Upgrade of the ATF Damping Ring BPMs & Beam Tests

Manfred Wendt Fermilab for the ATF DR BPM Upgrade Collaboration

ATF DR BPM Collaboration





KEK

ilc

- Nobuhiro Teranuma
- Junji Urakawa

SLAC

- Doug McCormick
- Joe Fisch
- Justin May
- Janice Nelson
- Andrei Seryi
- Tonee Smith
- Mark Woodley

Fermilab

- Charlie Briegel
- Nathan Eddy
- Eliana Gianfelice
- Bill Haynes
- Peter Prieto
- Dennis Nicklaus
- Ron Rechenmacher
- Duane Voy
- Manfred Wendt
- ...and many others!



The ATF Damping Ring



Machine and Beam Parameters beam energy E = 1.28 GeVbeam intensity, single bunch $\approx -1.6 \text{ nC} \equiv 10^{10} \text{ e}^{-} (\equiv I_{\text{bunch}} \approx 3.46 \text{ mA})$ beam intensity, multibunch (20) $\approx \sim 22.4 \text{ nC} \equiv 20 \text{ x} 0.7 \text{ 10}^{10} \text{ e}^{-} (\equiv I_{\text{beam}} \approx 48.5 \text{ mA})$ accelerating frequency f_{RF} = 714 MHz revolution frequency $f_{rev} = f_{RF} / 330 = 2.1636 \text{ MHz} (\equiv t_{rev} = 462.18 \text{ ns})$ bunch spacing $t_{\text{bunch}} = t_{\text{RF}} / 2 = 2.8011 \text{ ns}$ batch spacing $t_{\text{batch}} = t_{\text{rev}} / 3 = 154.06 \text{ ns}$ horizontal betatron tune \approx 15.204 ($\equiv f_h \approx 441 \text{ kHz}$) vertical betatron tune $\approx 8.462 \ (\equiv f_v \approx 1000 \text{ kHz})$ synchrotron tune $\approx 0.0045 \ (\equiv f_s \approx 9.7 \text{ kHz})$ repetition frequency $f_{rep} = 1.56 \text{ Hz} (\equiv t_{rep} = 640 \text{ ms})$ beam time $t_{\text{beam}} = 460.41 \text{ ms} (\equiv \text{turn } \# 996170)$ 1 2 19 20 111 112 129 130 221 222 239 240 1 2 trev

5/27/2008







5/27/2008

ilc











- 2 calibration tones:
 - 714 + ε MHz
 - 714 <mark>–</mark> ε MHz
 - In passband of the downconverter
 - Coupled through the button BPM
 - Alternative: Reflected
 CAL signal
- On-line calibration
 - In presents of beam signals
 - Available only in narrowband mode
 - Using separate
 Graychip channels

ilr

IIL



5/27/2008

CAL System: Dec07 Test





iľ

- Calibration on, datalogger on
- Comparing uncorrected, corrected (coupledthrough), and corrected (reflected)
- Introduce large 3 & 1 dB gain errors.
- Automatic correction compensates the gain error almost completely!!
- Corrected beam position shows a slight increase of the RMS error (to be further studies!).



RMS Coupled Position



RMS Reflected Position





Vert. Beam Position



Vert. Coupled Position



Vert. Reflected Position



Calibration On/Off

- No change in vertical beam position observed!
- No influence on the RMS resolution observed!
- Too much beam motion in the horizontal plane (therefore not shown).



Vert. RMS Beam Position

Sample Vert. RMS Coupled Position



Vert. RMS Reflected Position





- Several "issues" had to be resolved:
 - CIC & FIR digital filter impulse responses to resolve true turn-by-turn data (no "smearing")
 - Timing issues, e.g. channel-to-channel, as well as between BPMs and "houses" (VME crates);



and of course the usual "seam" problem.

- In particular for the kicked beam TBT response tests:
 - Vertical beta at pinger is 0.5 m (12 times smaller than the horizontal one): we had to resort to injection oscillations -> lower resolution.



- Turn-by-Turn data BPM #36 (pinger: On)
- Identifying hor. and vert. tune lines (387 kHz, 1.212 MHz).
- Observed short time, broadband TBT resolution: few µm!
- Observation of "fake" harmonics at n x 10 kHz (not f_s), due to power supply EMI in the analog downconverter unit!





• TBT data at the j^{th} BPM following a single kick in the z-plane $(z \equiv x, y)$:

$$z_{n}^{j} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\beta_{z}^{j}} e^{i\Phi_{z}^{j}} A_{z} e^{iQ_{z}(\theta_{j}+2\pi n)} + c.c.$$

– with

ilr

IIL

 $n \equiv \text{turn number}$, $A_z = |A_z| e^{i\delta_z} \equiv \text{ constant of motion}$

 $\Phi_{z}\equiv\mu_{z}-Q_{z}\theta~~\text{(periodic phase function)}$

• Twiss functions:

$$\beta_{z}^{j} = |Z_{j}(Q_{z})|^{2} / A_{z}^{2} \qquad \mu_{z}^{j} = \arg(Z_{j}) - \delta_{z}$$

 $Z_j(Q_z) \equiv$ Fourier component of z_j

• Amplitude fit:

$$|A_{z}|^{2} = \frac{\sum_{j} 1/\beta_{z}^{0j}}{\sum_{j} 1/|Z_{j}(Q_{z})|^{2}}$$

ic Comparison: Measurements vs. Model



- MAD8 model (M. Woodley, marginal differences wrt. Kuroda SAD model).
- Nearby quadrupole trim coil scan (May 2008).
- TBT Fourier analysis, amplitude by fit to beta measured through trim coil scan (April 2008).





- Triggered at turn #500,000
- ~200 ms position data per shot (1280 narrowband mode BPM measurements).
 - 126 tap box car filter to reject 50 Hz:

•

- ~ 800 nm resolution
- SVD analysis, removing modes with hor./ vert. correlation:
 - ~200 nm resolution







Theoretical:

- ADC SNR: 75 dB
- Process gain: 40.4 dB
- NF 1st gain stage: ~ 1 dB
- CAL tone level: -10 dBm
- Splitter attenuation: 6 dB
- Effective gain: ~ 100 dB
- BPM sensitivity: 240 µm/dB
- Calculated equivalent resolution: ~ 20 nm

CAL tone resolution measurement on BPM #56: ~30 nm(!) equiv. resolution (no beam operation at ATF!, magnets off)

ilr







- Need to resolve known issues (cannot resolved remotely!):
 - Two defect downmix units
 - EMI interference into downmix electronics
- Need a revised plan for BPM upgrade:
 - Total number of BPMs
 - Verify current upgrade concept
 - Detailed cost analysis
- Need funds(!):
 - Travel for hardware repairs and improvements, e.g. synchronize
 VME crates, increase clock rate (32 -> 40 samples/turn), etc.
 - New BPM installations
- Most soft-/firmware activities & beam studies: Remote operation!