

Erik Devetak Oxford University 18/09/2008



In the last 4 months...

 Updated kinematic fitter constraints. (make better use of W mass and b tagging)

• Combined vertex Charge and Jet Charge in a single parameter (reconstructed quark charge)

 Plotted asymmetries of the b and bbar quarks in the center of mass frame (agreement with standard model)

 First calculations of acceptances (proper implementation still needed)

 Moved from fast MC to PFA (first test of PFA at analysis level – looks very good!)

 Implemented top mass calculation Via template Fitting (not in this talk but first results to be expected very soon)



Defining a hadronic top (cut list)

- Missing Energy < 50GeV
- log(Ycut_{min}) (forced 6 jets events) > -8
- Sum of b tag of all jets > 1.5, b-tag highest >0.7, second highest >0.5
- 2 Ws and 65Gev < Mass Ws< 95 GeV
- Also cuts on:
 - number of particles
 - difference between mass of tops
 - difference between mass of Ws



Cut for quality of reconstruction and on leptonic events. (need lepton ID, then relax cut)



Cut on B-Tag



Kinematic fitting

NOW

- Do Kinematic fitting with
 4W jets + 2b jets (lower
 combinatorics)
- Add W mass as a constrain to the fitter.
- Relax Cuts

IMPROVEMENTS

- Sharper Peak (σ = 2.7 GeV)
- Efficiency improved! (now keep ≈ 1/3 of events)
- Purity >95% (remainder from leptonic channels, no other BG)

BEFORE

Do Kinematic fitting with 6 jets + cuts (W mass, b-tag, ...)





Quark Charge

•Two charge reconstruction algorithms implemented:

Momentum weighted secondary vertex charge

Momentum weighted Jet charge

•Ratio of PDFs used to combine the two variables according to significance of variable. Can algorithms in the future.







M

b-bbar Asymmetries (in CoM frame)

- Mis-tag the b jet in 2% of events
- Wrongly reconstruct charge in 19% of events
- Asymmetry for the bbar quark is 0.33 +/- 0.07
- Asymmetry for the b quark is 0.14 +/- 0.09
- Combining the results: 0.26
 +/- 0.06 (consistent with 0.28)
- Better sensitivity from: improved algorithm, statistics, polarized beam
- Need to include systematic errors (acceptance)





Moving: FastMC \rightarrow PFA

N.B.: Weighting of events not correct, performance degraded, used for comparison between PFA and fastMC

- Relatively easy, works well!
- Results only slightly degraded (as expected resolution slightly worse)





b-tagging in PFA...





Good, better than fastMC?

Is it real or from V0s?



- Known issues with V0s.
- Looking at running V0 finder to improve this.



- Move on SiD02 and test (+ proper weights implemented)
- Deal with V0s
- Get first results from template mass fitting
- Introduce background and test
- Implement acceptances into the asymmetry calculation
- Get electron + muon ID and use it in the cuts.