Hadron Calorimeter Status (Design, Engineering, R&D, Issues)

Andy White University of Texas at Arlington

SiD Workshop SLAC, January 2008

SiD HCal











HCal Design Requirements

- It must efficiently allow tracking of charged particles through its volume.
- It must have sufficient depth such that any energy loss in the coil, and/or energy measured with degraded resolution (relative to the HCal) in the outer detectors (such as a TCMT) does not significantly impact jet energy resolutions at all jet energies.
- It must have a sufficiently small cell size to allow true separation and association of closely spaced energy clusters with the correct tracks at a level that does not significantly degrade the jet energy resolution.
- It must have a sufficient sampling so as not to significantly degrade the jet energy resolution via the sampling term.
- Its outer radius must limit the cost of the solenoid and muon system to reasonable levels – requiring the radial size of each active layer to be as small as possible.
- It must have sufficient rate capability so as not to lose information, particularly in the forward directions using a change of technology, if necessary.

From SiD HCal Design document, March 2007

SiD HCal Engineering Design



Vic Guarino ANL

Engineering update - see talk by Kurt Krempetz/Wed. am





HCal Design Requirements

- Choice of absorber physics benefits/engineering issues
- Tail-catcher vs. extra HCal depth
- Vary absorber thickness with depth?
- Number of modules lengthwise in barrel?
- Cracks filled/not-filled
- B field? (Spreading out tracks/energy clusters)

HCal Technology Active Medium Selection Criteria

Performance criteria:

- 1) MIP Efficiency/pad
- 2) Hit multiplicity/MIP
- 3) Uniformity of response across active layers
- 4) Need for or ease of calibration
- 5) Recovery time after hit(s)
- 6) Recovery time after a "significant beam event"
- 7) Rate of discharges (gas)
- 8) Track-cluster separability
- 9) PFA jet resolution at a) Z-pole, b) 250, 500, 1000 GeV
- 10) Magnetic field issues signal location offsets in barrel and endcaps (gas)
- 11) Response to neutrons

HCal Technology Selection Criteria

Technology issues:

1) Maturity and previous history

2) Reliability

3) Availability of components (in quantity)

4) Active layer thickness

5) Smallest readout unit size

6) Technical risk of approach

7) Ease of assembly/testing/installation/commissioning (often referred to

as "scalability").

8) Effects of aging on performance

Cost:

1) Overall HCal cost

2) Active layer cost as a percentage of total cost

3) System development costs

4) Costs for assembly and test

SiD HCal - Technology Options

(A) Gas-based

- RPC digital
- GEM digital or analog
- MicroMegas
- (B) Scintillator
 - Analog or semi-digital

(C) Dual readout (see Adam Para's talk in this session)

HCal Technology - RPC

Status and Plans of the RPC-DHCAL Project





José Repond Argonne National Laboratory

SLAC SiD Meeting, SLAC, January 28 – 30, 2008

Quick overview of the project

Active medium

Resistive Plate Chambers operated in avalanche mode

Electronic readout

Based on DCAL chip (64 channel, digital readout)



Complete readout chain contains Pad- and Front-end boards Data concentrators Data collectors Timing and trigger modules

Prototypes

Assembled 9 – layer calorimeter with 2304 readout channel Plan to build 1 m³ physics prototype with 400,000 channels

Measurements

Cosmic Rays Particle beams at FNAL \rightarrow Vertical Slice Test Noise rates Charge injection Long-term studies



Recent activities II: R&D in preparation of construction

Development of simplified pad- and front-end boards

Previous boards

4 and 8 layer boards with blind vias ~\$1000/board

New boards

2/4 and 8 layer boards without blind vias ~\$100/board Boards in hand



If successful might incorporate Data Concentrator on Front-end board

Final design needs final DCAI chips

Larger RPCs for prototype section

Previous chambers

Used in Vertical Slice Test $20 \times 20 \text{ cm}^2$

Production chambers

32 x 96 cm² Glass samples in hand Channels to be delivered in 2 weeks Absolute last developments before construction

