Laser alignment system

Status report



Leszek Zawiejski INP PAN, Cracow

W. Wierba, K. Oliwa, E. Kielar, J. Kotula, INP PAN W. Slominski, Jagiellonian University

EUDET Annual Meeting 2008, October 6-8, Amsterdam, Holland





LumiCal - luminosity measurement

Counting rate N_B of the Bhabha events : $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma$

in small forward (Left-Right) LumiCal calorimeter will be used to measure the integrated luminosity : $L = N_B / \sigma_B$ where σ_B is precisely calculated from theory

ILC physics: the required precision for Δ L/L ~ Δ N_B /N_B should be better than < 10⁻³ (at production of 10⁶ W⁺W⁻ or q⁺q⁻ / year) or ~ 10⁻⁴ (for Giga Z mode – 10⁹ / year)

To fulfil this task it is necessary to build:

- luminosity detector with micrometers precision
- on-line running system (Laser Alignment System, LAS) for precisely measurements the positions of the LumiCal



Question: can LA be an integrated

Limit on LumiCal displacement



Single (Left / Right) LumiCal alignment

LumiCal X, Y position with respect to the incoming beam (pipe, PBM, QD0) should be known with accuracy better than ~700 μ m (optimal ~100 -200 μ m) (LumiCal's will be centered on outgoing beam)

Rinn

Inner radius (Rinn) accuracy: a few (~4) μm

Two LumiCal's (L,R) alignment



Distance between two LumiCal's should be known with accuracy better than ~60 -100 μ m (14 mrad crossing angle) ³

MC : displacement of the LumiCal

Mont Carlo : BHLUMI \rightarrow Bhabha events \rightarrow Luminosity



Two crossing angles for beams : 0 and 20 mrad (RDR – 14 mrad) LumiCal displacement relative to IP, detector axis or outgoing beam



Value ~ 100 μm of the displacement \rightarrow acceptable changes in luminosity measurement

The similar conclusion from other MC studies :

A. Stahl , LC-DET-2005-004, 4 R. Ingbir or A. Sapronov , talks given at FCAL meetings

MC : the internal structure deformation

Changes in X,Y and Z positions of the Tungsten and Si sensors layers



Possible systematic effect: one order smaller in comparison to possible displacement the Lumical detector as whole but still should be treated carefully as possible significant contribution to total error in luminosity calculation

LAS - method





Laser beam spots on the surface of CCD camera (640 x 480 pixels)

- Two laser beams, one perpendicular, second with the angle of 45° to the CCD/CMOS sensor surface, are used to calculate the position shift
- The CCD camera and lasers can be fixed to the LumiCal and beam pipe
- Three (more) sensors can be used to measure tilt of each LumiCal (L/ R)
- Six (more) laser beams from one to another LumiCal passing inside the 'carbon support' tube can be used :
 - to measure the relative position shift (the method described above)

the distance between two LumiCal's

LAS : laboratory setup

Previous - one laser beam with mirror



- BW camera DX1-1394a from Kappa company 640 x 480 with Sony ICX424AL sensor 7.4 µm x 7.4 µm unit cell size
- Laser modules LDM635/1LT from Roithner Lasertechnik
- ThorLabs ¹/₂" travel translation stage MT3 with micrometers (smallest div. 10 µm)
- Neutral density filters ND2
- Renishaw RG24 optical heads (0,1 µm resolution) to control movement of the camera
- Half transparent mirror
- New support for mirrors and filters



Results of X & Z position measurements

X, Z displacement measurement relative to reference system

 X_{cal} and Z_{cal} positions – from improved algorithm for centre beam spot determination.



- Camera was translated in steps of 50 μ m.
- The distances Xtrue and Ztrue was measured with Renishaw RG-24 optical head with the resolution of ±0.1 μm

Stability - temperature dependence

The temperature dependence of the beam spots position in CCD camera: heating or cooling down environment of the laser system.

