing the latch to a TDC.
- Preamp cards being replaced Preamp/Discriminator front end cards.
- The RICH cards will store an entire spill's worth of events, which are readout in between spills.
- WBS task 4.2 M&S $121.2K, Labor $28.7K. Newest of the design efforts. Probably need to add 50% contingency.

8 Channel Amp/Disc – One per 8 channel Card, Two per 16 channel Card



High-Speed Differential Interfaces
"Cyclone II devices can transmit and receive data through LVDS signals at a data rate of up to 640 Mbps and 805 Mbps, respectively. For the LVDS transmitter and receiver, the Cyclone II device's input and output pins support serialization and deserialization through internal logic."



Plastic Ball Recoil detector

- Plastic ball detector is available. GSI/KVI have joined MIPP. We will install a hemisphere in MIPP. Mounting details to be worked out. Need the ability to remove the detector to repair it and the TPC.
- Transportation to Fermilab.
- GSI/KVI will play a lead role in making this happen
- Detector will help in all aspects of MIPP data including tagged neutral beams, missing baryon resonances and hadronic shower simulation data.



Picture of the full plastic ball at KVI

WBS task 10 Fermi M&s $0

Labor $25.9K, In Kind $55K

ToF, CkOV, Calorimeter readouts

- ToF/CkOV readout
  - » Front end boards—FPGA with TDC chip (TDC-GPX from ACAM, also used by LHC-b 30 ps timing resolution). Will buffer an entire spill. Delay cables will be eliminated.
  - » Backend will use RICH VME readout card for ToF/CkoV.
  - » WBS Task 4.3 M&S $16K Labor $18K
- Calorimeter Readout
  - » Using old front end but RICH VME readout cards.
  - » WBS Task 4.4 M&S $15K

Beam Line Upgrade

Add low current power supplies and hall probes to facilitate low momentum running

WBS task 8 M&S $56K

MIPP DAQ System upgrade

- Most of the DAQ upper layer software (Run control, Book keeping, plots) can be kept as is.
- New Power PC 5500's replace 6 existing ones.
- Linux kernel to migrate to it.(10 person weeks)
- Camac Hytec 1365V5 Module software(2 weeks)
- Update Event builder(6 weeks)
- Calo. ADC readout (5 weeks)
- Modify event monitor(2 weeks)
- New fPix readout PC, DAQ PC with 1TB disk storage. All PC's will have GBit and 100MBit fast ethernet ports.
- 100 kbytes/event. 1.2 Gbyte of data per spill.
- 200Mbits/sec transfer from MC7 to Ptkmp.
- 6 Mbytes/second transfer rate into ENSTORE is needed to transfer 5 million events/day. CDF/D0 do 30-60 Mbytes/sec routinely.



WBS Task 6 M&S $47K, Labor $39K

Miscellaneous upgrades

- Beam Veto wall upgrade- Increase veto counter area
  - » WBS task 9 M&S $20.1K Labor $1.5K
- Cryogenic target upgrade
  - » Increase diameter of transfer pipe to cut interactions due to beam tails.
  - » Spare cryo-cooler
  - » Operate with Liquid N2 flask.
  - » WBS Task 3.2 M&S $68K Labor$ 76K
- Gas system and slow control upgrades
  - » Methylal refrigerator filling to be automated
  - » Automate RICH vessel topping up with CO_2
  - » Upgrade P10 gas system-to be supplied semi trailer rather than bottles.
  - » Upgrade Beam CKOV vacuum instrumentation (failure detection)
  - » More temperature probes in hall.
  - » CKOV pressure sensors to be replaced
  - » Additional slow control infra-structure – APACS system
  - » WBS task 3.1 M&S $40.5K, Labor $29.9K
- RICH and CKOV phototubes
  - » 7 CKOV PMT's need replacement (total 96)
  - » WBS task 3.5 M&S $10K
  - » 912 PMT’s in RICH were lost due to fire. RICH works without them. But upgrading it by more PMT’s will help with efficiency near threshold.
  - » WBS task 3.6 FNAL M&S $0K In kind $150K



TPC rewind- Optional

WBS task 3.3 M&S $9K

Run Plan

Phase 1 Run Plan			
Target	Number of Events (Millions)	Running Time (Days)	Physics Need Group
NuMI Low Energy target	10	2	MINOS MINERVA
NuMI Medium Energy Target	10	2	MINERVA NOVA
Liquid Hydrogen	20	4	QCD PANDA DUBNA
Liquid Nitrogen	10	2	ICE CUBE
12 Nuclei			Nuclear Physics
D2 Be C Al Si Hg Fe Ni Cu Zn W Pb	60	12	Hadronic Showers
Total Events	110	22	
Raw Storage	11 TBytes		
Processed Storage	55 TBytes		

Phase 2 Run Plan

Target	Number of Events (Millions)	Running Time (Days)	Physics Need Group
18 Nuclei			
Li B O2 Mg P S Ar K Ca			Nuclear Physics
Ni Nb Ag Sn Pt Au Pb Bi U	90	18	Hadronic Showers
10 Nuclei B-list			Nuclear Physics
Na Ti V Cr Mn Mo I Cd Cs Ba	50	10	Hadronic Showers
Total Events	140	28	
Raw Storage	14 TBytes		
Processed Storage	70 TBytes		

Phase 3 – Tagged Neutral beams for ILC 5 million events/day LH2 target

Missing baryon resonance search may request additional running depending on what is found.

Conclusions

- The MIPP Upgrade Collaboration has proposed a cost effective way to upgrade the experiment to speed up the DAQ by a factor of 100.
- We propose to add a recoil detector that will enhance the physics reach of the experiment.
- We propose to measure the NUMI LE/ ME targets.
- As well as 30 nuclei to benefit hadron shower simulators and the cosmic ray community.
- This and the tagged neutral beams will benefit the ILC PFA algorithm studies.
- We propose to increase the momentum range of the beams (down to 1 GeV/c) that will benefit the hadron shower simulators and permit the search for missing baryon resonances.
- Timely approval will benefit us on all fronts including speeding up the analysis of the data in hand.
- Should consider special run in ILC phase 3 tagged neutron beams for neutron cross-section study.