Recent activities III: Analysis of Muon Data

Two independent analyses

a) Track segement based

Can be applied to hadronic showers

- b) Track reconstruction based
- \rightarrow Results from both very consistent

Data sample

- Two different RPC designs

Default (2-glass) Exotic (1-glass)

- Various High Voltage settings
- Various Threshold settings
 - \rightarrow About 5,000 10,000 events/setting

Number of chambers in the stack	High Voltage in kV	Threshold in DAC counts	
8	6.2/5.9	30	
		50	
		70	
9	6.3/6.0	30	
		70	
		110	
		150	
		210	
7	6.4/5.8	30	
		50	
		70	
		110	
		150	
		190	
		210	
8	6.5/6/2	30	
		120	
		210	





RPC5 – Has lower efficiency (← grounding problem) RPC1 – Needs lower HV RPC0 – Needs higher HV RPC7 – Exotic design



Threshold in DAC counts



Recent activities IV: Cosmic Ray Data

Run Number	# of events	Empty events	Out of time	More than 1000 hits	Dead cells
460	32539	2939	49	1	12
461	39633	3787	208	0	11
462	37602	3338	13	0	11
463	34473	3191	21	0	11
464	34365	3059	52	0	11
465	35398	3192	54	0	11
466	31253	2885	56	0	11
467	38744	3426	32	0	11
468	35495	3190	21	1	11
469	35731	3172	12	0	11
Total	355233	32179	518	2	11

Run 468:0 Event 31412

Time: 4371500







Error bars on pressure set to 0 for fit

Recent activities V: Analysis of Positron Data

Two independent analyses

- a) Study of energy responseb) Study of longitudinal development
 - \rightarrow Results still very preliminary

Data sample

- Data at 1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 GeV

Monte Carlo simulation

- Needs calibration constants from $\mu\text{-runs}$
- Needs careful implementation of pad multiplcities
- Current comparison based on assumptions and ignoring details



Recent activities VI: Measurement of Noise Rates



Typically 20 – 30 Hz/chamber at a threshold of 30 (default is 110) Two chambers somewhat noisy (100, 500 Hz/chamber)

 \rightarrow Probability of a noise hit in 1 m³ stack P ~ 10⁻²/event

Environmental impact

Noise rate depends on gas flow (accident!) Noise rate (might) depend(s) on p,T,H → Needs detailed studies, will acquire weather station

RPCs are very quiet

Summary of status

Vertical Slice Test

Was very successful Proves concept of DHCAL with RPCs Validates entire electronic readout chain Several publications in the next few months

Further developments since VST

New Pad- and Front-end board designs Study of error modes (almost complete) Detailed long-term studies (ongoing)



Two µ's separated by 1.4 cm

We are ready for the construction of the 1 m³ physics prototype

Under all circumstances



Will complete ongoing studies Will publish results from Vertical Slice Test

Assuming availability of funds

Will complete changes to DCAL chip and will produce chips Will start assembly of large chambers Will complete design of integrated Front-end boards and Data Concentrators Will produce entire readout system

 \rightarrow ready for test beams in early 2009

Assuming some funds are available

Will consider additional iteration of DCAL chip (larger range of Q_{inj}) Will complete design around new DCAL chip and perform all necessary tests

Assuming no funds available

Will consider returning to FNAL test beam with 'perfect' system Will look for other things to do...

HCal Technology R&D - MicroMegas





The chamber

.

•

- 95% Argon, 5% Isobutane
- conversion volume (3mm)
- a top in Stainless Steel with a cathode drift
- The Readout
 - Gassiplex card : 6 gassiplex chips -96 channels Electronics card built for CAST by DAPNIA (P. Colas, Philippe Abbon)
 - VME sequencer and ADC from CAEN
 - CENTAURE acquisition (SUBATECH, Nantes, D.Roy)
 - PCB and bulk from CERN (Rui de Oliveira)
 - 325 LPI mesh
 - spacers : 120 μm heigh
 300 μm diameter

