

Simulations of Coupler Kicks.

V. Yakovlev, N. Solyak, A. Lunin, I. Gonin, and A. Latina.

Fermi National Laboratory

19 November, 2008

ILC RF cavity with the HOM and input couplers:



- The couplers break the RF field symmetry and cause transverse RF kick.
- The couplers break the symmetry of the cavity and cause transverse wake field.
- Both RF kick and wake fields may be a reason of a beam emittance dilution.
- DESY* made the first calculations of the RF kick and wake fields. The calculations show that both RF kick and wake fields may be a serious problem that could require the cavity improvement.
- FNAL, SLAC, DESY, and TEMPF completed the calculations**
- *I. Zagorodnov, and M. Dohlus, ILC Workshop, DESY,31 May, 2007.
- **K.L.F. Bane, C. Adolphsen, Z. Li, M. Dohlus, I. Zagorodnov, I. Gonin, A. Lunin, N. Solyak, V. Yakovlev, E. Gjonaj, T. Weiland, EPAC2008, TUPP019.

RF kick

Simple estimations of the transverse fields caused by the main coupler:



RF voltage: $U=(2PZ)^{1/2}$, Z-coax impedance; for P=300 kW and Z≈70 Ohms $U \approx 6$ kV **Transverse kick:** $\Delta p_y \cdot c \approx eE_y \Delta Z \approx eU/D \cdot D/2 = eU/2.$ $\frac{\Delta p_y c}{\Delta U_{acc}} \approx \frac{U}{2U_{acc}} = \frac{6kV}{2 \times 30MV} = 100 \times 10^{-6}$

The RF field calculation precision should be better than 10⁻⁵!

Transverse kick caused by the couplers acts on a bunch the same direction for all the RF cavities of the linac.

Real part may be compensated by the linac feedback system;

Imaginary part dives the beam emittance dilution.

Mesh generation for HFSS for high-precision field calculations near the axis:



Total number of the mesh nodes is up to 500,000

Zoomed mesh near the axis:



Results of the main coupler simulations:



(Strong coupling case)

Axial transverse fields for HOM coupler at different RF phases:



CALCULATION OF RF KICK. UPSTREAM END

$$Vx := \int_{0}^{Zend} \left[(EX(z) - HY(z)) \cdot exp \left[i \cdot \left(\kappa \cdot z - \phi \right) \right] \right] dz$$
$$Vy := \int_{0}^{Zend} \left[(EY(z) + HX(z)) \cdot exp \left[i \cdot \left(\kappa \cdot z - \phi \right) \right] \right] dz$$

Direct integration of fields component (Lorentz force equation)

$$\Delta \vec{V}_{\perp} = i \frac{v}{\omega} \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} (\Delta V_{\rm II})$$

Panofsky-Wenzel (PW) theorem

(in order to cross-check direct calculations only)

	FNAL (direct)	FNAL (PW)	DESY*	SLAC**
$\frac{10^6 \cdot V_x}{V_z}$	-68.8+3.7i	-65.6+7.6i	-57.1+6.6i	-59.5+7.2i
$\frac{10^6 \cdot V_y}{V_z}$	-48.3-3.4i	-53.1-2.1i	-41.4-3.5i	-42.2-3.6i

*I. Zagorodnov, and M. Dohlus, ILC Workshop, DESY,31 May, 2007 **K.L.F. Bane, C. Adolphsen, Z. Li, M. Dohlus, I. Zagorodnov, I. Gonin, A. Lunin, N. Solyak, V. Yakovlev, E. Gjonaj, T. Weiland, EPAC2008, TUPP019.

CALCULATION OF RF KICK. DOWNSTREAM END

			FNAL (direct)		FNAL (PW)	4	DESY	*	SLAC	**
	1	$\frac{0^6 \cdot V_x}{V_z}$	-36.5+	6.5+66.1i -27.3		+67.2i -25.0+		1.5i -28.8-53.0i		53.0i
x - z	1	$\frac{0^{6} \cdot V_{y}}{V_{z}}$	41.0+1	4.5i	40.9+2	12.8i	32.16+	5.2i	37.6+9	9.2i
TOTAL RF KICK:										
	~	FNAL [#] (direct)		FNAL (PW)	<i>#</i>	DESY	/ *	SLA	_ **	
	$\frac{10^6 \cdot V_x}{V_z}$	-105.3+	69.8i	-92.9+	-74.8i	-82.1-	+58.1i	-88.3	-60.2i	
	$\frac{10^{6} \cdot V_{y}}{V_{z}}$	-7.3***	+11.1i	-12.2+	-10.7i	-9.2+1	1.8i	-4.6+	5.6i	

[#]MWS simulations were done as well, and the results are consistent to the result of HFSS simulations.

