



Laser Wire: :LBPM

G A Blair EUROTeV Scientific Workshop, Uppsala 27th August 2008

- Introduction
- Emittance extraction
- Experimental programme
- Laser R&D
- Summary





Laser-wire People

BESSY: T. Kamps

CERN: I. Agapov

DESY : E. Elsen, V. Gharibyan, H. C. Lewin, F. Poirier, S. Schreiber, K. Wittenburg, K. Balewski

JAI@Oxford: B. Foster, N. Delerue, L. Corner, D. Howell, L. Nevay, M. Newman, R. Senanayake, R. Walczak

JAI@RHUL: A. Aryshev, G. Blair, S. Boogert, G. Boorman, A. Bosco, L. Deacon, P. Karataev, S. Malton, M. Price,

KEK:, H. Hayano, K. Kubo, N. Terunuma, J. Urakawa

SLAC: A. Brachmann, J. Frisch, M. Woodley

FNAL: M. Ross

Laserwire



3



FIGURE 2.7-2. BDS layout showing functional subsystems, starting from the linac exit; X – horizontal position of elements, Z – distance measured from the IP.

Laser wire : Measurement precision Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 10, 112801 (2007) I. Agapov, G. B., M. Woodley I. Agapov, G. B., M. Woodley The Goal: Beam Matrix Reconstruction

50

0.085

0.08

10-

0.00

160

140

120

100

60

40

20

0.055

Z 80

rel. error 3.7%

0.06

0.065

0.07

vertical emittance (µm µrad)

0.075

20

measurement error (%)

30

40

NOTE: Rapid improvement with better σ_v resolution

Reconstructed emittance of one ILC train using 5% error on σ_v

Assumes a 4d diagnostics section With 50% random mismatch of initial optical functions

The true emittance is 0.079 μ m μ rad

Compton Statistics

$$N_{\text{Detected}} = 1212\xi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_m}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\Delta_y}{\sigma_m}\right]^2\right)$$

Approximate – should use full overlap integral (as done below...)



Eurotev report 2008-027

LW Experimental Programme:

PETRAII

ATF2

PETRAIII



PETRA LW

- Routine scans of two-dimensions were achieved
- PETRAII programme now finished; preparing for PETRAIII
- Fast scanning system with 130kHz laser at RHUL underway
- Collaborating with DESY on fast DAQ
- Look forward to installation in new location for PETRAIII this year



Laser Profile



realtime

2.00 ns/div

Laser Pointing Jitter



Translates into a 1.6 μ m jitter at the LW IP for Both horizontal and vertical scans

PETRAII Results: Vertical



PETRAII Results: Horizontal



LW in the ATF Extraction Line



ATF Parameters

Beam energy :

Beam intensity single bunch operation :

multi bunch operation :

Beam reputation :

X emittance (extrapolated to 0 intensity) :

Y emittance (extrapolated to 0 intensity) :

Typical beam size :

1.28 GeV

1.0x10¹⁰ electrons/bunch

0.7x10¹⁰ electrons/bunch x 20 bunch

0.7 - 6.4 Hz

1.0x10⁻⁹rad.m (at 1.28GeV)

1.0x10⁻¹¹ rad.m (at 1.28GeV)

70µm x 7µm (rms horizontal x rms vertical)



ATF Laser-Wire

Aiming at micron-scale vertical scans



ATF/ATF2 Laser-wire

- At ATF2, we will aim to measure micron-scale electron spotsizes with green (532 nm) light.
- Two locations identified for first stage (more stages later)
 - 1) 0.75m upstream of QD18X magnet
 - 2) 1m downstream of QF19X magnet

Nominal ATF2 optics	LW-IP (1)	LW-IP (2)
	σ _x = 38.92 μm	σ _x = 142.77 μm
	σ _y = 7.74 μm	σ _y = 7.94 μm

ATF2 LW-test optics	LW-IP (1)	LW-IP (2)
P. Karataev	σ _x = 20.43 μm	σ _x = 20 μm
	σ _y = 0.9 μm	σ _y = 1.14 μm

