

Cavity Processing R&D at JLab

C. Reece





Motivation



• ILC

- 35 MV/m, > 80% yield, 1st test
 - ~80% of theoretical Nb limit, H_{c1} , with RDR shape
 - Requires tight control specs
 - Material
 - Fabrication
 - Chemical processing
 - Contamination control
 - Prime concerns:
 - Surface smoothness
 - Field-emitting contaminants
 - Secondary but significant concern:
 - Chemical process contaminants degrading Q





Motivation



- ILC
 - Requires tight control specs
 - Chemical processing
 - » Need a defined protocol which <u>always</u> produces the same result, and that result enables 35 MV/m operation.
 - Contamination control
 - » Need a set of protocols which <u>assure the</u> <u>absence</u> of field-emitting contaminants from the beamline surfaces.



Cavity Process Analysis at JLab

- Electropolishing process studies (with W&M, VTech)
 - Electrochemical approach
 - Linking to 9-cell monitoring
 - Full-scale hydrodynamic modeling
 - Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) of Nb EP
 - Scale-dependent quantitative roughness/smoothing
 - ... building an integrated picture to inform protocol improvement and system design
- Preparation for field-emission contamination studies
 - Field Emission Viewer (FEViewer) commissioning
 - Designed for rapid QA screening of samples to 40 MV/m
- Process dependence of surface oxide structure
 - Variable energy XPS @ NSLS (with W&M, Boston)
 - BCP, EP, low-T bake, vacuum, air
- Collaboration on plasma etching
 - Old Dominion University, ILC University-based R&D





EP Process Analysis at JLab

Done in the past year:

- First application of standard electrochemical techniques to characterize the AI/(HF:H₂SO₄ 1:9 electrolyte)/Nb system
 - Fundamentally changed our understanding of the polarization characteristics of cathode, electrolyte and Nb anode
 - Enables enlightening study of temperature, flow, and composition-dependent effects
- First measurement of cavity wall temperatures during 9-cell EP process
 - Temperatures observed are much higher than assumed based on extrapolation from sample studies and single cells. (40-50 C)
- First use of full-scale hydrodynamic modeling to build insight regarding internal flow conditions
 - Resulting temperature models agree well with measurements
- First use of Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) to characterize the electrodynamics of the EP process
 - "Porous salt film" model has been excluded
 - Acceptor-limited diffusion (F⁻ anion depletion at surface) with an intermediate surface oxidation step by SO₃⁻ is suggested.
- First use of scale-specific quantitative roughness characterization methods to track scale-dependent smoothing effect under various polishing conditions
 - Power spectral density (PSD) calculation from AFM and profilometry sets new standard for surface roughness characterization.

Integrating the above to lead to a standard protocol to obtain quantitatively described Nb surface roughness to the submicron scale – as economically as possible.





Small Sample EP Process Analysis



Small Sample EP Process Analysis

The state-of-the-art process for preparing highest-gradient Nb cavities is <u>electropolishing</u>. The scientific and detailed characterization basis of present technology can be improved.

JLab has an active collaboration with W&M and Virginia Tech faculty and graduate students to improve the small-scale EP process characterization.

We are assessing the electrochemical dynamics and the sensitivity of *removal rate* and *smoothing effect* to variation of

– Temperature

efferson Lab

- Fluid flow velocity
- Electrolyte composition and aging
- Nb bulk crystallographic character



with varied HF concentration



H. Tian



Small Sample EP Process Analysis

• The plateau current varies linearly with HF concentration (by volume) with constant temperature and flow conditions.



This is consistent with characterizing the process as "acceptor-diffusion-limited". If so, the polishing effect is created by the gradient in F⁻ concentration near the surface.



Hui Tian



Implications for Cavity EP from Small Sample Analysis

- For a given polarization voltage:
 - The local plateau current density, and thus reaction rate
 - ↑ Increases with temperature
 - ↑ Increases with local flow rate
 - ↑ Stagnant eddy locations have little cooling, so temp rise
 - ↑ Local ohmic heating increases with current density

Thus, expect unstable temperatures when the electrolyte also serves as the process coolant, and particularly

- hot in no-flow conditions
- high heat flux where flow rate is high

 \Downarrow Current density decreases with F⁻ loss over time





Cavity wall Temperature Monitoring During 9-cell EP

Although the output flow temperature is "within specs," the actual cavity wall temperature is out of control.