*I. Zagorodnov, and M. Dohlus, ILC Workshop, DESY,31 May, 2007
**K.L.F. Bane, C. Adolphsen, Z. Li, M. Dohlus, I. Zagorodnov, I. Gonin, A. Lunin, N. Solyak, V. Yakovlev, E. Gjonaj, T. Weiland, EPAC2008, TUPP019.
***Cancellation between upstream and downstream couplers!



Phase shift between electric and magnetic fields along axis.

Red line – Power Coupler only (TW).

Blue line – HOM Downstream Coupler only (SW).

* - position of antenna central axis.

Transverse wakefield.

GdfidL simulations:

➢GdfidL was installed on FNAL 80-node cluster (courtesy to Warner Bruns).

Indirect method for the wake calculations;

Moving mesh and Strang splitting scheme ;

>Cubic mesh is used $(h_x=h_y=h_z);$

For the scheme used in GdfidL one should use $σ_z/h_z$ ≥4-6 in order to achieve convergence ;

The GdfidL Electromagnetic Field simulator*





Horizontal (a) and vertical (b) kick per period after the different number of periods for σ = 0.2 mm (blue), 0.3 mm (red), and 0.6 mm (green).

Note, that $k_x, k_y < 0$ (GdfidL gives not k_{\perp} , but $-k_{\perp}!$).



Horizontal (a) and vertical (b) kick per period versus the mesh size for σ = 0.3 mm (blue), 0.6 mm (red), and 1.0 mm (green).



The wake field wake dependence on the longitudinal coordinate *s* for different mesh size for σ =0.3 mm.

 $dW_y/ds < 0.$



The horizontal (solid blue) and vertical (solid red) kick dependence versus the bunch length σ . Dashed curves show the kick dependences for the upstream coupler rotated by 180° versus the axis.

Kick factor (σ=0.3 mm)	FNAL (GdfidL)	DESY (ECHO*)	TEMPF (PBCI**)
k _x , V/nC	-1.8	-2.7	-2.3
k _v , V/nC	-1.7	-2.3	-2.0

*convergence was not checked. **no information about convergence



 \checkmark The wake form the pipe is shielded by antenna.

What is important for the emittance dilution: Simple model, β = const along the linac.

$$\begin{split} & \frac{dP_{y}}{dt} = F_{focus} + F_{kick}, \\ & F_{kick} = G\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{V_{y}}{V_{z}}e^{iks+i\varphi}\right)\right) + QW_{y}(0,0,s) \approx G\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{V_{y}}{V_{z}}e^{i\varphi}\right)\operatorname{cos}(ks) - \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{V_{y}}{V_{z}}e^{i\varphi}\right)ks\right) \\ & + QW_{y}(0,0,0) + QW_{y}'s \approx \left[G\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{V_{y}}{V_{z}}e^{i\varphi}\right) + QW_{y}(0,0,0)\right] + \left[Q\partial W_{y}/\partial s - k\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{V_{y}}{V_{z}}e^{i\varphi}\right)\right]s \equiv \\ & \equiv F_{0} + sF', \end{split}$$

where *G* is acceleration gradient, V_y/V_z is RF kick, $k=2\pi/\lambda_{RF}$, λ_{RF} is RF wavelength, φ is RF phase, *Q* is the drive bunch charge, W_y is vertical wake potential per unit length, *s* is the distance from the bunch center, $s < \lambda_{RF}$. Note that $\partial W_v/\partial s$ does not depend σ on for small σ .

The first term is responsible for force that acts on the bunch particles the same way, and, thus, may be compensated using the beam alignment technique (if this term is small enough – *A. Latina*).

The second term is responsible for the kick different for the different parts of the bunch and, thus, cannot be compensated.



Emittance change vs. *z* calculated numerically (red) and analytically (blue).

*Noted also by K. Bane.

A new idea of a compact detachable coupler unit* that provides axial symmetry of the RF field and the cavity geometry in the beam channel:



➤The unit provides both required coupling of the operating mode and suppression of the HOMs;

 \succ Simulations show that it is free of multipacting.

*N. Solyak, T. Khabiboulline, 2d ILC Workshop, Snowmass, Aug 14-18, 2005

An idea of a compact detachable quarter wave coupler unit that provides axial symmetry of the RF field and the cavity geometry in the beam channel:



Summary

- The RF kick and coupler wake do not compensate each other.
- The emittance dilution is proportional roughly to the bunch length squared. If it is not a problem for ML, it could be a problem for BC.
- > An alternative axi-symmetrical couplers may be necessary.
- > The authors thank Karl Bane for very fruitful discussions.