

## Klystron Cluster RF Distribution Scheme

Chris Adolphsen Chris Nantista SLAC

## **Baseline Tunnel Layout**

Two 4-5 m diameter tunnels spaced by ~7 m.



# **Klystron Cluster Concept**



Each tap-off from the main waveguide feeds 10 MW through a high power window and probably a circulator or switch to a local PDS for a 3 cryomodule, 26 cavity RF unit (RDR baseline).

- RF power "piped" into accelerator tunnel every 2.5 km
- Service tunnel eliminated
- Electrical and cooling systems simplified
- Concerns: power handling, LLRF control coarseness

#### First Pass at New Tunnel Layout



DRAFT FOR REVIEW

# Waveguide Attenuation

Assume smooth copper plated or aluminum walls:



# **Power Handling**

Scaling by pulse width dependence, we should try to keep surface fields below 10 MV/m in couplers.



SLAC's 5 cell L-band cavity runs stably with surface fields of 20 MV/m with 1 ms pulses - given the higher power of the ILC system, we are even more conservative by choosing a lower surface field limit (i.e. 10 MV/m).

In the waveguide, 350 MW  $\rightarrow \sim 1.9$  MV/m peak, not on wall.

We should be able to keep surface fields below 10 MV/m threshold while coupling in and out 10 MW increments.

#### Overmoded Bend (Two Approaches)

 $TE_{20}^{\square}$ 



General Atomics high power 90° profiled curvature bend in 44.5 mm corrugated waveguide for  $TE_{01}$  mode at 11.424 GHz Each  $TE_{01}$  bend is composed of two circular-to-rectangular mode converters and an overmoded rectangular waveguide bend.

 $\mathsf{TE}_{20}^{\square}$ 

 $TE_{01}^{\circ}$ 

SLAC compact high power 90° bend in 40.6 mm circular waveguide tapered to overmoded rectangular waveguide for  $TE_{01}$  mode at 11.424 GHz

### X-Band Launchers and Tapoffs from NLC/GLC Program



## ILC: Co-Axial Tap Off (CATO)

About 1200 Required – Only Gap Length, Ridge Varies



Design by Chris Nantista

#### **Choice of Diameters**



## **Coaxial Power Division**

With gaps ranging from <3" to  $\sim 8\frac{1}{2}$ ", we can get the full range of couplings needed, from  $\sim 3\%$  up to 50%.

The various coupling designs will differ only in a) gap width and b) matching ridge.

All couplers share a single common design for the wrap-around section.



#### **Complete CATO RF Design**





#### S Matrix

	S:WavePort1:1		S:WavePort1:2		S:WavePort2:1		S:WavePort2:2		S:WavePort3:1	
WavePort1:1	( 0.090946,	151)	0.0012548	-153)	( 0.99585,	-2.31)	( 0.0012453	3, 78.9)	( 0.0035456	), - <b>3</b> 0
WavePort1:2	( 0.0012548,	-153)	0.0014488	-56.6)	( 0.00058577	7, 141)	( 0.96503,	-18.4)	( 0.26213,	22.4]
WavePort2:1	( 0.99585, -2	.31)	0.0005857	7, 141)	( 0.090939,	24.2)	( 0.0011792	2, 5.21)	( 0.0038989	), -63
WavePort2:2	( 0.0012453,	78.9)	0.96503,	-18.4)	( 0.0011792,	5.21)	( 0.067493,	-5.6)	( 0.2533,	-144)
WavePort3:1	( 0.0035456,	-30.9)	0.26213,	22.4)	( 0.0038989,	-63.5)	( 0.2533,	-144)	( 0.93118,	76.6)

coupling: 6.86% (-11.64 dB) return loss & parasitic modes: < -56 dB

#### **CATO Magnetic and Electric Fields**



#### First Launcher and Final Tap-off

The scattering matrix for a lossless 3-port tap-off with coupling C and reference planes chosen to make all elements real (port  $2 \rightarrow S_{22}$  real, port  $1 \rightarrow S_{21}$  real, port  $3 \rightarrow S_{31}$  real) can be written:



Short port 1 at a distance I to reduce to a 2-port coupler and adjust C and I to achieve desired coupling.

$$\begin{split} S_{22} &\to S_{11}^{'} = S_{22} - S_{12}e^{-i2\beta l}S_{21} = 1 - C\left(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}\right) \\ S_{23} &\to S_{12}^{'} = S_{23} - S_{13}e^{-i2\beta l}S_{21} = -\sqrt{C(1 - C)}\left(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}\right) \\ S_{32} &\to S_{21}^{'} = S_{32} - S_{12}e^{-i2\beta l}S_{31} = -\sqrt{C(1 - C)}\left(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}\right) \\ S_{33} &\to S_{22}^{'} = S_{33} - S_{13}e^{-i2\beta l}S_{31} = C\left(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}\right) - e^{-i2\beta l} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{S}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - C(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}) & -\sqrt{C(1 - C)}(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}) \\ -\sqrt{C(1 - C)}(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}) & C(1 + e^{-i2\beta l}) - e^{-i2\beta l} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
$$\beta l = 0, \pi \to \mathbf{S}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2C & -2\sqrt{C(1 - C)} \\ -2\sqrt{C(1 - C)} & 2C - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
$$\to C' = |\mathbf{S}'|^2 - AC(1 - C)$$

$$\beta l = 0, \pi, ... \text{ and } C = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow C' = 1$$

First combiner (launcher) and last tap-off (extractor) are 3 dB units reversed relative to the others and shorted (with proper phase length) at port 1.





