

Accelerator Design and R&D for the International Linear Collider

Nan Phinney SLAC 2006 April APS Meeting, Dallas TX April 22, 2006





1) Global Design Effort

2) Accelerator Configuration

3) Status of the Design and R&D



The Mission of the GDE

Produce a design for the ILC that includes a detailed design concept, performance assessments, reliable international costing, an industrialization plan, and a siting analysis, as well as detector concepts and scope.

Coordinate worldwide prioritized proposal driven R & D efforts (to demonstrate and improve the performance, reduce the costs, attain the required reliability, etc.)

GDE Structure and Organization

Executive Committee for Baseline Configuration



Responsible for top-level decisions for the Baseline Configuration Document (BCD) and Reference Design Report (RDR)

GDE Organization (2)

GDE Groups

IL

Cost Engineers

Shidara - Asia Bialowons - Europe Garbincius - Americas Conventional Facilities and Siting

> Baldy - Europe Enomoto - Asia

Kuchler – Amercas

Physics / Detectors (WWS chairs)

Brau - Americas

Richard - Europe

Yamamoto - Asia

Accelerator Experts (~66 GDE members)



ilr iit

ILC GDE Program

The present GDE ILC program has two portions:

Reference Design Report (RDR)

A conceptual design based on sample sites with a cost estimate Accelerator physics and engineering efforts are being developed R&D Program

Presently administered through the different regions ILC Global Design Effort will coordinate effort more globally

ILC design timeline

RDR at end of CY2006

TDR based on supporting R&D in 2009

ILC Americas

Effort spread between RDR and R&D programs

2nd generation electron-positron Linear Collider

- Parameter specification
- E_{cms} adjustable from 200 500 GeV Luminosity $\rightarrow \int Ldt = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ in 4 years Ability to scan between 200 and 500 GeV Energy stability and precision below 0.1% Electron polarization of at least 80% Options for electron-electron and $\gamma - \gamma$ collisions The machine must be upgradeable to 1 TeV Three big challenges: energy, luminosity, and cost

Baseline Configuration - Schematic



ilr

ΪĹ

Main linacs length ~ 21 km, 16,000 RF cavities (total) RF power ~ 640 10-MW klystrons and modulators (total) Cryoplants ~ 11 plants, cooling power 24 kW (@4K) each Beam delivery length ~ 5 km, ~ 500 magnets (per IR) Damping ring circumference ~ 6.6 km, ~400 magnets each Beam power ~ 22 MW total Site power ~ 200 MW total Site footprint length ~ 47 km (for future upgrade > 1 TeV) Bunch profile at IP ~ 500×6 nm, 300 microns long

Accelerator physics & engineering challenges

Developing efficient high gradient superconducting RF sys

Requires efficient RF systems, capable of accelerating high power beams (~MW)

(Topic for next talk)

Achieving nm scale high-power beam spots

Requires generating high intensity beams of electrons and positrons

Damping the beams to ultra-low emittance in damping rings

Transporting the beams to the collision point without significant emittance growth or uncontrolled beam jitter

Cleanly dumping the used beams

Affordability Challenges



The Baseline Machine (500GeV)



not to scale

General Elevation View

Elements of the BCD

Parameter plane established

TESLA designed for 3.4e34 but had a very narrow operating range ILC luminosity of 2e34 over a wide range of operating parameters Bunch length between 500 and 150 um Bunch charge between 2e10 and 1e10 Number of bunches between ~1000 and ~6000 Beam power between ~5 and 11 MW

Superconducting linac at 31.5 MV/m

Cavities qualified at 35 MV/m in vertical tests Expect an average gradient of 31.5 MV/m to be achieved Rf system must be able to support 35 MV/m cryomodules This still requires extensive R&D on cavities and rf sources



Parameter Plane



		min		nominal		max		
Bunch charge	N	1	-	2	-	2	×10 ¹⁰	
Number of bunches	n_b	1330	-	2820	-	5640		
Linac bunch interval	t_b	154	-	308	-	461	ns	
Bunch length	σ_z	150	-	300	-	500	μ m	
Vert.emit.	$\gamma \epsilon_y^*$	0.03	-	0.04	-	0.08	mm∙mrad	
IP beta (500GeV)	β_x^*	10	-	21	-	21	mm	
	β_y^*	0.2	-	0.4	-	0.4	mm	
IP beta (1TeV)	β_x^*	10	-	30	-	30	mm	
	β_y^*	0.2	-	0.3	-	0.6	mm	

