



Update on CLIC design and Results from the CLIC Test Facility CTF3

D. Schulte for the CLIC team CERN, Geneva, Switzerland



High Energy Physics after LHC



In 1999 ICFA issued a statement on Linear Colliders, that there would be <u>compelling and unique scientific</u> <u>opportunities at a linear electron-</u> <u>positron collider in the TeV energy</u> <u>range</u>. Such a facility is a necessary complement to the LHC hadron collider now under construction at CERN.



The European strategy for particle physics

Unanimously approved by the CERN Council at the special Session held in Lisbon on 14 July 2006

- 4. In order to be in the position to push the energy and luminosity frontier even further it is vital to strengthen the advanced accelerator R&D programme; a coordinated programme should be intensified, to develop the CLIC technology and high performance magnets for future accelerators, and to play a significant role in the study and development of a high-intensity neutrino facility.
- 5. It is fundamental to complement the results of the LHC with measurements at a linear collider. In the energy range of 0.5 to 1 TeV, the ILC, based on superconducting technology, will provide a unique scientific opportunity at the precision frontier; there should be a strong well-coordinated European activity, including CERN, through the Global Design Effort, for its design and technical preparation towards the construction decision, to be ready for a new assessment by Council around 2010.



CLIC base-line



Electron-Positron Collider

- Centre-of-mass-energy: 3 TeV
- Luminosity in peak: $>2*10^{34}$

Physics motivation:

"Physics at the CLIC Multi-TeV Linear

Collider: report of the CLIC Physics

Working Group,"

CERN report 2004-5

Storage Ring not possible, energy loss $\Delta E \sim E^4$

 \rightarrow two linacs, experiment at centre



• total energy gain in one pass: high acceleration gradient

• beam can only be used once: **small beam dimensions at crossing point**

Boundary conditions: site length Power consumption, cost



CLIC acceleration system



CLIC = Compact Linear Collider (length < 50 km)

CLIC parameters:

Accelerating gradient: 100 MV/m

RF frequency: 12 GHz

64 MW RF power / accelerating structure of 0.233m active length

→ 275 MW/m

total active length for 1.5 TeV: 16'500 m

Pulse length 240 ns, 50 Hz

Acceleration in travelling wave structures:



Efficient RF power production !!!!!



The CLIC Two Beam Scheme



Individual RF power sources ?

→ Not for the 1.5 TeV linacs

Two Beam Scheme:

Drive Beam supplies RF power

- 12 GHz bunch structure
- low energy (2.4 GeV 240 MeV)
- high current (100A)





Bunch charge: 8.4 nC, Current in train: 100 A







Why 100 MV/m and 12 GHz ?



Optimisation: (A.Grudiev et al.)

Structure limits:

- RF breakdown scaling
- RF pulse heating

Beam dynamics:

- emittance preservation wake fields
- Luminosity, bunch population, bunch spacing
- efficiency total power

Figure of merit:

• Luminosity per linac input power

take into account cost model







Accelerating structures



Objective:

- Withstand of 100 MV/m without damage
- breakdown rate $< 10^{-7}$
- Strong damping of HOMs

Technologies:

Brazed disks - milled quadrants



(W. Wünsch)



Collaboration: CERN, KEK, SLAC





Best result so far



High Power test of T18_VG2.4_disk [2]



- Designed at CERN,
- Machined by KEK,
- Brazed and tested at SLAC



	-but)
	There
vddd—	⇒ené⇔)



Special development for CLIC

- Travelling wave structures
- Small R/Q : $2.2 \text{ k}\Omega/\text{m}$ (2 accelerating structures) (accelerating structure: $15-18 \text{ k}\Omega/\text{m}$) 0.21 m active length
- 100 A beam current

136 MW RF @ 240 ns per PETS

total number : 35'703 per linac

Status:

8 Sectors

damped

Advanced design,

on-off possibility

RF power testing at SLAC planned July 08 with beam in CTF3 in autumn 2008







ref: Igor Syratchev





Getting the Luminosity (>2*10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹)



Beam size at Interaction Point (rms) : $\sigma_x = 40$ nm, $\sigma_y = 1$ nm Total site AC power: 322 MW

Issues:

- generating small emittance beams (EUROTeV)
- emittance preservation (EUROTeV)
- alignment and vibration control (EUROTeV)
- final focus (EUROTeV, see Rogelio)

jitter tolerances

	Final Focus quadrupoles	Main beam quadrupoles
Vertical	~0.2 nm > 4 Hz	~1 nm > 1 Hz
Horizontal	2 nm > 4 Hz	5 nm > 1 Hz

Proof-of-principle:

work ongoing,

quadrupole stabilized to < 0.5 nm in vertical plane

Staility of 0.15nm achieved in quiet place (Annecy) EUROTeV 2008 CLIC / CTF3 D. Schulte, CERN



Drive Beam Issues



Generation and efficient acceleration (CTF3)

fully loaded operation

Beam manipulation (CTF3)

delay loop and combiner rings

Beam transport

fast beam-ion instability, resistive wall wakefields (EUROTeV)

