

Introduction



- 1. Version ILD-V2 Saclay for the magnet configuration
 - 1.1 Main parameters
 - 1.2 Main outputs

saclay

Some other points
 2.1 Anti-DID coil design

Present conclusions



Introduction



• Same design for the coil as before

. 5 modules (correction current in each module at extremity)

. 4 layers per module (correction current in the 3 inner layers of the modules at extremity

lrfu

- 1. Version ILD-V2 Saclay for the magnet configuration
 - 1.1 Main parameters

saclay

Version ILD-V2 Saclay

I	r	f	u
\langle	X	Ŋ	C
S	ac		av

New parameters used for ILD-V2 detector magnet

- $B_0 = 4$ T nominal, 3.5 T operation
- R_{int} coil = 3 590 mm
- R_{ext} coil = 3 940 mm

. L coil = 3 672 * 2 mm

Stray field (@ 3.5 T) $B_{ext} \le 200 \text{ G} @ \text{z}=10 \text{ m} \text{ from I.P.}$. $B_{ext} \le 200 \text{ G}$ @ at (Rout + 1.5 - 2 m) in the radial direction

Yoke (barrel + end-caps)

dimensions and constitution as in Catherine's table

. no endcap gap filling factor



- As previously described, the field homogeneity is ajusted with:
- a FSP (Field Shaping Plate)
- and correction currents in some places of the coil (3 inner layers of the two modules at extremities).



ILD-V2: main outputs

Irfu CEO saclay	Electrical parameters (4 T) I_{nom} (kA) Eng. J (A/mm ²) ΔI_{cor} (kA) Stored energy (GJ) W_s density (kJ/kg)	15.9 9.6 18.1 2.0 12.2	(for I _{nom}) (3 layers * 2 modules)
L.	Integral homogeneity in TPC vol	ume (mm ≤ 9)
	Yoke dimensions R_{out} barel yoke (mm) Z_{out} endcap yoke (mm) Stray field (@ 3.5 T) $B_{ext} = 110 \text{ G}$ @ $z=10 \text{ m}$ fr $B_{ext} = 220 \text{ G}$ @ at $R_{out} + 2$	7 110 7 190 om I.P. 2 m in the	radial direction
_			

Field on conductors @ 4 T





ILD-V2 SACLAY configuration @ 4 Tesla

Iron : up to R=7.110m (2.76m thick), up to Z=+/-7.190m (3.27m thick)

+ 100 mm FSP (Field Shaping Plate)

Coil: 4 layers, 7.35 m length subdivided in 5 parts





Stray field for ILD-V2 (3.5 T) R (m) Z (m) B stray (G) 0 10 150 9.5 5 230 9.5 0 150

. Even if stray field has been calculated for 4T, results are given for the operation field of 3.5 T $\,$

. Strong influence of the radial gaps in the barrel yoke: increasing the yoke thickness in the R direction does not help too much because of these gaps

Stray Field at 4 T and 3.5 T: 200 Gauss limit





CEA DSM Irfu - F. KIRCHER - [ILD Phone conf., Dec. 12, 2008]

Len Flub Fiel Pot Cor Sou Pov For Ene Mat

D:N. ER. aylil Line Axi-Mor Mar Star Sca 125 631 281

05.0e

Stray Field vs Barrel Yoke thickness @ 3.5 T







saclay

- 2. Some other points
 - 2.1 Anti-DID coil design

Brett Parker has agreed to contribute to the anti-DID coil design:

- I r f u . in a first step, he will provide a coil pattern compatible with the new solenoid coil geometry to first order
 - . next step will be the conceptual coil design (forces, peak field, stored energy...)

. then, semi-realistic engineering (conductor, winding technique, support and cooling of the coil...).

We are in touch together, and he told me that he will start this work this week

saclay



Present conclusions







• Point which still needs some study is the yoke design, in relation with the acceptable stray field: minimum dimension of gaps in the yoke, partial filling of the gaps...?

• Conceptual design of the anti-DID is going to restart, with the new detector magnet configuration.