Walker / Raubenheimer

ilr iit

Elements of the BCD (2)

Circular damping rings 6.6 km in circumference

5 GeV ring like TESLA and USTOS but shorter
Rf frequency of 650 MHz = ¹/₂ main linac 1.3 GHz
Allows for greater flexibility in bunch train format
Allows for larger ion and electron cloud clearing gaps
Shorter rings have large dynamic aperture compared to dogbone
Single electron ring; two rings for the positrons

Dual stage bunch compressor

Dual stage system provides flexibility in IP bunch length Allows for longer damping ring bunch length

Turn-around allows for feed-forward from damping ring to ease kicker tolerances

Pre-linac collimation system to remove beam tails at low energy

Elements of the BCD (3)

Positron source based on planar undulator

- Undulator located at ~150 GeV for energy flexibility and tuning stability
- Keep-alive source located on e+ side to provide positrons when problems with electron beam
 - Provide sufficient charge to operate diagnostics well
 - Also e- source for commissioning, eventual e-e- runs

Dual interaction regions

Crossing angles of 2mrad and 20 mrad

2 mrad has better hermaticity while 20 mrad has better accelerator performance

Optimize both to understand performance trade-offs

Regions separated longitudinally as well as transversely



High Availability Design

System availability studies (SLAC, DESY)

Design of high availability hardware (SLAC, LLNL) Kickers, Power supplies, diagnostics, and control system

SystemImage: SystemModular 4 of 5
power supply
with auto-failoverImage: SystemImage: Syste

General control system design (ANL, FNAL, SLAC, ...)



Laser and cathode for polarized electron source (SLAC)

NC structures: design and test (SLAC)

E166 pol. e+ production (SLAC, many others)

Undulator design (Cornell, UK)

Positron Source simulations (ANL)

A comprehensive start-to-end simulation of conventional, polarized, and keep-alive sources.

Positron target design (LLNL, UK) Detailed engineering Target simulations Energy deposition, radiation damage, activation (LLNL, SLAC) Compton source R&D (KEK)



50 m/s

Ti wheel, 1 m dia.,

1.42 cm thick



Positron capture structures

Photon production at 150 GeV electron energy K=1, λ =1 cm, 200 m long helical undulator Two e+ production stations including a back up Keep alive auxiliary source is e+ side, also e- source



Comparative Study of Possible ILC DRs

A major activity in 2005

Explore different configuration options (including lattice styles) for the damping rings.



Dynamic Aperture in the Reference Lattices with Ideal Nonlinear Wiggler Model and 15 Seeds of Multipole Errors, computed with BMAD (from Config Studies & Recomm Report)

. .







Positrons:

Two rings of ~6 km circumference in a single tunnel

Two rings are needed to reduce e-cloud effects unless significant progress can be made with mitigation techniques

Preferred to 17 km dogbone for: Space-charge effects Acceptance Tunnel layout (commissioning time, stray fields)

Electrons:

One 6 km ring







Extensive program worldwide incl. KEK, UK, Frascati, IHEP

DR component optimization: wigglers, fast kickers; (Cornell) studies of the use of CESR as a DR test facility (in 2008)

Damping Ring Design and Optimization (ANL)

Lattice design and optimization; studies of ion instability in the APS ring; design of a hybrid wiggler

SEY, FII simulations, experiments in PEP-II (SLAC)

ATF damping ring experiments (SLAC, LBNL, Cornell)

Lattice designs for damping rings and injection/extraction lines; characterization of collective effects; stripline kickers for single-bunch extraction at KEK-ATF (LBNL)

SLAC: E-cloud R&D Program

Multi-pronged program Simulations (SLAC, KEK, LBNL) Secondary Yield studies Test sample chamber in PEP-II Chambers with fins to trap e-



Mauro Pivi





;lr iit

SLAC: E-cloud R&D Program (2)

Curved clearing electrodes

M. Pivi – L. Wang – T. Raubenheimer - P. Raimondi, SLAC. Mar 2006



Layout of the clearing electrodes in ILC DR BEND vacuum chamber

+100V clearing electrodes suppress electron cloud buildup



RTML (bunch compressor) design (SLAC, Cornell, PAL)

Main linac optics design (SLAC, Fermilab)

Low emittance transport simulations and BBA design (SLAC, Fermilab, Cornell, KEK, CERN, DESY)

Wakefield calculations (SLAC)

Linac beamline Instrumentation (SLAC)



Marx Generator Modulator



ir iit



12 kV Marx Cell (1 of 16)

