SiD Study of $ZH \rightarrow eeH/\mu\mu H$

Tim Barklow & Awatif Belymam (SLAC) Apr 18, 2009

Event Selection

Main backgrounds: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \mu^+ \mu^$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \mu^+ v_\mu \mu^- \overline{v}_\mu$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- f \overline{f}$

$$\begin{split} |\cos \theta_{\mu^{+}}| < 0.99 \qquad |\cos \theta_{\mu^{-}}| < 0.99 \\ |\cos \theta_{\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}| < 0.85 \\ 87 \text{ GeV} < M_{\mu^{+}\mu^{-}} < 95 \text{ GeV} \\ |\cos \theta_{\text{missing}}| < 0.99 \quad (\text{Only cut involving all visible particles}; \\ & \text{we believe ZH efficiency for this cut} \\ & \text{is independent of H decay mode.}) \end{split}$$

Cuts for e^+e^- are the same.

Signal only for 250 fb⁻¹ with $e^{-}(80\% R) e^{+}(30\% L)$







Guinea-Pig has a parameter "do_isr" which in a number of acc.dat input files that have been passed around has "do_isr=T". Unfortunately we picked up one of those files and used it last year to generate the 250 GeV sample. The 500 GeV sample is OK.



Signal and background scaled to 250 fb⁻¹ with $e^-(80\% R) e^+(30\% L)$ To deal with some large weight events from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma l^+l^$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow l^+v_l l^-\overline{v_l}$ the background is modelled by assuming that it is constant over a 5 GeV range.



Example of unscaled signal and background for 250 fb⁻¹ with $e^{-}(80\% R) e^{+}(30\% L)$. The background distribution on previous slide provides mean values for Poisson distributed bins on this slide. A small number of data sets like this were fitted to provide spot checks of our Higgs mass error calculation.





 $\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial M_h}$ calculated using training samples with $M_h = 119.7 \& 120.0 \text{ GeV}$

50 MeV bin size was used for final error calculation

Recoil Mass Error vs Bin Size

250 fb⁻¹ $e_{pol}^{-} / e_{pol}^{+} = +0.8 / -0.3$

Bin size (GeV):	1	0.5	0.2	0.05
$\mu\mu H \Delta M_H (GeV)$ stat only:	.117	.108	.092	.061
$\mu\mu H \Delta M_H (GeV)$ stat+sys:	.122	.117	.105	.075
250 fb ⁻¹ Fit Mh=119.7	119.54	119.49	119.59	119.65

Tight Cuts

$\mu\mu H \Delta M_H (GeV)$ stat only:	.125	.117	.098	.063
$\mu\mu H \Delta M_H (GeV)$ stat+sys:	.133	.129	.114	.077
250 fb ⁻¹ Fit Mh=119.7	119.50	119.56	119.43	119.63

Similar results for 250 fb⁻¹ $e_{pol}^- / e_{pol}^+ = -0.8 / + 0.3$

Higgs mass and cross section resolution

Assumptions:

- background cross-section can be calculated to arbitrary accuracy
- luminosity spectrum and polarization can be perfectly measured
- no detector systematic errors

The only sources of error:

- the statistical error in the number of events in each bin
- the systematic error due to the finite training sample statistics

80eR lumi	80eL lumi	Mode	$\Delta M_H \ ({\rm GeV})$	$\Delta \sigma_{l+l-H}$ (fb)
$250 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	0 fb^{-1}	e^+e^-H	0.102	0.620 (8.8%)
$250 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	0 fb^{-1}	$\mu^+\mu^-H$	0.075	0.388 (5.5%
$250 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	0 fb^{-1}	$e^+e^-H + \mu^+\mu^-H$	0.060	0.329 (4.7%)
0 fb^{-1}	$250 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	e^+e^-H	0.090	0.812 (7.8%
$0 {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$250 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$\mu^+\mu^-H$	0.077	0.558 (5.4%)
0 fb^{-1}	$250 { m fb^{-1}}$	$e^+e^-H + \mu^+\mu^-H$	0.059	0.460 (4.4%)

Summary

- Combined error of 60 MeV for the Higgs mass and 4.4% relative error on the total ZH cross section was achieved.
- Unfortunately the mass error is dominated by incorrect beamstrahlung distribution in the MC event samples.
- Future plans include regenerating the signal with the correct beamstrahlung and generating enough signal events so that a distribution of fit values for hundreds unscaled 250 fb⁻¹ samples can be plotted.