EP A6 01/xx/2007

EP AES1 02/27/2007 50 45 40 acid_in_TC 35 acid out TC cathode voltage 30 cathode_current ∢ acid flow > 25 -C1-FP ÷ -C5 20 C9-FPC Out - in 15 -VI 0.00 15:40:43 15:41:26 15:42:10 15:42:53 15:43:36 15:44:19 time EP AES3 04/13/2007



EP modeling conditions used

- 10 l/m continuous flow rate
- Input flow temperature fixed @ 24 C
- 1 rpm of cavity



- Density, viscosity, specific heat, thermal conductivity of sulfuric acid used for the electrolyte
- Density and thermal conductivity of AI and Nb used for the cathode and anode respectively
- Actual cathode and anode thickness & JLab cathode hole geometry included
- Thermal and flow effects from H evolution at cathode are neglected
- Heat flux at acid/Nb interface is derived from no-flow bench measurement conditions
 - 11 V at cathode, 0.3 0.5 W/cm²
 - Average used, local temperature dependence not available in current code release.
 - For a given voltage, local current density and thus power will increase with increased local fluid flow (by increasing F⁻ ion availability to the surface)
 - Particular heating and cooling conditions at the emerging wet interface (drag out?) is unaddressed.
- Thus, the model is expected to <u>under represent</u> the thermal extremes.



cer 20 Sept 2007 ILC Cavity KON





Temperature model has reasonable agreement with measurements.

Instructive for optimization





Jefferson Lab

Load case: 192 Last Iteration/Ster cer 20 Sept 2007 ILC Cavity KOM



Fluid/cavity interface temperature Cavity exterior: Air-cooled boundary condition (6) Static Temperature -Celsius 45.3926 44.4969 43.6012 42.7055 41.8098 40.9141 40.0184 - 39.1227 - 38.227 - 37.3313 - 36.4356 35.5398 · 34.6441 33.7485 32.8527 31.957 31.0613 30.1656 25 C air 29.2699 28.3742 outside cavity 27.478 CFDesign™ Frame. Load case: 192 Last Iteration/Step cer 20 Sept 2007 ILC Cavity KOM Jefferson Lab





Electrocnemical impedance **Spectroscopy** There is a signature difference in EIS response between rough and smooth surfaces. Potentially useful for on-line process feedback





Scale-Dependant Surface Roughness Measurements

- Surface roughness (R_a or R_q) is scale dependant
- Beware of measurement details



Scale-Dependant Surface Roughness Measurements

PSD (Power Spectral Density) has been designated as the preferred quantity for specifying surface roughness.

Draft ISO 10110 Part 8: Surface Texture

PSD is the ensemble average of the square of the Fourier spectrum of height measurements on a surface.









PSD & EIS – Path to Technical Spec and Process Feedback?

We are presently measuring how the PSD of a niobium surface evolves with incremental BCP and EP steps.

Also, we are examining how the PSD smoothing function varies with electrolyte temperature and flow conditions and their EIS signatures.

(Funding for one technician would more than double the rate of progress.)





Integrated EP R&D

JLab EP Objectives:

- Quantify the scale-dependant smoothing effects of niobium EP as a function of <u>temperature</u>, <u>electrolyte composition</u>, and <u>flow</u> <u>conditions</u>.
- Build low-level <u>electrochemical understanding</u> to better appreciate conditions that contribute to smoothing.
- Identify significant departures from optimal in current 9-cell conditions e.g. *temperature control.*
- Seek to identify useful <u>on-line process monitors</u> for process assurance and progress tracking – EIS ?
- Guide the evolution of cavity treatment protocols toward <u>well</u> <u>engineered and controlled conditions</u> for single-cells, 7-cell, and 9-cell cavities for CEBAF, ILC, and cw ERLs.
 - \Rightarrow Provide external cooling to define the process temperature.
 - \Rightarrow Identify voltage/temperature/time cycle that reproducibly yields quantitatively specified surface.
- Not far away: demonstrate the <u>next-generation EP processing</u> <u>system</u> with integrated HPR and drying, within an established production facility; suitable for economical replication by industry.





EP R&D Collaborators

Hui Tian: Graduate student, College of William and Mary, Applied Science Department (supported by ILC University-base R&D grant)
Prof. Michael Kelley: College of William and Mary, Virginia Tech, and half-time JLab
Prof. Sean Corcoran: Virginia Tech – electrochemist

Guilhem Ribeill: DOE SULI summer student, NC State

Jun Ortega: Blue Ridge Numerics Inc., CFDesign[™] consultant John Mammosser: initiated the modeling effort (now SNS)

JLab: Charles Reece, Rong-Li Geng, Curtis Crawford, Andy Wu (BEP), Larry Phillips





General Cavity Processing Motivation

• ILC

- Chemical processing
 - We use EP to produce a "smooth" Nb surface.
 - What smoothness, where, matters?
 - High *H*-field regions are clearly most sensitive
 - RF currents run in top few hundred nm
 - What scale of topology matters near H_{c1} ?
 - What is the tolerance window in EP process parameters which will necessarily produce the required smoothness on the appropriate scale?
 - How can those EP conditions be confidently provided to 9-cell ILC cavities?

