# ECAL analysis (in progress...)

Daniel Jeans 18/05/09

- 2007 data, selection of nice-looking runs
- standard event selection
- lower detector slabs partially instrumented Ignore hits in this region (at least for now)
- all numbers preliminary

Most ECAL analyses done with data where electron hits these regions of detector



~unaffected by inter-wafer gaps and lateral leakage

Distribution of shower barycentre in considered runs

One plot per run

Red lines show interwafer gaps

Some runs at wafer edges/corners, some in wafer centre.







Split detector into 3 regions according to distance from wafer edge

(for now edge of detector treated in same way as interwafer gap: this is being fixed...)

Look at performance in different regions







Energy distributions in region A

Some runs have more low energy tail than others





Energy distributions in region B





Energy distributions in region C

n.b. some runs affected more by transverse leakage than others





Energy resolution

region A : 17.40%/sqrt(E) + 0.77% region B: 18.1%/sqrt(E) + 0.74%

Strange that region B has larger stochastic term? Would (naively?) expect larger const term...





Nhits distribution (>0.6 MIP)

Not logarithmic

Fit to NHits =  $a^{(E+b)}c + d$ 

A = 108 B = 9.2 C = 0.46 D = -246 Energy resolution for digital ECAL

# Shower shape studies

# With respect to shower barycentre



Colour = mean energy

## Colour = log(mean energy)



Future plans:

Continue with analysis:

Separate effects of lateral leakage and interwafer gaps

Include tracking info (instead of barycentre)

Look at interwafer gap corrections

Backup slides



This ignores difference in gap widths in x and y...



Split this [dist to inter-wafer / dist to edge] space into 15 regions Measure performance in each region



Distance to inter-wafer gap [mm]

#### Reconstructed energy in region far from edge & far from gaps



#### far from edge & far from gaps



#### Reconstructed energy in region far from edge & near to gaps



#### far from edge & near to gaps





**Energy resolution** 

sigE/E ~ 22.4/sqrt(E) (+) 2.4 %

Shower shapes,

x vs. y (integrated over depth) w.r.t. shower centre of gravity

(log energy scale)

One plot per run



Shower shapes,

r vs. layer (integrated over phi) w.r.t. shower centre of gravity

(log energy scale)

One plot per run



Measuring track scatter in MC



Measure distance between extrapolated position and real hit position This depends on scattering of the beam in material in the beamline



20 gev electrons, extrap from MC production position

#### Also need to get correlations between the offset between different layers



## To get good measurement of correl, easier to rotate by 45 degrees



### Then fit to 2d gaussian; extract size of scattering and the correlations



Do this for electrons & pions at different energies, in different beam-line set-ups





cern

Track scattering matrices are input to track fit

CERN07, FNAL08 done CERN06 underway

Paul Dauncey is measuring the alignment of the drift-chambers

Still do do: - MC digitisation to match data & MC