
ILC CFS AD&I Daresbury Lab Summary

J.Osborne / V.Kuchler / A.Enomoto



CFS AD&I MEETING AGENDA

Revised August, 31, 2009


Daresbury Laboratory, UK


September 3-4, 2009

		Spetember 3, 2009		September 4, 2009		
Regional Meeting Times		Торіс	Area System Representatives	Торіс	Area System Representatives	
0100-0215 SLAC	0900-1015 DL, UK	General Introduction	In-House Participants	Damping Ring	S. Giuducci	
0300-0415 FNAL	1700-1815 KEK					
0215-0230 SLAC	1015-1030 DL, UK	Break		Break		
0415-0430 FNAL	1815-1830 KEK					
0230-0400 SLAC	1030-1200 DL, UK	e+ Source	J. Clarke	Beam Delivery System	D. Angal-Kalinin	
0430-0600 FNAL	1830-2000 KEK		N.Collomb			
0400-0500 SLAC	1200-1300 DL, UK	Lunch		Lunch		
0600-0700 FNAL	2000-2100 KEK					
0500-0630 SLAC	1300-1430 DL, UK	RTML	N. Solyak	General Review	In-House Participants	
0700-0830 FNAL	2100-2230 KEK					
0630-0700 SLAC	1430-1500 DL, UK	Break		Break		
0830-0900 FNAL	2230-2300 KEK					
0700-0800 SLAC	1500-1600 DL, UK	e- Source	A. Brachman	Main Linacs	C. Adophsen	
0900-1000 FNAL	2300-2400 KEK		J. Shepard			
0800-0900 SLAC	1600-1700 DL, UK	Overview with E. Paterson	E. Paterson	Overview with E. Paterson	E. Paterson	
1000-1100 FNAL	0000-0100 KEK	Overview with E. Paterson		Gverview with E. Paterson		

Material will be posted on Indico :

http://ilcagenda.linearcollider.org/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=4146





	SLINKL. 14																				DETECTORS F	IALL	
- [POINT	1.0	1.1	1.2	2	2.2	3	3.3	4	5	6	7	10	11	12/C	13/A	- 14	15	16	17	POINT	1.1, 1.2	
[øм	9	16	16	14	4	14	4	14	14	9	9	14	14	9	9	3	3	3	3	(LxWxH)m	$120 \times 25 \times 39$	
																							_

DAMPING RING		

SOURCES CAVERNS

e+ SOURCE

 $40 \times 40 \times 8$

POINT

(LxWxH)m

DAMPING RI	NG		BEAM ABOR	CAVERNS (BEAM ABORT SERVICE HALLS (/)			
POINT	12/C	13/A	POINT	SOURCES e-A & e+A	RTML e-C, e-D, e+C & e+D	BDS e-B, e-F, e-G,e+B, e+F & e+G	POINT	BDS e-B, e-G, e+B & e+G
(L x W x H)m	$10\times10\times5$	74 x 10 x 5	(L×W×H)n	n	5 x 4 x 4	20 x 9 x 15 +1 STORY	(LxWxH)m 30 x 20 x 10




BDS


e-G & e+G

PO|NT


(L×W×H)m 25x7x8 +15x7x8


 $40 \times 15 \times 15$






3.2km long 'racetrack' damping ring














5.2m diameter Single tunnel beyond shafts 2 and 3



5.2m diameter service tunnel and machine tunnel leading up to experimental area








Main Beam dumps need to moved to 'south side' ie opposite side of DR pointing downwards on this drawing.


This means, due to Muon radiation, level of service tunnel needs to be adjusted

Note : Some of these issues at refinments of the RDR rather than SB2009 !










This size of this enlargement needs to be revised :

3d model from Daresbury due this week (AUTOcad already available)


Tunnel enlargement (9.5m width) in Source areas



Tunnel enlargement (9.5m width) in Source areas needs to be reviewed (transport corridor on one side is acceptable?) For both Kystron Cluster and DRFS we are assuming 5.2m single tunnel for main linac

Is cryo vent pipe required in standard tunnel cross section ? S20040 (17.08) LRNAL LTDM. MANUNAC -NEAT LINE & INTERIOR SURFACE WHORE LINED WAYERSON EXCAVATION BEAM TUNNEL 1000mm M 2 100a (r. 17.84) ž AN ORATE. DRAIN CRAT SECTION @ c- MAIN LINAC CONC. FILL DRAN PIPE

• (2) Distributed RF System (Tunnel view)



Main actions/conclusions from Daresbury

- 2d drawings to be updated and reviewed internally before release (FNAL)
- Updated machine 3d models by Norbert for positron source area (including BDS etc) awaited to allow sizing of the tunnel/alcoves
- Drawings will be different for each region......
- Transport passage on one side of the machine ok
- DR relatively well understood remains on north side. Cavern sizes adjusted.
- RTML : one of the beam dumps can be deleted (On next 2d layout the beam dumps should be labelled 'main dump, 17KW dump etc to avoid confusion.)
- BDS : position and size of beam dumps unclear : 3d model by Norbert required....
- Main beam dumps need to be moved to other side of linac : may to put service tunnel at a higher level than beam tunnel due to muon beam radiation
- 2nd tunnel for safety : Atsushi : Two possibilities:
- 1. Fire shelters or compartments
- 2. In Japan second tunnel option should not be excluded due to increase in safety requirements
- this will be presented at Albuquerque?
- do we need cryo vent pipe under pressure ?
- Muon walls questions, getting equipment either side ?
- Machine lines from main linac to DR transfer line is an issue
- beam dumps cross transport lines, extra shafts ?
- diameter of main linac 4.5 or 5.2m cost very similar = see cost curve from FNAL....
- · services required for ML klystron electronics, instrumentation, shielding etc. needs better definition to update cross section
- heat loads mostly understood by CFS...Emil....(DRFS full power/ low power confirmed by KEK 9 Sept)
- For Albuquerque :
- 2d's updated
- Norbert to do 3ds for machine
- 3d civil updated
- Nick asked for cost estimate for this new layout with respect to RDR......
- Next AD&I first week of December ?