HCal Technology R&D - MicroMegas





Gain 🔰 when Atmospheric Pressure 🐬

HCal Technology R&D - MicroMegas

Designs for a 1m² prototype



HCal Technology R&D - GEM's



Fig. 14 (a) Chemical etching Process of a GEM (b) A GEM foil

A new concept of gas amplification was introduced in 1990 by Scali: the Cas Election multipliet (CEM) [27] manifectured by using dandard printed circuit we technique the electronic and above in Fig. 14(a). Comprising a stift of Say and Sayon Fold. Another field cold with Copput, holes are periorized through (Fig. 15b). The two surfaces are amintrined at a potential gastient than providing the necessary field for electron amplification, as shown in Fig. 15(a), and an avolanche of electrons as in Fig. 13(b).



Fig. 15(a) Electric Field and (b) an avalanche actoss a GEM channel

Cospled with a diff electical above and a readout electical below, it acts as a highly perificizing micropotenu detector. The essential and advantageous fedate of this detector is that applification and detection as decoupled, and the readox is of a zero potential. Perintifier jeuing tasking to as econd amplification device, this opens up the possibility of using a GEM in tandems with an MSOC or a second GEM.









HCal R&D/GEM's - Test beam

Beam tests at FNAL MTBF Spring 2007









GEM-DHCAL/KPiX/DCAL chamber design



FNAL TB 2007







HCal Technology R&D - GEM's

Problems with GEM/KPiX and GEM/DCAL chambers operated in 2007 with test beam/cosmics.

Source of problem most likely the Delrin spacers used between layers.

New chamber built with "fishing line spacers". Works well, but a new problem when operating with the KPiX -> discharges killing the chip.

Discharges associated with anode board – discharge between pads? Chamber operates well with anode board/or with single pad -> discharges not occurring across the GEM foils. Problem of floating pads discharging through pads tied to ground via KPiX FE??

Rebuild KPiX chamber with fishing line spacers





Next: tests at SLAC/discharges,

then back to FNAL test beam for KPiX and DCAL chamber tests.











CERN test beam 2007, moving to FNAL in 2008.

Results from full depth HCal stack as shown at ALCPG07

- 1 cubic metre
- 38 layers, 2cm steel plates
- 7608 tiles with SiPMs
- CALICE electronics and DAQ
- Versatile LED calibration system



- SiPM (MPEPHI/PUSAR)
 - Gain ~10⁶, Eff (green) ~ 15%, quenching R ~ 1 10 M Ω
- SiPM tile fibre system (ITEP)
 - 3x3x0.5 cm³ tiles from UNIPLAST, Russia
 - WLS fibre Kuraray Y11(300) 1mm
 - 2% light xtalk per edge
 - Faces covered with 3M mirror foil

DESY, Hamburg U, ITEP, MEPHI, LPI (Moscow) Northern Illinois LAL, Orsay Prague UK groups



Electron data

in HCal

Felix Sefkow - ALCPG07



Hadron data





Imaging HCal – studies for weighting



>4 MIP >1.8MIP & <4MIP >0.5MIP & <1.8MP

MC





Overlay events - study confusion

Future activities

- Looking forward to FNAL test beam 2008-09
 - Low energy 1-10 GeV
 - Combined analysis with different ECALs
 - Comparison with gaseous HCAL
- Technical Prototype design

Goal: A compact and realistic (i.e. scaleable) scintillator HCAL structure with embedded electronics





FEB

Direct SiPM-Scintillator coupling (NIU)

hist

8448 1326

328.2

Entries

Mean

RMS

3500

4000

3000





Direct SiPM-Scintillator coupling (NIU)



IRL - nearly completed layout

HCal Status - Summary

- Continued development of HCal overall design and technological implementations of the active media.

- HCal engineering activities in U.S. and France
- Active media many choices, many studies!

- All the detector R&D will, with the parallel PFA studies, feed into the eventual decision for the choice of an active medium.

- We need to ensure that we accumulate all the necessary data towards this decision.

- How much of the above do we need to settle for the (delayed) LOI?