Deceleration (EUROTeV, see Erik)

Phase stability (timing reference, see Jonathan)





CLIC Test Facility CTF3



Provide answers for CLIC specific issues → Write CDR in 2010

Two main missions:

Prove CLIC RF power source scheme:

- bunch manipulations, beam stability,
- Drive Beam generation
- 12 GHz extraction

Demonstration of "relevant" linac sub-unit:

• acceleration of test beam

Provide RF power for validation of CLIC components: accelerating structures,

- RF distribution,
- PETS (Power extraction and Transfer

Structure)





Principle: A long high intensity bunch train (1.4 µs) is accelerated with 3 GHz Bunch manipulations increase bunch repetition frequency and increase peak current





Drive Beam generation



successive injection of 4 bunch trains into Combiner Ring







CTF3 - CLIC



CTF3 is scaled down from CLIC:

	CLIC	CTF3
Drive Beam energy	2.4 GeV	150 MeV
compression / frequency multiplication	24 (Delay Loop + 2 Combiner Rings)	8 (Delay Loop + 1 Combiner Ring)
Drive Beam current	4.2 A*24 → 101 A	3.5 A*8 → 28 A
RF Frequency	1 GHz	3 GHz
train length in linac	139 µs	1.5 µs
energy extraction	90 %	~ 50 %

CTF3 uses existing infrastructure from LEP injector:

Building, infrastructure,

3 GHz RF power plant,







CTF3 Installation







Injector and Linac







Full Beam loading





RF power at output of accelerating structure

Linac routinely operated with full beam loading



Bunch Stretcher – Compressor Chicane







Sub-harmonic bunching / phase coding









Switching transient about 7 bunches



Delay Loop



Designed and built by INFN Frascati

circumference 42 m (140 ns) isochronous optics wiggler to tune path length (9 mm range)



1.5 GHz RF deflector







Successful demonstration of Delay Loop operation !





Combiner Ring commissioning



Achieved recombination:

- Linac current lower than nominal
- DL bypassed (no holes, missing factor 2)
- Losses during recombination (instability...)





Accelerating structure testing





100 MW produced at 30 GHz, Transmission via circular TE_{01} line (17 m) with 65 % efficiency

operation for 30 GHz now routine, largely automatic. 24 hour operation EUROTE 12 GHz work:

Collaboration with SLAC and KEK, presently no test facility at CERN

Stand-alone power source in preparation



Klystron with pulse compressor





Probe Beam



Responsibility of IRFU (DAPNIA), CEA, Saclay





Test Beam Line TBL





- High energy-spread beam transport decelerate to 50 % beam energy
- Drive Beam stability
- Stability of RF power extraction total power in 16 PETS: 2.5 GW
- Alignment procedures



PETS development: CIEMAT BPM: IFIC Valencia and UPC Barcelona



2 standard cells, 16 total







CTF3 Collaboration





EUROTeV 2008 CLIC / CTF3 D. Schulte, CERN



Conclusion



Tentative long-term CLIC scenario

Shortest, Success Oriented, Technically Limited Schedule (Jean-Pierre Delahaye)

Technology evaluation and Physics assessment based on LHC results for a possible decision on Linear Collider funding with staged construction starting with the lowest energy required by Physics





Conclusion II



Well advanced programme Consistent parameter set

Technical programme is on track

- Accelerating structure progressing, Proof-of-principle
- CTF3 on schedule

full beam loading

bunch phase coding and Delay Loop operation

First results on recombination on Combiner Ring

Progress is only possible because we have a very prosperous collaboration between 24 international institutes

CLIC / CTF3 collaboration C* C ۲ 6 CLIC 24 collaborating institutes **Oslo University**

Ankara University (Turkey) Berlin Tech. Univ. (Germany) BINP (Russia) CERN CIEMAT (Spain) Finnish Industry (Finland) Gazi Universities (Turkey) IRFU/Saclay (France) Helsinki Institute of Physics (Finland) IAP (Russia) IAP NASU (Ukraine) Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (Spain) INFN / LNF (Italy) J.Adams Institute, (UK) JASRI (Japan) JINR (Russia) JLAB (USA) KEK (Japan) LAL/Orsay (France) LAPP/ESIA (France) LLBL/LBL (USA) NCP (Pakistan) North-West. Univ. Illinois (USA) Oslo University PSI (Switzerland), Polytech. University of Catalonia (Spain) RAL (England) RRCAT-Indore (India) Royal Holloway, Univ. London, (UK) SLAC (USA) Svedberg Laboratory (Sweden) Uppsala University (Sweden)







Emittance





CLIC has two damping rings each for e⁺ and e⁻ output DR: $\gamma \varepsilon_x = 381 / \gamma \varepsilon_y = 4.1$ nm rad for $4.1*10^9$ particles at 2.4 GeV

DR design exists

Wigglers being developed, superconducting and normal conducting versions considered





RF power plant





41