

#### IR Magnets and Cryo' System Optimization for Push-Pull

**Brett Parker** 

BDS KOM, SLAC 12.10.2007



- Review essential features of the 14 mr magnet/cryo' design.
- Identify some magnet & cryogenic performance/cost drivers.
- Outline present understanding of a few areas where further optimization is likely to be most fruitful (requirements/constraints).
- Highlight some specific cryogenic issues/options.
- Briefly review the ongoing 14 mr IR magnet work.

## 14 mr Compact Superconducting Magnets

**QD0** Cryostat design for  $L^* = 4.5$  m.



3

60.0

QD0 Coil

\_ayout

20.0

30.0

40.0



12.10.2007



#### Design Constraints: Push-pull plus opening the detector door 2 m for access & compatibility with radiation shielding.



QD0-Service Cryostat connection line has to permit 2 m opening by door but vertical section must not point directly to incoming/outgoing beamlines. Make the current lead, instrumentation, process gas, vacuum line, etc. connections outside to minimize penetration of pacman.

(Mirror cryogenic layout for two experiments)

12.10.2007

### For Push-Pull, many seemingly small details can impact technical system access, crane coverage and even hall size.



#### Cryogenic Considerations & Push-Pull



For rapid push-pull we need to move the magnets "cold." This implies some type of extended flexible umbilical connection that may or may/not be shared with the detector. It is a simple matter to de-energize the IR magnets; arguments exist both ways for powering down the detector solenoid or not.

HERA-II IR magnets took 6-8 hours to warm up and again to cool down to 4.35 K. But ILC magnets, transfer line and service cryostat must fill with 1.9 K He-II (requires even more time). Our ILC case could easily take more than 1 day.





For **sizing the connection** between QD0 and the service cryostat we take the maximum 1.9K heat load to be 15 watts (14 static + 1 dynamic). Note that QD0 is conduction cooled and when the area for He-II gets very small then small changes in parameters, such as the size of the cable bundle, can make a big difference in performance and cool down time.

By adopting a 1 watt budget for dynamic heat load we had better be sure to consider all possible energy deposition scenarios (beam tuning, upsets, wakefield heating etc.).

To date design parameters (line size etc.) are driven by desire not to take up too much space in the detector.

### Flexible Cryogenic Line Technology

Use flexible chain support and constrained semiflexible transfer lines, in a controlled way, to enable linear motion of cryogenic components.

Ruggero Pengo, Status of the cryogenics project (LAr, He, N2) at Glasgow Meeting, July 10<sup>th</sup>, 2007





- ILC push-pull needs an even larger range of motion.
- Note that total cryogenic path length is several times longer than the range of motion.

12.10.2007

### Flexible Cryogenic Line Technology



12.10.2007



Systems coded the same color <u>may</u> be operated in common.



#### Systems not the same color <u>must</u> be operated independently\*.

\*Here independent means a given system can be warmed up/cooled down without impacting another system.

12.10.2007

#### IRENG'07 WG-B, Cryogenics Summary\*

- Each detector will need sufficient LHe storage (ala CMS) that can be gravity driven to cool the detector solenoid during a controlled ramp down to avoid quenches in the event of a total refrigeration failure.
- Warm helium compressors located on the surface & Cold Box(es) in IR Hall.
- Periodic use of LN2 as part of the refrigeration cycle is expected.
- At present level of detail, cryogenic requirements are basically independent of detector choice. (e.g. no LAr, no He-II detector solenoids).
- Moving detectors while cold certainly possible with proper design & planning.
- In order to move forward on the number and size of refrigerators, more detailed studies needed, 2 or more working groups should be established.

\*"Overall agreements on IR cryogenics system and how to move further," workshop summary presented by John Weisend II, URL: http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/materialDisplay.py?contribId=89&sessionId=17&materialId=slides&confId=2169

12.10.2007

#### QD0 support is a bit "up in the air."



After a push-pull detector swap we assume rough alignment is good at the millimeter level, but we want to get the magnet centers back closer than this to an "ideal" position to minimize luminosity retuning. Maybe 10-100µm (TBD)?

pitch & roll? BeamCal Space for cables 500 QD0 LumiCa Space for Vacuum Pump Graphite electronics/connectors Shielding Tube cooling **Responsibility for support design/movers?** 

12.10.2007

# QD0 Prototype Ongoing ART R&D at BNL

Original "NLC Days" center winding support

Present winding machine is fitted with general purpose machine controlled guide supports





Make and test prototype with QD0 Cryostat above & Service Cryostat below in a pit to match detector configuration.

12.10.2007



- FY07-FY10 Plan Summary:
  - Design & build tooling for full length, small diameter direct-wind coils
  - Build & vertically cold test full length QD0 / SD0 prototype coil assembly (verifies quench & field characteristics)
  - Design & build ILC Insertion Region helium vessel & cryostat
    - Configured for later inclusion of all final focus and extraction line elements
    - Build a mechanical model first, to validate vibration msmt system
  - Design and build a sub-cooled helium heat exchanger & lead vessel
  - Install QD0/SD0 prototype, other coil "structures" into cryostat, and perform preliminary horizontal cold test:
    - Validate coil performance using actual accelerator hardware
    - Validate heat exchanger performance
  - Complete the IP design / magnet integration work
  - Iterate accelerator magnet design based on testing, optics, etc.
  - Perform coil quench threshold tests
  - Continue vibration studies

12.10.2007



- Anti-Solenoid Integrated into QD0 Magnet
  - Saves ~\$X M production costs
  - Negligible increase to QD0 costs (due to other savings)
  - Easier integration with Detectors
- Design matured significantly
  - Transfer line, service cryostat location configured smartly for push-pull
  - Service cryostat revised for anti-solenoid, location, cryo' infrastructure
- Major Milestones retained despite scope creep, budget cuts
  - QD0 coil cold test in FY08
  - SDO (+ QDO) coil cold test in FY09
  - QD0 cryostatted magnet horizontal cold test in FY10
  - Engineering Design complete in FY09
  - Vibration studies complete in FY10
- Funding at levels needed essential to success