



For discussion of a possible unified approach by ATF collaboration to ATF2 publications

Andrei Seryi, Toshiaki Tauchi
7th ATF2 Project meeting
December 15-18, 2008



Discussion

- ATF collaboration may need to implement a unified approach to ATF2 publications (preprints, talks, papers, press communications) in order to encourage publications, ensure that they are coherent, and to ensure there are no duplications and omissions
- We may need to create a special structure to facilitate this work
- As a first step, made organized collection of PAC09 abstracts and review of them by interim reading committee of senior colleagues. This was useful:
 - In many cases found that important topics are not represented – asked colleagues to submit them
 - In several cases reviewing abstracts allowed to establish better collaboration links for future work, e.g. SC FD and stability studies, and squeezed beta
 - also hopefully gave a bigger picture to all the collaborators, allowing better connection and collaboration
- What are examples and features of similar policies in existing collaborations and what would be useful to adopt?



Examples & what may be useful?

- Some examples from DO and other large collaborations
 - Large collaborations, which have potential for fundamental discoveries in high energy physics, have rightfully very rigorous approach to publication policy
 - Accelerator collaboration may need somewhat different approach.
- policy on graduate student thesis topics (+?)
- authorship committee (-?)
- editorial boards (-?) and internal reviews (+?)
- speaker bureau (-?)



Goal of ATF publication and presentation policy, for discussion

- The purpose of the ATF Publication and Presentation Policy is to:
 - 1. Assure scientific integrity of ATF scientific and technical results
 - 2. Assure appropriate recognition of individual and institutional contributions
- The goals in formulating the policy are to:
 - 1. Promote the timely publication of results
 - 2. Promote the visibility of ATF scientists and engineers, and especially, to encourage younger scientists and engineers to participate in the presentation and publication of results.
 - 3. Provide an efficient mechanism for the internal review and be conducive to publication.
 - 4. Promote open and free exchange of ideas and information within the ATF while research projects are being formulated and carried out.

Text is adapted from LIGO publication and presentation policy



Discussion?

- How should we proceed?
- We need some structure, suitable for the size and goals of ATF collaboration and ATF2 project