## Background studies On ATF2

## Hayg GULER LLR-Ecole Polytechnique, France

ATF2 Meeting, October 15<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup> 2007

## From HALO to Background

 Halo Measurements @ATF -2005 → Suehara-san  $-2006 \rightarrow$  Lawrence Deacon BDSIM-Simulation and input beam HALO – Flat distribution HALO - Losses/background extraction - Background vs signal Conclusions, Open points

## Background sources



## Beam Core/Halo

The current theories calculate the rms emittance growth. The rms value is									
a useful quantity	THE CORE EMITTANCE WITH	ribution-but intra-							
beam scattering	INTRABEAM SCATTERING IN e <sup>+</sup> /e <sup>-</sup> RINGS*	mb scattering has a							
non-Gaussian dis	T. O. Raubenheimer	n due to the scatter-							
ing will have a r	Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94809	n have a significant							
contribution to the rms width; the core is due to the multiple soft scattering,									
while the tails arise from the infrequent hard scatterings.									

#### Particle Process: Intra Beam Scattering ♦ "Tail cut" criteria: - Exclude rare scatterings: i.e. small impact parameter with rate smaller than damping rate. - Consider only particles in the Gaussian core. 10<sup>0</sup> (b) $10^{-1}$ $10^{-2}$ Non corrected Gaussian $10^{-3}$ MC simulation ( $\sim 1/x3$ ) 10-4 corrected distribution 10<sup>-5</sup> 0 8 4 Hayg GULER - Meeting ATF2 5

 $X(\sigma_{x})$ 

7202A1

### Beam Halo (measurement in 2005)

#### • $10^7$ events outside $10\sigma$ (Suehara-san)



## Beam Halo Measurement (2)

Lawrence Deacon, Pavel Karataev, Grahame Blair, RHUL ATF2 meeting, KEK, May 9th 2007

Halo measured using wire scans
Fitted to a function
Fraction of beam in halo estimated
Halo width versus core width

## Beam Halo Measurement (2)

Fit : sum of two Gaussians and a linear function



Hayg GULER - Meeting ATF2

## Background photons



## At the final doublet



## HALO propagation $\rightarrow$ BDSIM

BDSIM : Beamline Simulation Toolkit based on GEANT4 Possibility to generate and track secondary particles Possibility to include Mokka-type (complicated) geometry  $\rightarrow$  from database

### Signal Photons (From Shintake monitor group)

Category	Parameter	Value	Unit	Reference	Dependence	comments
Compton cross section				SLAC-PUB-8012		1
	Electron energy	0.0013	TeV		Complicate(Large -> Small)	(
	Laser photon energy	2.3000	eV		Almost inverse	
	X of Compton scattering	0.02287350				(
	Thomson cross section	6.65	x10^-25[cm2]			/
	Compton cross section	6.50228558	x10^-25[cm2]			
Photon density						
	Laser bunch energy	200	mJ		Linear	100+100mJ
	Electron charge	1.60E-19	С			/
	Bunch population	5.43478E+17	Photons			/
	Pulse length	5.5	ns		Inverse	1 sigma, Gaussian
	Beam radius	15	um		Inverse	1 sigma, Gaussian
	Light speed	3.00E+08	m/s			/
	Pi	3.14159				
	Bunch volume (Gaussian eff)	5.85E-09	m3			
	Bunch volume (z integrated)	1.56E-04	m2		Gaussian beam	
	Photon density (z integrated)	3.49E+21	photons/m2			
Scattered photons						
	Electron bunch population	1.00E+10	Electrons		Linear	
	Scattered photons(ave.)	2272				
	Scattered photons(max.)	4545				
				T		
				lambda	1.064	
				w0	3.2	
				f	458.2	
October 15th	<u>17th,</u>			W	48.49502959	
2007		Hayg GU	LER - Meeti	ing ATF2		12

## Flat Halo Study

#### How large will be the HALO ?

- Gaussian HALO not realistic because drop too rapidly
- Ideally a HALO would have a 1/x<sup>3</sup> 1/x<sup>5</sup> tails
- Simulate how a flat tail would generate background
  - ◆ 50 $\sigma$  to 200 $\sigma$  Beam HALO @ the entrance of the FF → use 100% background

 Use BDSIM to simulate the beam transportation on the FF line part

# Beam Losses and Secondary generation



 Losses versus z

 50σ Flat HALO (4mm)
 200σ Flat HALO (16mm)

 @200σ, losses are more important specially @small-z

## Background photons energy





#### 5k e- Generated

 Bunch population : 2x10<sup>10</sup>
 Beam Core Electrons and about 0.1-1 % e- in the HALO (2x10<sup>7</sup> to 2x10<sup>8</sup> e-)

Background Photons inside 1m Background Photons inside 10cm

#### Inside a cylinder around the IP:

- Less than  $4.0 \ 10^6$  photons inside 1m
- Less than 4.0 10<sup>5</sup> photons inside 10 cm
- More events at large-d from zaxis for 200σ Halo beam than 50 σ Halo beam

ER - Meeting ATF2

### **Conclusion-Next steps**

 ◆ Background estimation @ IP → Number of photons not "too large"

- Try more "realistic" HALO distribution in order to better estimate the number of background photons around the IP (measurements in Nov. 2007 ?)
- Use collimators to eliminate background photons
- Study backscattered photon from the DUMP



## Compare input size to the one at QF1



### Compare $9\sigma$ to ( $3\sigma$ +Linear) HALO

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Reconstructed particles energy 9σ Halo

- beam pipe radius = 4 cm
- beam pipe thickness = 1.6 mm
- 10000 generated electrons
- Energy = 1.3 GeV (dE = 0.1%)
- Generated using BDSIM using a Placet generated Guineapig type distribution file Beam Halo : 9σ (40 % events )

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Energy Loss for a $9\sigma$ HALO

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

October 15th-17th, 2007

Hayg GULER - Meeting ATF2

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

25

30

?5

40

45

z

## Background population (FF) 10<sup>4</sup> e<sup>-</sup> generated with 9σ HALO (E>1MeV)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Background population (FF) 10<sup>4</sup> e<sup>-</sup> generated with 9σ HALO (E>1MeV)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Background photons energy

9σ Halo

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

 70k e<sup>-</sup> Generated
 Bunch population : 2.0<sup>10</sup> Beam Core Electrons

Background Photons inside 1m Background Photons inside 10cm Background Photons inside 1cm

Inside 1m around the IP:
 - 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> photons (0.1-1% HALO)
 Inside 10cm around the IP : 10 times less