

Helical Undulator Programme

J Rochford T Bradshaw On behalf of the HeLiCal collaboration







Helical Collaboration

RAL:

E. Baynham, T. Bradshaw, J. Rochford (Y Ivanyushenkov – departed) Project Engineering - A Brummit, G Burton, C Dabinett S. Carr, A Lintern

ASTEC: J.A. Clarke, O.B. Malyshev, D.J. Scott, B. Todd, N Ryder, (A Birch – departed)

University of Liverpool : I.R. Bailey, J.B. Dainton, P. Cooke, T. Greenshaw, L. Malysheva

DESY : D.P. Barber

University of Durham : G.A. Moortgat-Pick



Collaboration

Astec

Impedance calculations Wakefield heating Vacuum considerations Specification (plus Liverpool and Durham RAL Cryogenics and Project Engineering

Magnetic modelling Prototyping Mechanical design Manufacture



Joint work

Test programme Data analysis



- •Requirements specification
- •Feasibility what are we able to make ? Design drivers
- •Modelling and Prototypes
- •Module design
- •Testing
- •Assembly of 4m module



Requirements



ILC requirement : To produce a circularly polarised positron beam

High energy electron beam through helical undulator produces polarised photons.

These hit a target which produces a polarised positron beam.



Requirements

•Shorter period – fewer magnets and shorter undulator – undulator could be 100-200m length

- •Shorter period manufacturing difficulties
- •Need to maintain an adequate margin on the superconductor

We needed to assess what could be achieved ..



Electron energy: 150GeV First harmonic photon energy: 10MeV



Magnet modelling

Aim of the modelling was to assess parameters like

- •Conductor aspect ratio
- •Bore diameter
- •Pitch
- •Conductor working margin
- •Would Iron help



Conclusions of modelling If NbTi was used

- 3d iron model-to achieve the required field
- •Iron former and poles were necessary
- •Realistically a minimum11.5mm was achievable
- •With a winding bore of ~6mm
- •Operating at 80% short sample



Mesh distribution in 3d iron model

Peak field in an Air only model



R&D Prototypes

Short prototypes manufactured to assess manufacturing methods



12 Apr 2006 Hall probe#1 at 0 degrees, probe is moving up, wire current 220.1A







R&D Prototypes

Parameter	Prototype 1	Prototype 2	Prototype 3	Prototype 4	Prototype 5	Prototype 5'
Prototype goal	Winding technique verification	Check effect of mechanical tolerances	Prototype with reduced period	Check effect of iron	Prototype with the final period	Quench study with improved impregnation
Length	300 mm	300 mm	300 mm	300 mm	500 mm	500 mm
Former material	Aluminium	Aluminium	Aluminium	Iron	Iron	Iron
Winding period	14 mm	14 mm	12 mm	12 mm	11.5 mm	11.5 mm
Winding bore	6 mm	6 mm	6 mm	6 mm	6.35 mm	6.35 mm
Magnet bore	4 mm	4 mm	4 mm	4.5 mm	5.23 mm	5.23 mm
Superconducting wire	Cu:SC 1.35:1	Cu:SC 1.35:1	Cu:SC 1.35:1	Cu:SC 1.35:1	Cu:SC 0.9:1	Cu:SC 0.9:1
Winding	8-wire ribbon, 8 layers	9-wire ribbon, 8 layers	7-wire ribbon, 8 layers	7-wire ribbon, 8 layers	7-wire ribbon, 8 layers	7-wire ribbon, 8 layers



Specification

Following a pretty extensive **R&D programme** and **modelling study** the following specification was developed :

Electron Drive Beam Energy 150 GeV Photon Energy (1st harmonic cutoff) 10.06 MeV Photon Beam Power 131 kW 11.5 mm Undulator Period Undulator Strength 0.92 Field on Axis 0.86 T **Beam Aperture** 5.85 mm Undulator Length 147 m

This specification defines the shortest period undulator we could reliably build as a prototype.



Design 4m module

With the magnets defined, the design of a stand alone prototype section for the ILC was started.

The goal was to have 2 x 2m undulator sections in each module

Period 11.5mm



Simplified View of 4m Module



2m magnet sections

Undulator Period Undulator Strength Field on Axis Beam Aperture Undulator Length 11.5 mm 0.92 0.86 T 5.85 mm 147 m





Coil winding



2m magnet sections

Following winding each coil is potted And end connections





Iron yoke

R&D and modelling has shown that it is not possible to deliver a realistic operating margin without the addition of iron poles

40% of the field generated by the iron poles

Similarly by including a return yoke adds ~ 10% to the margin







Test Programme

Field maps along the length of the undulator

•Mapping Br along the axis

•At 4 points around the azimuth; 0, 90, 180 & 270 degrees

- •At magnet ends (200mm along Z) carried out more detailed maps at 45^o intervals around the azimuth
- •All readings were repeated at least twice with different hall probes to check repeatability of the logging system
- •Also carried out a Quench data study



Fourier Analysis



Plot on a semi-logarithmic scale – sinewave is very pure

Results are repeatable

Conclusion good undulator with a pitch of -11.492mm !



Corrected x and y data

Trajectories

Colours refer to different probe orientations



The particle trajectories were calculated from the measured fields in SPECTRA

Corrections made for the Hall probe offsets

Trajectories are well within expectations !



Quench Data

Quench Data for both Magnets

Quench behavior of 4m module magnets



Both magnets can deliver nominal field with a healthy margin!



4m Module

4 meter module assembly



Magnet cold mass Mag 2 connection



alignment





Turret assembly



4m Module

4m module assembly

The 4m module was closed 2 weeks ago !



•All leak tests of vacuum and helium vessels were good

However have problems with a current lead shortAlso had problems with the cryo cooler

Sumitomo engineer has just visited on TuesdaySwitched over the cold head

•Cooler is now running and the magnet is cooling



4m Module

4m module testing

Currently we are well into the first cool down !

The 2nd stage of the cooler is at 6K The 1st stage of the cooler is at ~80K The magnets are at 100-150K Pressure in the helium vessel is ~1b Liquid He delivery on Friday ready to fill magnet Proposed tests once cold

Initial tests

check of logging-instrumentation Electrical checks on solenoid Functional test of cryocooler

Magnet test

Power magnet 1, check C-leads. Power magnet 2, check C-leads. Power both magnets

Longer term stability

Run both magnets 24 hrs – check stability Run both magnets several days, logging system







Current Status

Magnet sections

Both 2m sections have been manufactured and tested at 4K

Both magnets exhibited some training but both have met the field requirement

Vacuum Vessel and Helium Bath

The 2m sections have been incorporated into the vacuum vessel.

The vessel is complete and is now being tested.

Currently the magnet is under vacuum and we have begun testing.

Currently finalising the configuration of the instrumentation system.

After some initial problems we are making good progress with the initial cool down



END