DFS Studies on the Main Linac with Rnd-walk-like motion (preliminary)

Accelerator Physics Meeting
02 october 2007

Freddy Poirier

Dynamic Impact of Global Correction

- Pac07 paper (Eurotev-report-2007-020):
  - On tolerances

Shown:

results of ATL ground motion after time T

Within this model, the girders (support of cryomod.) are vertically moved.

A was chosen to be 4×10-18 m.s-1 (so called quiet site). At every point a perfect one-to-one steering correction was applied to the model and the BPM resolution was set to a perfect resolution (0 mm).

The linac is straight and wakefields are included.



Global correction → increase the long-term stability of the emittance with diffusive ground motion

DFS after ATL (preliminary)

- **Start with a misaligned linac**
  - Std errors on elements
  - 68 Rnd Seeds
- **Apply DFS (DMS)**
  - Weight fixed
  - Energy modification strategy:
    - -20% gradient
    - -20% initial beam
  - Segmentation (40 quad, 20 overlap)
  - Final (energy corrected) mean Emittance = ~24 nm
- **Apply random walk** (ATL) A=4 10^-18 m/s
- Then **apply DMS algorythm**
  - Found that the time scale over which the DMS was applied do give good results: DMS works. (energy correlation removed).
- *This is probably because the additional errors are small compared to the initial uncorrelated random errors:*
  - *Betatron wavelength sets the scale* λ_β ~ 200m*:*
  - $\sigma^2 \sim (4\times10^{-18})\times10^6\times200 \Rightarrow \sigma \sim 28\ \mu m$



Emittance value stable over studied time scale.

Correction throughout this study: Energy correlation numerically removed

DMS: Dispersion Matched Steering

Rnd-walk-like correlation

- Apply random misalignment with a random-walk-like correlation, where the variance of the differential offset between two adjacent points is proportional to the distance between them:

$$\sigma^2 = C\,L$$

  - In order to achieve a total of a ~1cm RMS offset at the end of the linac, we have
    c = 1 cm^2 / 10 km ~ 10^{-8} m

- Strategy is as follow:
  - Misaligned elements (std errors)
  - Apply rnd walk
  - Apply DMS

- Check out the final emittance at the end of linac.

Offset at the end of linac:



RMS= 7.6 mm at end of linac

C= 6 10^-9 m.

Rnd-walk-like Result

Mean Vertical Emittance (100 (68) seeds)

Curved machine, with wakefields.

Misalignment errors has been applied prior to this study

Apply CL model

Apply DMS

Fixed weight Wdiff=40



*From M.Schloesser (DESY) → 0.5mm/km + 2mm

*From C.Adolphsen (wiki) → 2mm/km global (but old value), C= 4 10^-9m

*From RDR (ML p.234, 1sigma tolerance) → 200 um/200m, C= 2 10^-10m

***From ILC/GDE meeting at DESY → 200 um / 600m, C= 6.7 10^-11 m**

Present discussion between the metrology people and the physics accelerator group.

Impact of Wakes

- Tesla wakefield in use here.
- C=3.10^-7 m (High value)
- Mean corrected Emittance w/wo wakes:

$$\left\langle \varepsilon_{yc} \right\rangle_{with\ wake} = \sim 240\ \ nm$$

$$\left\langle \varepsilon_{yc} \right\rangle_{no\ wake} = \sim 24\ nm$$

The main reason of the emittance increase is coming from the wakefield (note: cavities moved away of the curved beamline)

Weight Effect

- Weight Effect
  - In previous studies W_diff=40
  - Used in benchmarking,
  - Found to be in a stable region to minimize the final emittance.
- Region of stability of W_diff is reduced



$$\chi^2 = \frac{\Delta y(\delta).\Delta y(\delta)}{W_{\text{diff}}^2} + \frac{y(0).y(0)}{W_{abs}^2}$$

BPM resolution=5um (no scale error)

Conclusion

- Conclusion
  - With the simple CL model, 200um/600m no significant impact on the corrected emittance.
  - Though the impact of a random-walk-like correlation could be non negligeable if alignment was worse. Here also the choice of a wrong weight could make things worse.
  - The results are highly depends on the values of the alignments (need to be precise on what we mean)
- More work:
  - More seeds
  - Rnd-walk-like CL model too simple?
  - Binning effect? Iterations?