We must answer these questions to reach a defined protocol which always produces the same result, cer 20 Sept 2007 ILC Cavity KOM



General Cavity Processing Motivation

• ILC

- Contamination control
 - "Create" surfaces that are free of foreign material
 - Are we really doing this with active chemistry?
 - "Clean" surfaces that may be contaminated
 - "HPR with ultra-pure water for a long time"
 - What is necessary? How to <u>quantitatively</u> assess optimization and alternatives?
 - Avoid creating new contaminants
 - How to <u>quantitatively</u> evaluate what environment, handling and assembly techniques are required?

Need a set of protocols which assure the absence of field-emitting contaminants from the beamline cer 20 Sept 2007 ILC Cavity KOM

Field Emission QA

Objectives:

- Need a convenient, i.e. no expert required, field emission QA system
 - State-of-the-art sensitivity is not required
 - 40 MV/m is good start (40 kV @ 1 mm)
 - Run by production techs to get prompt feedback
- Need a set of "standard FE contaminants" with which to assess our cleaning techniques
 - Get out of the "chasing rare events" cleaning mode
 - Learn how to remove "all" contaminants when the starting state is ×10⁴ worse than a cavity
 - E.g. 2-5 µm AI, stainless steel, sulfur power
 - Validate cleanroom protocols by challenge tests





Field Emission Imaging QA Tool: FEViewer

We are now commissioning a new sample screening system to provide <u>field emitting contamination quality control</u> feedback for processing fluids, cleaning and assembly techniques.

 JLab has constructed an automated system for rapid screening of samples for field emission sources to > 40 MV/m

• Anticipated sample analysis rate: <u>4 per 2hr cycle</u>



Imaging Analysis Can Reveal various FE Sources



System view



Nb sample and its field emission hotspots (>2.5 fA/ μ m²) shown in red circles



3D view of field emission imaging, with (x,y) as position and z-axis as grayscale, which represents field emission intensity



Button sample with controlled surface/materials

Jefferson Lab



FE imaging pattern from a hemispherical sample suggests novel emitter features (on-going research)

A-M Valente-Feliciano Xin Zhao

Nb Surface Oxide Study with Variable Energy XPS

The significance of attained oxides on bulk niobium surfaces relative to ultimate performance has been an active question.

- JLab has a collaboration with W&M, Boston Univ., and NCSU faculty and graduate students to study the character of oxides on variously prepared Nb surfaces.
- We have examined the oxide valance with depth for polycrystalline and single-crystal material prepared by BCP, EP, and a range of subsequent baking conditions. <u>Depth</u> <u>sensitivity derives from the variable photon energy</u> available from the X1B line of NSLS, where we are partners.





Plasma Etching of Niobium Surfaces

M. Rašković¹, L. Vušković¹, S. Popović¹, L. Phillips², A.-M. Valente-Feliciano², S. B. Radovanov³, and L. Godet³

¹ Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA
 ² SRF Institute, Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA
 ³ Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates, Gloucester, MA





Single cell SRF cavity discharge system



Marjia Raštović, ODU

General Cavity Processing Motivation

• ILC

(reprise)

- Contamination control
 - "Create" surfaces that are free of foreign material
 - Are we really doing this with active chemistry?
 - "Clean" surfaces that may be contaminated
 - "HPR with ultra-pure water for a long time"
 - What is necessary? How to <u>quantitatively</u> assess optimization and alternatives?
 - Avoid creating new contaminants
 - How to <u>quantitatively</u> evaluate what environment, handling and assembly techniques are required?

Need a set of protocols which assure the absence of field-emitting contaminants from the beamline cer 20 Sept 2007 ILC Cavity KOM

Integrated EP R&D

(reprise)

JLab EP Objectives:

- Quantify the scale-dependant smoothing effects of niobium EP as a function of <u>temperature</u>, <u>electrolyte composition</u>, and <u>flow</u> <u>conditions</u>.
- Build low-level <u>electrochemical understanding</u> to better appreciate conditions that contribute to smoothing.
- Identify significant departures from optimal in current 9-cell conditions e.g. *temperature control.*
- Seek to identify useful <u>on-line process monitors</u> for process assurance and progress tracking – EIS ?
- Guide the evolution of cavity treatment protocols toward <u>well</u> <u>engineered and controlled conditions</u> for single-cells, 7-cell, and 9-cell cavities for CEBAF, ILC, and cw ERLs.
 - \Rightarrow Provide external cooling to define the process temperature.
 - \Rightarrow Identify voltage/temperature/time cycle that reproducibly yields quantitatively specified surface profile.
- Not far away: demonstrate the <u>next-generation EP processing</u> <u>system</u> with integrated HPR and drying, within an established production facility; suitable for economical replication by industry.