## **Concept Development Steps**



Step 3: Use resonant waveguide to build up the stored energy equivalent to 350 MW traveling waves - provides more realistic rf turn-off time if have a breakdown



Step 4: Use resonant ring to test bends and 'final design' tap-in/off



#### Required Power and Coupling (for a 100 m line or 200 m ring)

Round trip loss: 1.8 %

Round trip delay time: 823 ns (vs 800 - 9000 ns shutoff time in ILC)

Stored energy: 288 J

Dissipated power: 6.2 MW = input power to produce 350 MW critically coupled

Critical coupling for the emitted field to cancel the reflected field = -17.5 dB.

 $T_{c} = Q_{L}/\omega = 23.1 \,\mu s$ 

# Comparison to ILC

- For ILC
  - Power in tunnel (P) = Po\*(L z)/L, where z is distance from first feed and L = distance from first to last feed
  - RF shut off time (t) = (zo + z)\*2.25/c where zo is the distance from the cluster to first feed
  - Max of  $P^{t}/Po = 4.1$  us for zo = 100 m, L = 1.25 km
- Power 100 m resonant line or ring (t = 0.82 us) to begin study of breakdown damage
- Would need 100 \* 4.1/.82 = 500 m of pipe (two 250 m rings) and thee 10 MW klystrons to simulate maximum energy absorption (P\*t) of ILC
  - But would be delivered at ~ twice the power in ~ half the time

# LLRF Control

- Use summed vectors from 32\*26 cavities (instead of 26) to control common drive power to the klystrons.
- The increased length adds ~ 9 us delay time to the response, so perturbations cannot be very fast (which should be the case as we will know the beam current before the rf pulse in the ILC).
- Assumes uncorrelated, local energy errors are small
  - Do not see significant correlations in the cavity amplitude jitter in FLASH ACC 4-6 cavities.
  - If needed, could add 1 or 2 fast phase/amplitude controllers to each rf unit (to drive the unmatched cavities in the two 9-cavity cryomodules in the ACD scheme).

#### Fast Amplitude and Phase Control (AFT prototype for FNAL PD)



Rated for 550 kW at 1.3 GHz and has a 30 us response time

### **Klystron Failure**

Available power scales as the square of the fraction of combined klystrons running.

If one fails out of 35, only 33 klystrons worth of RF are delivered; the rest goes to various loads.

The baseline is similar in that beam loading roughly doubles the gradient loss if one klystron is off – in this case however, one can detune the cavities to zero the beam loading if the klystron will be off for an extended period.



## Scheme for Improved Reliability

• Assume 34 klystrons are required to feed 32 RF units with sufficient overhead.

• Combine 36 klystrons per cluster and operate with one off (cold spare) or, more efficiently, operate all at reduced voltage and 94.4% (34/36) nominal klystron power.

• In the event of a klystron failure, turn on the cold spare or turn the voltage and drive up for the remaining 35 klystrons to 100%.

For the cost of 5.9% added klystrons and related hardware and a cost of 2.9% discarded RF power when operating with one nonfunctioning klystron, we can maintain the availability of full power in the event of a single source failure per cluster.

This won't work if two or more fail in a given cluster combination, but that scenario should be rare.

# **Beam-to-RF** Timing

Relative beam (c) to rf (vg) travel times for each feed

$$v_g = \frac{c^2}{v_p} = \sqrt{1 - (k_g / k_0)^2} c = 0.8103 c$$
  
Upstream: 1.25 km × (1/v<sub>g</sub> + 1/c) = 9.32 µs  
Downstream: 1.25 km × (1/v<sub>g</sub> - 1/c) = 0.98 µs

For the upstream feed, the RF-to-beam timing will vary by 9.32  $\mu$ s. Centered, this represents ± 0.8% of nominal fill time.

To first order, the gradient variation this produces along the beam will probably cancel, but 1.25 km may be too long a distance for canceling this systematic error, leading to filamentation.

As a remedy, the cavity  $Q_L$ 's and powers can be tweeked to vary the desired  $t_i$  accordingly. This will be done anyway to deal the gradient spread.

## Summary

Surface klystron clusters can save ~ 300 M\$ (~ 200 M\$ from eliminating service tunnel and ~100 M\$ from simpler power and cooling systems).

The GDE Executive Committee encourages R&D to pursue this idea.

The proposed CATO tap in/off design is likely to be robust breakdown-wise. Have a plan to demonstrate its performance, although with only 1/5 of the worst case ILC stored energy after shutoff.

#### Need to better study:

Waveguide fabrication and tolerances – too large to be drawn, but don't want seams (KEK working with industry on this).

Bend design – mode preserving; low-loss; support 350 MW, 1.6 ms; compact enough for tunnel

Impact on LLRF control, energy spread minimization, & efficiency.

Modifications to accelerator tunnel to accommodate waveguide plus other systems from tunnel systems.