 \Rightarrow Ideal testing ground for ILC BDS Laser-wire system 17

ATF2 Detector



Prelminary ATF LW Results



Laser M² not yet optimised Laser astigmatism not yet corrected Rayleigh Range effects well described by fit to full overlap integal Simple Gaussian fit (at the minimum of a quad scan) S. Boogert et al

C

Prototype scanner

- First stage of high power scanner prototype
 - Simple EO crystal geometry
- Currently using
 - Lithium Niobate
 - Diameter 8.5 mm
 - Length 45 mm
- Different crystals
 - Damage thresholds
 - Electro-optic coefficient

Quadrupole electrodes on outer surface



Cylindrical crystal hole

A. Bosco et al.

Beam images and profiles during scan



M² measurements with 5 kV applied



Laser R&D

ILC goals

Wavelength	≤ 532 nm
Mode Quality	≤ 1.3
Peak Power	≥ 20 MW
Average power	≥ 0.6 W
Pulse length	≥ 2 ps
Synchronisation	≤ 0.3 ps
Pointing stability	\leq 10 μ rad

Oxford fibre laser progress:

- Test system laser amplifier slope efficiency 88%.
- AS seed laser installed & working in internally triggered burst envelope mode.
- M² measurements taken showing no change in beam quality with pumping.
- High power (400W) pump diode tested to specification in cw and pulsed mode.
 - Test photonic crystal fibre arrived in Oxford.
- First investigations of pulsed pumping using test system and double clad normal Yb doped fibre – triggering/relative timing of seed and pump pulses.

ILC-spec laser is being developed at JAI@Oxford based on fiber amplification. L. Corner et al



Current research:

- Optimal timing conditions for efficient extraction of pump energy in pulsed conditions.
- Externally triggering seed laser _after_ pump pulse.
- Coupling of seed and pump into crystal fibre.
- Operation of crystal fibre as cw amplifier at low power levels, pulsed at low power and up to highest powers.
- Investigation of frequency doubling efficiency

BDSIM: Geant4 Simulation of Beamlines





Assuming pressure P = 10 nTorr, temperature T = 2 K, and $N_e = 2 \cdot 10^{10}$ electrons per bunch, the number of background events per bunch is $DPN_e\sigma_B/k_BT$ where k_B is Boltzmann's constant, which gives about 160 bremsstrahlung photons per bunch. This is a few % of a typical peak LW signal for a vertical LW scan (the signal is ~ 10000 γ_C for $\sigma_m \simeq 2 \ \mu$ m) and about 10% for a horizontal scan (with $\sigma_m \simeq 20 \ \mu$ m).

Simulation results for hits due to SR in γ_C detector. H_d is the number of detector hits due to SR with the Cherenkov detector placed just after the next dipole, where "hits" is defined as the number of electrons and positrons above the Cherenkov threshold energy (9.25 MeV) hitting the detector plane. σ_{H_d} is the statistical error in the simulation.

k	H_d /bunch	$\sigma_{H_d/\mathrm{bunch}}$	95% upper c.l.
20	$2.92 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.16 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.834 \cdot 10^{-5}$
10	6.35	3.17	11.7
4.5	3.23	3.23	8.82

Hits from the LW and polarimeter in the polarimeter detector as a fraction of Compton events for both LW and polarimeter with no MPS collimator in the chicane. "Hits" are defined as electrons or positrons with energy greater than 9.25 MeV entering the detector plane. "H" means hits and the subscript denotes the origin of the hits. $e_{C,pol}^-$ means Compton scattered electrons from the polarimeter.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} H_{e_{C}^{-}} & H_{\gamma_{C}} & H_{e_{C,pol}^{-}} \\ \hline (3.7 \pm 0.6) \% & (3.2 \pm 0.3) \% & (60 \pm 0.3) \% \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{L. Deacon et al.} \\ \text{Eurotev report 2008-018} \end{array}$$

Summary

- Very active + international programme in laser-based diagnostics:
 - Hardware
 - Optics design
 - Advanced lasers
 - Emittance extraction techniques
 - Data taking + analysis
 - Simulation
- Important effects:
 - Laser pointing
 - M² monitoring
 - Low-f optics
 - Fast scanning
 - High precision BPMs
- Full simulations of Signal extraction
- Full analysis of emittance determination

