Status of Tracking Package FTD Related Issues Steve Aplin, Alexei Raspereza

Issues raised at previous phone meetings

- Degradation of track efficiency in the forward region for LDC01\_06Sc\_p01 and LDCPrime\_02Sc\_p01 models
- ✓ Constant thickness for all FTD's (last four layers are supposed to be thicker), support disks (kapton) instead of rings
   ⇒ appropriate modifications in Mokka and MaterialDB processor must be implemented
- ✓ Inner radii of FTD disks in LDC01\_06Sc\_p01 and LDCPrime\_02Sc are smaller than in LDC01Sc ⇒ larger overlap with e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair background cone !

#### Tracking Efficiency. LDCPrime\_02Sc (last ILD meeting)



## Degradation of Efficiency Reasons & Remedy

- Steering parameters of SiliconTracking were optimized for LDC01 model, extremely non-optimal for LDC01\_06Sc and LDCPrime\_02Sc (new FTD geometry)
  - Set of layer combinations used to search for hit triplets had to be adjusted for the new Mokka models
- Steering parameters of SiliconTracking have been reoptimized for new Mokka models ⇒ updated default processor parameters
  - Version of SiliconTracking with new default processor parameters has been submitted to CVS

#### Tracking Efficiency. LDCPrime\_02Sc (After reoptimization)



#### Tracking Efficiency. Comparisons (After reoptimization)



## Energy in Tracks (last meeting)

#### Reco - True energy, GeV



## Energy in Tracks (after reoptimization)

Reco - True energy, GeV



### New FTD Geometry

- Variable thickness of FTD disks
  - Previously all disks had the same thickness : 50  $\mu m$
  - Should be :
    - disks 1-3 :  $50\mu$ m; disks 4-7 :  $275\mu$ m
- Support disks (kapton) instead of rings

- Thickness => disks 1-3 : 1mm; disks 4-7 : 1.5mm

- Driver FTD01.cc, Mokka database and MaterialDB processor are being modified by Steve to account for new FTD geometry
- New versions of FTD driver and Mokka database are still under development and testing
- First checks for forward tracks revealed no major problems

#### Diagnostics of New FTD model Pull & x<sup>2</sup> Distributions







Pull Z.





#### Track Parameter Resolutions for Forward Tracks



Momentum, GeV/c

10

10

10



#### New FTD Model vs. Old

• Old model  $\Rightarrow$ 

Layer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
$\mathbf{R}_{_{\mathrm{in}}}$	38	48	59	68	90	111	132	[mm]
R <sub>out</sub>	140	140	210	270	290	290	290	[mm]
Z	200	320	440	550	800	1050	1300	[mm]
New mo	del ⇒							
Layer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
$R_{_{in}}$	29	34	48	83	113	144	174	[mm]
R <sub>out</sub>	140	140	210	270	290	290	290	[mm]
Z	235	376	540	940	1292	1645	1997	[mm]

New model : smaller inner radii in the first three disks ; larger distances from IP for all disks ⇒ larger coverage in θ (down to smaller angles) ⇒ larger overlap with e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair background cone Should we keep present FTD parameters or return to the previous version?

#### FTD Acceptance New vs. Old Model



# Summary

- New FTD model + Tracking yield good performance
  - track efficiency (forward region) > 97%
    (comparable with the old model)
  - Pull & are distributions are reasonable
  - Track parameter resolutions are OK
- Acceptance of the new FTD model extends to lower polar angles ⇒ larger overlap with e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair background cone :
  - geometrical parameters of new FTD model need to be discussed and possibly reconsidered