IGBT switched No magnetic core Air cooled (no oil)

Greg Leyh

Marx Modulator (~ 2 m cubed)

Direct-coupled voltage stack of ten 12-kV cells producing 140A pk @ 1.5 msec

IGBT switched

ir iit

Lower cost modular components Air cooled, no oil in tunnel

Redundancy -> high availability

Cell can operate with failed components

Modulator functions with up to 2 failed drivers

Vernier cells correct flat top to +/-0.5%



DETAIL, MARX MODULATOR CORE



Marx Modulator Prototype @ SLAC









RF Power: Baseline Klystrons



Specification: 10MW MBK 1.5ms pulse 65% efficiency

None of these prototypes meet specifications yet

Urgent work needed

L-Band RF Test Facility

SLAC End Station B

İİL

5 MW station in FY06, with SNS modulator powering SDI legacy klystron Test NC structures Coupler test stand (LLNL)



10 MW station later with new klystron Test rf system components

Reuses extensive infrastructure



Coupler test assembly

Linac SC Quad/BPM Evaluation

Cos(2Φ) SC Quad (~ 0.7 m long)





BPM Triplet to be Tested with Beam



Beam Delivery System

Beam delivery system design (SLAC, Daresbury) ATF-2 (KEK, SLAC, UK, DESY, CERN, etc.)

Construction of magnets, PS, and instrumentation

ESA MDI Test Facility (SLAC)

- NanoBPM for ATF2 (LLNL, KEK)
- 20 mrad compact SC FF magnet development (BNL)

Fabricate and test a short proof of principle shielded final-focuslike quadrupole coil

2 mrad large-bore SC magnet development (Saclay/Orsay)

Based on LHC Magnet design

Crab Cavity Development (FNAL, SLAC, UK)

Beam Delivery System



Baseline (supported, at the moment, by GDE exec)

two BDSs, 20/2mrad, 2 detectors, 2 longitudinally separated IR halls

Alternative 1

two BDSs, 20/2mrad, 2 detectors in single IR hall @ Z=0 Alternative 2

single IR/BDS, collider hall long enough for two push-pull detectors

Andrei Seryi

IR Design

Design of IR for both small and large crossing angles

Optimization of IR, masking, instrumentation, background evaluation

Design of detector solenoid compensation





B.Parker, Y.Nosochkov, T.Markiewicz, C.Spencer, SLAC-UK-France task force, et al 37



ILC Beam Tests in End Station A



ir iit

ESA runs in 2006





ILC T-474, T-475, T-480:

i) Commissioning run – January 4-9, 2006
ii) April 24 – May 8, 2006
iii) July 3-17, 2006

ESA plans for FY07-08: A few 2-week runs each year

ilr

Compact FF Magnet R&D - FY06



A Nb-Ti coil X-section with a 66 mm OD will meet the 144 T/m design gradient. Outgoing beam pipe is ~ 4 mm beyond the shield coil. All components are housed in a common cryostat. Magnet operates at 1.8K



FF Magnet Prototype



short (20 cm) proof of principle coil with desired quad X-section and the shield coil wound on a separate tube





ΪĹ

ir iii

ILC Civil Design for the RDR

Design to "sample sites" from each region

Americas – near Fermilab Japan Europe – CERN & DESY

Americas Site - in Illinois- location may vary from the Fermilab site west to near DeKalb

Design efforts ongoing at Fermilab and SLAC

Americas Sample Plan / Section



SCRF materials and surface preparation: Wisconsin (\$64K), Northwestern(\$40K), Old Dominion (\$58K) RF power sources: Yale (\$60K), MIT(\$30K) Polarized electron source: Wisconsin (\$35K) Polarized positron source: Tennessee (\$40K), Princeton Damping rings: Illinois (\$17K), Cornell (\$75K, \$46K) [NSF] Instrumentation, diagnostics: Berkeley (\$35K), Cornell (\$24K) [NSF] Mover systems: Colorado State (\$49K) [NSF] Radiation hard electronics: UC Davis (\$38K), Ohio State (\$75K) Ground motion: Northwestern (\$28K) Linac beam dynamics design-Cornell (\$21K) High-gradient SCRF R&D- Cornell (\$140K)

Gerry Dugan



Global Design Effort launched

Baseline Accelerator Configuration adopted

Reference Design Report with a preliminary cost estimate due by the end of 2006

Extensive worldwide effort on R&D to demonstrate design feasibility, find cost effective alternatives