

# MarlinTPC LikelihoodFitting

Jason Abernathy



### HitFinding/TrackSeeder

- HitFinderTopo
  - Finds hits and combines them into tracks
  - Martin gave a good description of how it works
- TrackSeeder
  - Calculates the track parameters of the track candidates
  - Does an analytic least-squares fit to the hit positions
  - Assumes that "almost-straight" tracks are straight



### Track Fitting

- 3 in trunk:
  - SimpleChiSquared
  - SimpleMinimizer
  - LikelihoodFitting
- Likelihood fitter
  - Works... sometimes?
    - Rectangular pad layouts work
    - Circular ones don't work unless the tolerance is very high
    - Very sensitive to the drift diffusion used
  - Very big, needs to be broken up based on
    - Layout type, whether b-field corrections are used
    - Want it to find the photoelectric calibration data too



#### Likelihood Overview

- Developed by D. Karlen at Uvic
  - He implemented it in Java
- Likelihood( track parameters | data ) ==
   Pr( data | track parameters )
- So...
  - Calculate the probability of observing the distribution of charge given the hypothetical track parameters
  - Use Minuit to minimize the neg, log. likelihood



# The Charge Distribution Model

- (Homogeneous case first)
- The primary track is nicely projected onto the readout electronics
- Charge is distributed by convolving an isometric gaussian with the track
  - "line gaussian"
- Assumes that:
  - The pads within a row are roughly rectangular
    - And the row is not curved
  - The track is straight within a row



#### **Charge Distribution Model**

- (Non-homogeneous model)
- A bit more work:
  - Use the track parameters to follow the track in the TPC volume
  - At specified intervals (25mm default)
    - Create an electron cloud
    - Drift the cloud to the endplate using the simulation code
  - Fit a curve to the projected track
    - Using a spline from GSL
  - This distorted curve is the "line-gaussian"
  - Same assumptions as before
    - Things are roughly straight in a pad row



# Calculate Expected Distribution

- Integrate the "line gaussian" over required pads
- For each row
  - Sum the total expected electrons
  - Calculate the probability of each pad collecting one of those electrons
- Use the multinomial distribution to calculate the probability of the observed charge occurring
- L(row) == Pr(row) =  $\frac{n!}{x_1!\cdots x_k!}p_1^{x_1}\cdots p_k^{x_k}$ , when  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = n$



### Calculate the – log (L)

So log L(row) =

$$\log(\frac{n! p_1^{x_1} \dots p_n^{x_n}}{x_1! \dots x_n!}) = \log(p_1) x_1 + \dots + \log(p_n) x_n + \log(\frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_n!}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(p_i) x_i + constants$$

- Sum up the row log(L)
- Multiply by -1 at the end
- Give the result to Minuit which calculates another set of hypothetical track parameters



### What Should Happen?

- Minuit finds the most likely track
- Parameter covariance is calculated

```
Minuit did successfully converge.
# of function calls: 48
minimum function Value: 15796.64848439
minimum edm: 0.001934680482668
minimum internal state vector: LAVector parameters:
     -1.988002027558
 -9.250080219873e-05
    -0.1592620260437
     1.617002074724
     -1.620328234995
     -1.037247782143
minimum internal covariance matrix: LASymMatrix parameters:
  1.9761598e-09 4.7270737e-12 2.0067998e-07 5.8692851e-10 1.3608833e-07 -5.8248745e-08
  4.7270737e-12 1.1347672e-14 4.8766488e-10 1.4088426e-12 3.2781621e-10 -1.3933115e-10
  2.0067998e-07 4.8766488e-10 3.4658532e-05 6.2251465e-08 1.6024574e-05 -5.9106829e-06
  5.8692851e-10 1.4088426e-12 6.2251465e-08 1.1142777e-08 -3.4870546e-06 -1.7251635e-08
  1.3608833e-07 3.2781621e-10 1.6024574e-05 -3.4870546e-06
 -5.8248745e-08 -1.3933115e-10 -5.9106829e-06 -1.7251635e-08 -3.9787298e-06 1.7791881e-06
# ext. ||
           Name
                        type
                                     Value
                                                || Error +/-
                                      -1.988002027558 ||3.143373817044e-05
                        free
                                 -9.250080219873e-05 | 7.532486829552e-08
                       free
                                     -0.1592620260437 | 0.004162843513088
         tanLambda
                       free
                                      1.617002074724 | | 7.46417358234e-05
                      free
                                      -1.620328234995 | 0.07124166485602
             sigma0 || limited ||
                                      0.6949613282769 | 0.002398475487283
```



### What Usually Happens...

 Minuit hovers around a minimum, then radically shifts a parameter

```
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: -1.9880026rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.6740521745
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: -1.9880026rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.67804575624
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: -1.9880026rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.67687997693
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: -1.9880026rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.67518173619
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: -1.9880026rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.67556912397
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: -1.9880026rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.6754671741
MINUIT Track Parameters: Phi: 5811.5259rads, Omega:
Complete negative log likelihood: 15797.6754671741
```



### What usually happens...

Or, after trying for a while it just gives up

```
WARNING: Minuit did not converge.

# of function calls: 88
minimum function Value: 15796.58798173
minimum edm: 0.8683345852382
```

- Tried a number of solutions
  - Scaling parameters to same order of magnitude
  - Keeping diffusion constant along track
  - Changing the parameter uncertainties



#### The Future

- Quick fix which works:
- while(! fit(track, tolerance))
  - Tolerance \*= 10
- Taking all suggestions
  - If anyone can find the solution then the next time we meet I'll buy the drinks! =)
- Implement fitting functions/classes for the photo-electric calibration system