- Insulated heating box .
- For each temperature point, the mean position of the spot centers from multiple measurements were calculated using improved algorithm

45 degree beam Perpendicular beam 7.0 4.0 3.5 6.0 ž 1.05 3.0 5.0 2.5 x_2 [μ m] X₁ [µm] 4.0 0.95 =28.8 2.0 3.0 1.5 0.6 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 0.8 12 14 2.0 1.0 x₄-3061 X2 - 1666 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 6 The relative distance two spots 32 32 33 29 31 33 34 31 34 30 29 30 y1 - y2 -1349 T [°C] T [°C] 3 7.0 1.2 2 6.0 1.0 5.0 0.8 -1 4.0 y_2 [μ m] -3 -2 D 5 x₁ - x₂ -1395 3.0 0.6 2.0 0.4 1.0 The observed changes are on the level ~ 1 μ m/1 $^{\circ}$ C 0.2 0.0 9 0.0 -1.0 29 30 31 32 33 34 29 30 32 33 34 31 T [°C] T[°C]

Cooling down – measurement for each 5 minutes Over the $\Delta T = 5.2$ ° C. Position calculated from algorithm

Perpendicular beam

45 degree beam

Temperature stabilization

> 8 hours measurements : temperature changes within $\Delta T \sim 0.1$ degree





The relative distance between laser beams



> 24 hours : $\Delta T \sim 0.2$ degree



The observed changes in calculated X,Y spots positions are on the level 0.5 µm. Contribution from other effects: nature of laser spot and systematic uncertainties in used algorithm

It is necessary to stabilize the temperature of camera

Further work on LAS

Readout electronics for dedicated silicon sensor, automatic (online) position calculations, a compact shape of the system



Left - Right LumiCal alignment inside ILD

 Laser beams (at leat 6 for space orientation) inside 'carbon' support tube – pipes with small diameter ~ a few (10 -15) mm
System with interferometers



Possible measurements using QD0, beam pipe

In the framework of ILD detector, LAS can base on measured distances to:

Beam pipe

QD0



Accuracy in reflective laser distance measurement $\sim 1.0 \ \mu m$

Beam pipe (measured in lab before installing, temperature and tension sensors for corrections) with installed BPM 13

LAS - toward an integrated system

A possible solution: LAS for Si detector (VXD) and LumiCal - using Frequency Scanned Interferometers (FSI) and optical fibres



Laser beams grid with several hundred point-to-point distances which should be measure

Displacement measurement of individual sensor layers

Transparent position sensors :

One laser beam lighting or individual system for each sensor plane

Spanned wire method

Spanned wire going through the holes in sensor planes working as antena and pickup electrodes to measure the position



- CCD sensor IR Laser Steel frame Tungsten absorber Steel frame Stilicon detectors
- Special transparent sensors (CMOS, CCD) placed on each sensors plane
- Problems with reflections
- Degradation of the beam shape for deeper planes
- Similar lectronics as in pos. system for calorimeter

- Active during time slots between trains
- Possible interferences
- Accuracy up to ~0,5 µm
- Quite simple electronics
- Need 4 coax cables for each plane

Summary

- LAS is very challenging project in respect to the requirements: precisely positioned Si sensors (inner radius accuracy < ~4 μm), X & Y alignment with respect to the beam < ~700 μm, distance between Calorimeters < ~100 μm, tilts < ~10 mrad
- The current laboratory prototype :
 - the accuracy in position measurements are on the level ± 0.5 µm in X,Y and ± 1 µm in Z direction
 - > thermal stability of the prototype is $\sim 0.5 \,\mu$ m/°C
- The technical design required knowledge on final ILD geometry
- More work is ongoing on the system development :
 - alignment of both parts of LumiCal, studies on integrated LAS inside ILD
 - positions of the internal sensor layers,
 - the more compact prototype,
 - readout electronics for dedicated sensors and automatic position calculations
- Monte Carlo base estimation the uncertainties of the considered opto-geometrical LA system